

Wägenbaur

**Court of Justice
of the EU**

– Statute and Rules of Procedure –

C.H. Beck · Hart · Nomos

<https://doi.org/10.5771/9783845259079-1>

Generiert durch IP '3.14.8.164', am 15.03.2025, 03:25:45.

Das Erstellen und Weitergeben von Kopien dieses PDFs ist nicht zulässig.

Wägenbauer
Court of Justice of the EU – Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure

Court of Justice of the European Union

Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure

by

Bertrand Wägenbaur

C. H. Beck · Hart · Nomos

<https://doi.org/10.5771/9783845259079-1>

Generiert durch IP '3.14.8.164', am 15.03.2025, 03:25:45.

Das Erstellen und Weitergeben von Kopien dieses PDFs ist nicht zulässig.

Published by

Verlag C. H. Beck oHG, Wilhelmstraße 9, 80801 München, Germany,
eMail: bestellung@beck.de

Co-published by

Hart Publishing, 16C Worcester Place, Oxford, OX1 2JW, United Kingdom,
online at: www.hartpub.co.uk

and

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, Waldseestraße 3–5, 76530 Baden-Baden,
Germany, eMail: nomos@nomos.de

Published in North America (US and Canada) by Hart Publishing, c/o International
Specialized Book Services, 930 NE 58th Avenue, Suite 300, Portland, OR 97213-3786,
USA, eMail: orders@isbs.com

ISBN 978-3-406-60325-9 (Beck)
ISBN 978-1-84113-995-1 (Hart Publishing)
ISBN 978-3-8329-7272-1 (Nomos)

© 2013 Verlag C. H. Beck oHG
Wilhelmstr. 9, 80801 München

Printed in Germany by
fgb · freiburger graphische betriebe GmbH & Co. KG
Bebelstraße 11, 79108 Freiburg

Typeset by
Reemers Publishing Services GmbH, Krefeld

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of Verlag C. H. Beck, or as expressly permitted by law under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation.

Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to C. H. Beck at the address above.

Preface

“The League of Nations is nearing a decisive test. If it fails, world war will inevitably repeat itself even more terribly than before ... Should this League of Nations fail, a future generation will one day be forced to create another one. But (that generation) will only arrive at that point through a sea of blood and tears even worse than the one which we just crossed”.¹ It is with this gloomy prophecy that Prof. Dr. Heinrich Rauchberg, former Rector of the German University of Prague, closed a book he published in 1932². He did not live to see the subsequent global catastrophe he had predicted. Nevertheless, the concept he proposed- that of a group of States learning from history by signing a new Treaty in order to maintain peace and understanding amongst formerly warring nations- would soon materialize. This new attempt at peaceful European Union began in 1952 with the Treaty on Coal and Steel, which was soon followed by further Treaties and steps towards ever increasing European integration. This decades-long process has resulted in a union of 27 Member States as well as the longest period of sustained peace and economic development in European history.

Ironically, this era of unprecedented stability and unity induces us to take peace in this part of the world for granted. We should therefore allow this era to make us all the more aware of what we *really* owe to European integration, which the award of the Nobel peace prize to the EU so rightly acknowledges. Thus, our challenge is to never allow criticism arising from day-to-day EU issues and also more fundamental questions, no matter its merit, to make us lose sight of the priceless value of this historically unique process.

Achieving a community of peace, common values, and economic development is of course not only a matter of attitude or mindset, but also a matter of appropriate instruments of integration. These tools can be found in the series of Treaties which since 1952 have served as the practical means to implement the goal of European unity. Dispute resolution as embodied by the Court of Justice of the European Union is undoubtedly one of the most important of these. The Court of Justice of the European Union is thus much more than a supranational judicial instance: it serves the unity of EU law and is a major player in a vital peacekeeping mission. This Court must guarantee that a broad variety of parties, ranging from Member States and EU institutions to natural and moral persons, each of whom has their own, sometimes very antagonistic, interests, can solve conflicts peacefully through common judicial instruments rather than through force. This requires an elaborate judicial system with a variety of legal remedies governed by specialized procedural rules.

This book seeks to illuminate the procedural rules governing the three judicial instances of the EU by providing commentary on every article of the Statute of the

¹ French original text: *“La Société des Nations approche d’une épreuve décisive. Si elle échoue, la Guerre Mondiale se répétera fatalement, plus terrible encore. ... Cette Société des Nations échouée, une génération future sera un jour forcée d’en créer une autre. Mais elle n’y parviendra qu’à travers une mer de sang et de larmes plus terrible encore que celle que nous venons de traverser.”*

² In *“Les Obligations Juridiques des Membres de la Société des Nations pour le Maintien de la Paix”*, pages 118–119, Sirey, Paris, 1932.

European Court of Justice as well as the Rules of Procedure of its three constituent instances. It combines an academic approach with practical advice from experience in EU litigation while also providing critical views and proposals for improvement where appropriate.

The intention of this book is to enable quick access to a given subject or detail of EU procedural law. Inspired by an earlier German version, the present volume has been completely rewritten and restructured. It also seeks to respond to questions which those less familiar with the continental legal system might have. It is therefore intended to facilitate comprehension and practical application of EU judicial procedure whilst not losing sight of these rules' historic context. For if no one really knows where we are going to, we should at least remember where we come from.

Brussels, autumn 2012

Bertrand Wägenbaur LL.M.

Contents – Summary

Preface	V
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	XXI
Table of Cases	XXIII

STATUTE OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

INTRODUCTION	1
INTERPRETATION	10
Article 1 [Legal framework]	10

TITLE I. JUDGES AND ADVOCATES GENERAL

Article 2 [Oath]	10
Article 3 [Immunity]	13
Article 4 [Exclusion of other occupation]	16
Article 5 [Term in office]	19
Article 6 [Deprivation of office]	21
Article 7 [New appointments]	25
Article 8 [Advocates-General]	25

TITLE II. ORGANISATION OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE

Article 9 [Replacing of Judges]	25
Article 9a [Vice-President]	26
Article 10 [Oath of the Registrar]	26
Article 11 [Replacement of the Registrar]	27
Article 12 [Administrative support]	27
Article 13 [Assistant Rapporteurs]	28
Article 14 [Requirements of residence]	29
Article 15 [Judicial vacations]	30
Article 16 [Chambers]	31
Article 17 [Ability to deliberate]	35
Article 18 [Conflict of interest, bias]	36

TITLE III. PROCEDURE

Article 19 [Representing the parties at Court]	45
Article 20 [Written and oral procedure]	55
Article 21 [Contents of a written application]	61
Article 22 [EAEC-Treaty]	64
Article 23 [Referral for preliminary rulings]	64
Article 23a [Expedited and urgent procedures]	84
Article 24 [Measures of organisation and inquiry]	88
Article 25 [Expert opinions]	92
Article 26 [Hearing of witnesses]	93
Article 27 [Defaulting witnesses]	93

Contents – Summary

Article 28 [Oath]	93
Article 29 [Letter rogatory]	94
Article 30 [Violation of an oath]	94
Article 31 [Public hearing]	94
Article 32 [Hearing experts, witnesses and parties]	96
Article 33 [Minutes of the hearing]	97
Article 34 [Case list]	97
Article 35 [Secrecy of deliberations]	98
Article 36 [Statement of reasons]	99
Article 37 [Handing down of judgments]	102
Article 38 [Costs]	102
Article 39 [Interim proceedings and suspending enforcement]	104
Article 40 [Intervention]	106
Article 41 [Judgment by default]	118
Article 42 [Third party proceedings]	120
Article 43 [Judgment of interpretation]	121
Article 44 [Application for revision]	123
Article 45 [Periods of grace, unforeseeable circumstances and <i>force majeure</i>]	127
Article 46 [Time bar in case of non-contractual liability]	137

TITLE IV. GENERAL COURT

Article 47 [Applicable rules]	141
Article 48 [Number of judges]	141
Article 49 [Advocate General]	142
Article 50 [Chambers, full Court, single Judge and Grand Chamber]	143
Article 51 [Jurisdictions]	144
Article 52 [Staff of the GC]	146
Article 53 [Provisions applicable to the GC]	147
Article 54 [Referring an action and staying proceedings]	148
Article 55 [Notification of decisions]	152
Article 56 [Appeal]	153
Article 57 [Abbreviated appeal]	160
Article 58 [Appeal limited to points of law]	162
Article 59 [Appeals procedure]	173
Article 60 [No suspensory effect]	174
Article 61 [Appeal well founded]	175
Article 62 [Review by the ECJ]	177
Article 62a [Urgency procedure]	179
Article 62b [No suspensory effect]	180

TITLE IVA. SPECIALISED COURTS

Article 62c [Specialised courts]	182
--	-----

TITLE V. FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 63 [Rules of Procedure]	184
Article 64 [Language of the procedure]	185
Annex 1. THE EUROPEAN UNION CIVIL SERVICE TRIBUNAL	186
Article 1 [Scope of jurisdiction]	186
Article 2 [Number of Judges and term in office]	186
Article 3 [Appointment of Judges]	187
Article 4 [President and Chambers]	189
Article 5 [Applicable provisions of the Statute]	191
Article 6 [Administrative support]	191

Contents – Summary

Article 7 [Applicable rules of the Statute]	192
Article 8 [Transmitting cases, suspension]	195
Article 9 [Appeal]	196
Article 10 [Expedited proceedings]	198
Article 11 [Appeals limited to points of law]	198
Article 12 [No suspensory effect]	200
Article 13 [Annulment and Referring Back]	200

RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE (RP 2012)

INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS

INTRODUCTION	201
INTERPRETATION	207
Article 1 Definitions	207
Article 2 Purport of these Rules	208

TITLE I. ORGANISATION OF THE COURT

CHAPTER 1. JUDGES AND ADVOCATES GENERAL	208
Article 3 Commencement of the term of office of Judges and Advocates General	208
Article 4 Taking of the oath	209
Article 5 Solemn undertaking	210
Article 6 Depriving a Judge or Advocate General of his office	211
Article 7 Order of seniority	211
CHAPTER 2. PRESIDENCY OF THE COURT, CONSTITUTION OF THE CHAMBERS AND DESIGNATION OF THE FIRST ADVOCATE GENERAL	212
Article 8 Election of the President and of the Vice-President of the Court	212
Article 9 Responsibilities of the President of the Court	213
Article 10 Responsibilities of the Vice-President of the Court	213
Article 11 Constitution of Chambers	214
Article 12 Election of Presidents of Chambers	216
Article 13	216
Article 14 Designation of the First Advocate General	216
CHAPTER 3. ASSIGNMENT OF CASES TO JUDGE-RAPPORTEURS AND ADVOCATES GENERAL	217
Article 15 Designation of the Judge-Rapporteur	217
Article 16 Designation of the Advocate General	218
CHAPTER 4. ASSISTANT RAPPORTEURS	219
Article 17 Assistant Rapporteurs	219
CHAPTER 5. REGISTRY	220
Article 18 Appointment of the Registrar	220
Article 19 Deputy Registrar	222
Article 20 Responsibilities of the Registrar	222
Article 21 Keeping of the register	225
Article 22 Consultation of the register and of judgments and orders	226
CHAPTER 6. THE WORKING OF THE COURT	227
Article 23 Location of the sittings of the Court	227
Article 24 Calendar of the Court's judicial business	227
Article 25 General meeting	229
Article 26 Drawing-up of minutes	229

Contents – Summary

CHAPTER 7. FORMATIONS OF THE COURT	229
SECTION 1. COMPOSITION OF THE FORMATIONS OF THE COURT	229
Article 27 Composition of the Grand Chamber	229
Article 28 Composition of the Chambers of five and of three Judges	231
Article 29 Composition of Chambers where cases are related or referred back	232
Article 30 Where a President of a Chamber is prevented from acting	232
Article 31 Where a member of the formation of the Court is prevented from acting	232
SECTION 2. DELIBERATIONS	233
Article 32 Procedures concerning deliberations	233
Article 33 Number of Judges taking part in the deliberations	236
Article 34 Quorum of the Grand Chamber	236
Article 35 Quorum of the Chambers of five and of three Judges	236
CHAPTER 8. LANGUAGES	237
Article 36 Language of a case	237
Article 37 Determination of the language of a case	239
Article 38 Use of the language of the case	242
Article 39 Responsibility of the Registrar concerning language arrangements	245
Article 40 Languages of the publications of the Court	245
Article 41 Authentic texts	246
Article 42 Language service of the Court	246
TITLE II. COMMON PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS	
CHAPTER 1. RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF AGENTS, ADVISERS AND LAW- YERS	247
Article 43 Privileges, immunities and facilities	247
Article 44 Status of the parties' representatives	250
Article 45 Waiver of immunity	251
Article 46 Exclusion from the proceedings	252
Article 47 University teachers and parties to the main proceedings	255
CHAPTER 2. SERVICE	256
Article 48 Methods of service	256
CHAPTER 3. TIME-LIMITS	258
Article 49 Calculation of time-limits	258
Article 50 Proceedings against a measure adopted by an institution	261
Article 51 Extension on account of distance	262
Article 52 Setting and extension of time-limits	263
CHAPTER 4. DIFFERENT PROCEDURES FOR DEALING WITH CASES	263
Article 53 Procedures for dealing with cases	263
Article 54 Joinder	269
Article 55 Stay of proceedings	271
Article 56 Deferment of the determination of a case	274
CHAPTER 5. WRITTEN PART OF THE PROCEDURE	274
Article 57 Lodging of procedural documents	274
Article 58 Length of procedural documents	280
CHAPTER 6. THE PRELIMINARY REPORT AND ASSIGNMENT OF CASES TO FORMATIONS OF THE COURT	281
Article 59 Preliminary report	281
Article 60 Assignment of cases to formations of the Court	282

Contents – Summary

CHAPTER 7. MEASURES OF ORGANISATION OF PROCEDURE AND MEASURES OF INQUIRY	284
SECTION 1. MEASURES OF ORGANISATION OF PROCEDURE	284
Article 61 Measures of organisation prescribed by the Court	284
Article 62 Measures of organisation prescribed by the Judge-Rapporteur or the Advocate General	285
SECTION 2. MEASURES OF INQUIRY	286
Article 63 Decision on measures of inquiry	286
Article 64 Determination of measures of inquiry	286
Article 65 Participation in measures of inquiry	288
Article 66 Oral testimony	289
Article 67 Examination of witnesses	290
Article 68 Witnesses' oath	291
Article 69 Pecuniary penalties	291
Article 70 Expert's report	291
Article 71 Expert's oath	293
Article 72 Objection to a witness or expert	293
Article 73 Witnesses' and experts' costs	295
Article 74 Minutes of inquiry hearings	296
Article 75 Opening of the oral part of the procedure after the inquiry	296
CHAPTER 8. ORAL PART OF THE PROCEDURE	297
Article 76 Hearing	298
Article 77 Joint hearing	299
Article 78 Conduct of oral proceedings	299
Article 79 Cases heard in camera	302
Article 80 Questions	302
Article 81 Close of the hearing	305
Article 82 Delivery of the Opinion of the Advocate General	305
Article 83 Opening or reopening of the oral part of the procedure	307
Article 84 Minutes of hearings	310
Article 85 Recording of the hearing	311
CHAPTER 9. JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS	311
Article 86 Date of delivery of a judgment	311
Article 87 Content of a judgment	312
Article 88 Delivery and service of the judgment	313
Article 89 Content of an order	314
Article 90 Signature and service of the order	315
Article 91 Binding nature of judgments and orders	315
Article 92 Publication in the Official Journal of the European Union	315
 TITLE III. REFERENCES FOR A PRELIMINARY RULING	
CHAPTER 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS	316
Article 93 Scope	321
Article 94 Content of the request for a preliminary ruling	326
Article 95 Anonymity	332
Article 96 Participation in preliminary ruling proceedings	333
Article 97 Parties to the main proceedings	334
Article 98 Translation and service of the request for a preliminary ruling	336
Article 99 Reply by reasoned order	338
Article 100 Circumstances in which the Court remains seised	339
Article 101 Request for clarification	340
Article 102 Costs of the preliminary ruling proceedings	342
Article 103 Rectification of judgments and orders	342
Article 104 Interpretation of preliminary rulings	344

Contents – Summary

CHAPTER 2. EXPEDITED PRELIMINARY RULING PROCEDURE	345
Article 105 Expedited procedure	345
Article 106 Transmission of procedural documents	350
CHAPTER 3. URGENT PRELIMINARY RULING PROCEDURE	350
Article 107 Scope of the urgent preliminary ruling procedure	350
Article 108 Decision as to urgency	355
Article 109 Written part of the urgent procedure	356
Article 110 Service and information following the close of the written part of the procedure	358
Article 111 Omission of the written part of the procedure	358
Article 112 Decision on the substance	358
Article 113 Formation of the Court	358
Article 114 Transmission of procedural documents	359
CHAPTER 4. LEGAL AID	359
Article 115 Application for legal aid	360
Article 116 Decision on the application for legal aid	362
Article 117 Sums to be advanced as legal aid	362
Article 118 Withdrawal of legal aid	362
TITLE IV. DIRECT ACTIONS	
CHAPTER 1. REPRESENTATION OF THE PARTIES	363
Article 119 Obligation to be represented	363
CHAPTER 2. WRITTEN PART OF THE PROCEDURE	367
Article 120 Content of the application	367
Article 121 Information relating to service	374
Article 122 Annexes to the application	375
Article 123 Service of the application	376
Article 124 Content of the defence	376
Article 125 Transmission of documents	379
Article 126 Reply and rejoinder	379
CHAPTER 3. PLEAS IN LAW AND EVIDENCE	381
Article 127 New pleas in law	381
Article 128 Evidence produced or offered	384
CHAPTER 4. INTERVENTION	385
Article 129 Object and effects of the intervention	387
Article 130 Application to intervene	388
Article 131 Decision on applications to intervene	390
Article 132 Submission of statements	392
CHAPTER 5. EXPEDITED PROCEDURE	394
Article 133 Decision relating to the expedited procedure	396
Article 134 Written part of the procedure	398
Article 135 Oral part of the procedure	399
Article 136 Decision on the substance	400
CHAPTER 6. COSTS	400
Article 137 Decision as to costs	401
Article 138 General rules as to allocation of costs	401
Article 139 Unreasonable or vexatious costs	402
Article 140 Costs of interveners	404
Article 141 Costs in the event of discontinuance or withdrawal	404
Article 142 Costs where a case does not proceed to judgment	406
Article 143 Costs of proceedings	406
Article 144 Recoverable costs	407
Article 145 Dispute concerning the costs to be recovered	411
Article 146 Procedure for payment	417

Contents – Summary

CHAPTER 7. AMICABLE SETTLEMENT, DISCONTINUANCE, CASES THAT DO NOT PROCEED TO JUDGMENT AND PRELIMINARY ISSUES	418
Article 147 Amicable settlement	418
Article 148 Discontinuance	419
Article 149 Cases that do not proceed to judgment	420
Article 150 Absolute bar to proceeding with a case	420
Article 151 Preliminary objections and issues	421
CHAPTER 8. JUDGMENTS BY DEFAULT	424
Article 152 Judgments by default	424
CHAPTER 9. REQUESTS AND APPLICATIONS RELATING TO JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS	427
Article 153 Competent formation of the Court	427
Article 154 Rectification	427
Article 155 Failure to adjudicate	430
Article 156 Application to set aside	431
Article 157 Third-party proceedings	433
Article 158 Interpretation	435
Article 159 Revision	438
CHAPTER 10. SUSPENSION OF OPERATION OR ENFORCEMENT AND OTHER INTERIM MEASURES	442
Article 160 Application for suspension or for interim measures	442
Article 161 Decision on the application	451
Article 162 Order for suspension of operation or for interim measures	451
Article 163 Change in circumstances	453
Article 164 New application	453
Article 165 Applications pursuant to Articles 280 TFEU and 299 TFEU and Article 164 TEAEC	454
Article 166 Application pursuant to Article 81 TEAEC	454
 TITLE V. APPEALS AGAINST DECISIONS OF THE GENERAL COURT	
CHAPTER 1. FORM AND CONTENT OF THE APPEAL, AND FORM OF ORDER SOUGHT	455
Article 167 Lodging of the appeal	458
Article 168 Content of the appeal	459
Article 169 Form of order sought, pleas in law and arguments of the appeal	464
Article 170 Form of order sought in the event that the appeal is allowed	465
CHAPTER 2. RESPONSES, REPLIES AND REJOINDERS	467
Article 171 Service of the appeal	467
Article 172 Parties authorised to lodge a response	468
Article 173 Content of the response	469
Article 174 Form of order sought in the response	469
Article 175 Reply and rejoinder	469
CHAPTER 3. FORM AND CONTENT OF THE CROSS-APPEAL, AND FORM OF ORDER SOUGHT	472
Article 176 Cross-appeal	472
Article 177 Content of the cross-appeal	473
Article 178 Form of order sought, pleas in law and arguments of the cross-appeal	473
CHAPTER 4. PLEADINGS CONSEQUENT ON THE CROSS-APPEAL	474
Article 179 Response to the cross-appeal	474
Article 180 Reply and rejoinder on a cross-appeal	474
CHAPTER 5. APPEALS DETERMINED BY ORDER	475
Article 181 Manifestly inadmissible or manifestly unfounded appeal or cross-appeal	475
Article 182 Manifestly well-founded appeal or cross-appeal	477

Contents – Summary

CHAPTER 6. EFFECT ON A CROSS-APPEAL OF THE REMOVAL OF THE APPEAL FROM THE REGISTER	477
Article 183 Effect on a cross-appeal of the discontinuance or manifest inadmissibility of the appeal	477
CHAPTER 7. COSTS AND LEGAL AID IN APPEALS	478
Article 184 Costs in appeals	478
Article 185 Legal aid	479
Article 186 Prior application for legal aid	481
Article 187 Decision on the application for legal aid	481
Article 188 Sums to be advanced as legal aid	482
Article 189 Withdrawal of legal aid	482
CHAPTER 8. OTHER PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO APPEALS	483
Article 190 Other provisions applicable to appeals	483
 TITLE VI. REVIEW OF DECISIONS OF THE GENERAL COURT	
Article 191 Reviewing Chamber	485
Article 192 Information and communication of decisions which may be reviewed	485
Article 193 Review of decisions given on appeal	486
Article 194 Review of preliminary rulings	488
Article 195 Judgment on the substance of the case after a decision to review	489
 TITLE VII. OPINIONS	
Article 196 Written part of the procedure	490
Article 197 Designation of the Judge-Rapporteur and of the Advocate General	491
Article 198 Hearing	492
Article 199 Time-limit for delivering the Opinion	492
Article 200 Delivery of the Opinion	492
 TITLE VIII. PARTICULAR FORMS OF PROCEDURE	
Article 201 Appeals against decisions of the arbitration committee	492
Article 202 Procedure under Article 103 TEAEC	493
Article 203 Procedures under Articles 104 TEAEC and 105 TEAEC	494
Article 204 Procedure provided for by Article 111(3) of the EEA Agreement	494
Article 205 Settlement of the disputes referred to in Article 35 TEU in the version in force before the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon	496
Article 206 Requests under Article 269 TFEU	497
 FINAL PROVISIONS	
Article 207 Supplementary rules	498
Article 208 Implementing rules	498
Article 209 Repeal	499
Article 210 Publication and entry into force of these Rules	499

**RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE GENERAL COURT OF THE
EUROPEAN UNION (RP GC)**

INTRODUCTION	501
INTERPRETATION	509
Article 1 [Terminology]	509
TITLE I. ORGANISATION OF THE GENERAL COURT	
CHAPTER 1. PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL COURT	509
Article 2 [Judges and Advocates-General]	510
Article 3 [Term in office]	511
Article 4 [Taking the oath]	511
Article 5 [Revocation]	512
Article 6 [Order of seniority]	514
Article 7 [Election of the President]	515
Article 8 [Powers of the President]	515
Article 9 [Substitute of the President]	516
CHAPTER 2. CONSTITUTION OF THE CHAMBERS AND DESIGNATION OF JUDGE-RAPPORTEURS AND ADVOCATES GENERAL	516
Article 10 [Chambers]	516
Article 11 [Competence of the Chambers]	517
Article 12 [Criteria of allocation]	518
Article 13 [Assignment, Judge-Rapporteur]	519
Article 14 [Plenary session, Grand Chamber and Chambers]	521
Article 15 [Electing the President of the Chamber]	525
Article 16 [Powers of the President of a Chamber]	526
Article 17 [Advocate General assisting plenary session]	527
Article 18 [Advocate General assisting a Chamber]	528
Article 19 [Designating an Advocate General]	529
CHAPTER 3. REGISTRY	529
SECTION 1. THE REGISTRAR	529
Article 20 [Appointment of the Registrar]	529
Article 21 [Assistant Registrars]	530
Article 22 [Substitute]	531
Article 23 [Instructions to the Registrar]	531
Article 24 [Register]	533
Article 25 [Further tasks of the Registrar]	535
Article 26 [Archives and publications]	536
Article 27 [Attending the sittings]	536
SECTION 2. Other Departments	536
Article 28 [Administrative support]	536
Article 29 [Oath]	537
Article 30 [General administration]	537
CHAPTER 4. THE WORKING OF THE GENERAL COURT	537
Article 31 [Dates of the sittings]	538
Article 32 [Deliberations]	538
Article 33 [Modalities of deliberations]	541
Article 34 [Judicial vacations]	543
CHAPTER 5. LANGUAGES	545
Article 35 [Language of the case]	545

Contents – Summary

Article 36 [Translations]	553
Article 37 [Authentic linguistic versions]	556
CHAPTER 6. RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF AGENTS, ADVISERS AND LAW- YERS	556
Article 38 [Immunities, privileges and facilities]	556
Article 39 [Proof of status]	561
Article 40 [Waiving immunities, privileges and facilities]	561
Article 41 [Exclusion of the proceedings]	562
Article 42 [University teachers]	568

TITLE II. PROCEDURE

CHAPTER 1. WRITTEN PROCEDURE	568
Article 43 [General rules for pleadings]	573
Article 44 [Contents of an application]	584
Article 45 [Serving the application]	607
Article 46 [Contents of the statement of defence]	607
Article 47 [Reply and rejoinder]	615
Article 48 [Further evidence; no new pleas in law]	621
Article 49 [Measures of organization or of inquiry]	629
Article 50 [Joining cases]	630
Article 51 [Referring a case to a different formation]	634
Article 52 [Preliminary report]	637
Article 53 [Opening the oral procedure]	639
Article 54 [Opening of the oral procedure in certain cases]	641
CHAPTER 2. ORAL PROCEDURE	641
Article 55 [Sequence of oral hearings]	645
Article 56 [Directing the proceedings]	647
Article 57 [Hearing in camera]	648
Article 58 [Questions]	649
Article 59 [Restricted rights of the parties]	658
Article 60 [End of the oral procedure]	658
Article 61 [Opinion of the Advocate General]	658
Article 62 [Reopening order]	659
Article 63 [Minutes]	662
CHAPTER 3. MEASURES OF ORGANISATION OF PROCEDURE AND MEASURES OF INQUIRY	664
SECTION 1. MEASURES OF ORGANIZATION OF PROCEDURE	664
Article 64 [Measures of organization]	665
SECTION 2. MEASURES OF INQUIRY	677
Article 65 [Catalogue of measures]	677
Article 66 [Order]	680
Article 67 [Applying the order]	681
SECTION 3. The Summoning and Examination of Witnesses and Experts	682
Article 68 [Witnesses]	682
Article 69 [Obligation to attend]	685
Article 70 [Expert's report]	686
Article 71 [Oath]	689
Article 72 [Perjury]	689
Article 73 [Objecting to a witness or expert]	690
Article 74 [Travel and subsistence expenses]	690
Article 75 [Letters rogatory]	690
Article 76 [Minutes]	691

Contents – Summary

CHAPTER 3a. EXPEDITED PROCEDURES	691
Article 76a [Conditions]	691
CHAPTER 4. STAY OF PROCEEDINGS AND DECLINING OF JURISDICTION BY THE GENERAL COURT	701
Article 77 [Staying the proceedings]	701
Article 78 [Decision to stay]	704
Article 79 [Duration of the stay]	704
Article 80 [Decision declining jurisdiction]	705
CHAPTER 5. JUDGMENTS	706
Article 81 [Judgments]	706
Article 82 [Delivery of the judgments]	709
Article 83 [Binding judgment]	710
Article 84 [Rectifying judgments]	711
Article 85 [Supplementing the part on costs]	715
Article 86 [Publication of the case-law]	716
CHAPTER 6. COSTS	716
Article 87 [Allocation of costs]	717
Article 88 [Staff cases]	728
Article 89 [Costs of enforcement of a judgment]	730
Article 90 [Judicial costs]	731
Article 91 [Recoverable costs]	734
Article 92 [Taxation]	755
Article 93 [Currency]	766
CHAPTER 7. LEGAL AID	766
Article 94 [Procedural and substantive conditions]	767
Article 95 [Relevant documents]	773
Article 96 [Specific procedure]	776
Article 97 [Modalities of payment]	779
CHAPTER 8. DISCONTINUANCE	780
Article 98 [Amicable settlement]	780
Article 99 [Discontinuing the proceedings]	781
CHAPTER 9. SERVICE	783
Article 100 [Modalities of service]	783
CHAPTER 10. TIME-LIMITS	785
Article 101 [Periods of time]	788
Article 102 [Publication in the OJ]	791
Article 103 [Extension of time-limits]	796

TITLE III. SPECIAL FORMS OF PROCEDURE

CHAPTER 1. SUSPENSION OF OPERATION OR ENFORCEMENT AND OTHER INTERIM MEASURES	797
Article 104 [Substantive criteria]	798
Article 105 [Observations of the other party]	815
Article 106 [Competent Judge]	819
Article 107 [Order of the President]	819
Article 108 [Change in circumstances]	822
Article 109 [New facts]	823
Article 110 [Suspension of enforcement of judgments or decisions]	824
CHAPTER 2. PRELIMINARY ISSUES	825
Article 111 [Manifestly inadmissible or unfounded]	825
Article 112 [Referring an action to the Court of Justice]	830
Article 113 [Absolute bar to proceedings, devoid of purpose]	831

Contents – Summary

Article 114 [Preliminary pleas not going to the substance]	834
CHAPTER 3. INTERVENTION	842
Article 115 [Admission as intervener]	843
Article 116 [Subsequent procedure]	848
CHAPTER 4. JUDGMENTS OF THE GENERAL COURT DELIVERED AFTER ITS DECISION HAS BEEN SET ASIDE AND THE CASE REFERRED BACK TO IT	857
Article 117 [Referral back to GC]	857
Article 118 [Formation of Judges]	857
Article 119 [Procedure after referral to the GC]	859
Article 120 [Applicable provisions]	859
Article 121 [Costs]	860
CHAPTER 4a. DECISIONS OF THE GENERAL COURT GIVEN AFTER ITS DECISION HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND THE CASE REFERRED BACK TO IT	860
Article 121a	861
Article 121b	861
Article 121c	861
Article 121d	862
CHAPTER 5. JUDGMENTS BY DEFAULT AND APPLICATIONS TO SET THEM ASIDE	862
Article 122 [Conditions]	862
CHAPTER 6. EXCEPTIONAL REVIEW PROCEDURES	867
SECTION 1. THIRD-PARTY PROCEEDINGS	867
Article 123 [Third-party proceedings]	867
Article 124 [Chamber hearing third-party proceedings]	870
SECTION 2. REVISION	870
Article 125 [Time-limits]	870
Article 126 [Contents of the application]	872
Article 127 [Competent formation]	873
Article 128 [Appeal and application for revision]	875
SECTION 3. INTERPRETATION OF JUDGMENTS	875
Article 129 [Contents of the application]	875
TITLE IV. PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS	
Article 130 [Procedural rules]	879
Article 131 [Language of the proceedings]	880
Article 132 [Additional indications in the application]	883
Article 133 [Service of the application]	884
Article 134 [Intervention]	885
Article 135 [Statement of defence, reply and rejoinder]	888
Article 135a [Ruling without oral procedure]	891
Article 136 [Costs]	891
TITLE V. APPEALS AGAINST DECISIONS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION CIVIL SERVICE TRIBUNAL	
Article 136a [Language of the proceedings]	894
Article 137 [Notice of appeal]	895
Article 138 a [Notice of appeal]	895
Article 139 [Petita]	896

Contents – Summary

Article 140 [Serving the notice of appeal]	897
Article 141 [Response]	897
Article 142 [Petita]	898
Article 143 [Reply and rejoinder]	898
Article 144 [Applicable provisions]	899
Article 145 [Appeal manifestly inadmissible or unfounded]	899
Article 146 [Oral procedure]	900
Article 147 [Preliminary report]	901
Article 148 [Costs]	901
Article 149 [Intervention]	902

FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 150 [Practice directions to parties]	902
Article 151 [Coming into force]	903
Index	905

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AJ.....	assistance judiciaire
Art./s.....	article/s
BENELUX.....	Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg
BRAGO.....	<i>Bundesgebührenordnung für Rechtsanwälte</i> (German Lawyers' Fees Order)
CEO.....	Chief Executive Officer
CFI (now GC)..	Court of First Instance
CJEU.....	Court of Justice of the European Union
CSM.....	Superior Council of Magistracy
CST.....	Civil Service Tribunal
DEP.....	Dépens (costs)
EAEC.....	European Atomic Energy Community
EC.....	European Community
ECHR.....	European Convention on Human Rights; European Court of Human Rights
ECJ.....	European Court of Justice; Court of Justice
ECR.....	European Court Report
EDPS.....	European Data Protection Supervisor
EEA.....	European Economic Area
EEC.....	European Economic Community
EEIG.....	European Economic Interest Groups
EFTA.....	European Free Trade Association
e.g.....	for instance
et seq.....	et sequentia
etc.....	et cetera
EU.....	European Union
EUCFR.....	EU Charter on Fundamental Rights
GC.....	General Court
IDOC.....	Investigation and Disciplinary Office of the Commission
ILO.....	International Labour Organisation
IP.....	Intellectual Property
lit.....	litera
MEP.....	Member of the European Parliament
NASA.....	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NOK.....	Norwegian Crown
OHIM.....	Office of Harmonization of the Interior Market
OJEU.....	Official Journal of the European Union
OLAF.....	European Anti-fraud Office
Oppo.....	Opposition; opposing
P.....	pourvoi
p./pp.....	page/s
para./s.....	paragraph/s
R.....	référé
REV.....	révision
RP.....	Rules of Procedure
RP CST.....	Rules of Procedure of the Civil Service Tribunal

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

RP ECJ.....	Rules of Procedure of the European Court of Justice
RP GC.....	Rules of Procedure of the General Court
SC	Staff cases
Statute ECJ.....	Statute of the Court of Justice of the European Union
T.....	Tribunal
TEAEC	Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community
TEU.....	Treaty on the European Union
TFEU	European Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TO	tierce opposition
UK.....	United Kingdom
UNCPR	The United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
US.....	United States
WTO.....	World Trade Organization
WWF.....	World Wild Life Fund

Table of Cases

Court of Justice (ECJ)

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-5/55	<i>Assider v High Authority</i>	[1955] ECR 263	Stat 43, 7
C-6/64	<i>Costa/ENEL</i>	[1964] ECR 1307	Stat 23, 14, 51, 69
C-25/65	<i>Simet and Feram v High Authority</i>	[1967] ECR 42	Stat 45, 17
C-24/66	<i>Gesellschaft für Getreidehandel</i>	[1975] ECR 1599	Stat 43, 1
C-13/67	<i>Becher</i>	[1968] ECR 289	Stat 43, 3
C-4/68	<i>Schwarzwaldmilch v Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle</i>	[1968] ECR 377	Stat 45, 16
C-12/68	<i>X v Commission de contrôle</i>	[1969] ECR 109	Stat 41, 6
C-13/68	<i>Salgoil</i>	[1968] ECR 632	Stat 19, 10
C-19/68	<i>di Cicco</i>	[1968] ECR 689	Stat 23, 51
C-12/69	<i>Wonnerth v Commission</i>	[1969] ECR 577	Stat 40, 2; 42, 4
C-40/70	<i>Sirena v Eda</i>	[1979] ECR 3169	Stat 23, 15; 43, 3
C-12/71	<i>Henck</i>	[1971] ECR 743	Stat Intro 25
C-82/71	<i>S.A.I.L.</i>	[1972] ECR 119	Stat 23, 23
C-5/72	<i>Grassi v Amministrazione delle finanze dello Stato</i>	[1974] ECR 443	Stat, 23, 16
C-60/72	<i>Campogrande v Commission</i>	[1973] ECR 489	Stat Intro 25
C-62/72	<i>Bollmann</i>	[1973] ECR 269	Stat 23, 51
C-12/73	<i>Muras v Hauptzollamt Hamburg Jonas</i>	[1973] ECR 963	Stat 23, 6
C-115/73	<i>Serio v Commission</i>	[1974] ECR 671	Stat 44, 8
C-162/73	<i>Birra Dreher v Amministrazione delle finanze dello Stato</i>	[1974] ECR 201	Stat 23, 20
C-173/73	<i>Union Syndicale A. O. v Council</i>	[1974] ECR 917	Stat 40, 29
C-36/75	<i>Elz v Commission</i>	[1977] ECR 1617	Stat 44, 7
C-35/76	<i>Simmenthal Spa v Ministero delle finanze,</i>	[1976] ECR 1871	Stat 23, 14
C-85/76	<i>Hoffmann-Laroche v Commission</i>	[1979] ECR 461	RP GC 46, 31

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-107/76	<i>Hoffmann-Laroche v Centrafarm</i>	[1977] ECR 957	Stat 23, 44
C-126/76	<i>Dietz v Commission</i>	[1976] ECR 2132	RP GC 91, 29
C-24/77	<i>Getreidehandel v Commission</i>	[1973] ECR 1599	RP GC 129, 3
C-116/78	<i>Bellintani v Commission</i>	[1980] ECR 23	Stat 44, 1
C-138/79	<i>Roquette v Council</i>	[1980] ECR 333	Stat 40, 3
C-139/79	<i>Maizena v Council</i>	[1980] ECR 3393	Stat 40, 3
C-155/79	<i>AM & S v Commission</i>	[1982] ECR 1575	Stat 19, 25
C-197/80 et seq.	<i>Ludwigshafener Walzmühle v Council and Commission</i>	[1981] ECR 1041	Stat 40, 15
C-244/80	<i>Foglia v Novello</i>	[1981] ECR 3045	Stat 23, 4
C-256/80 et seq.	<i>Birra Wührer</i>	[1982] ECR 85	Stat 46, 1
C-5/81	<i>Birra Wührer</i>	[1982] ECR 85	Stat 46, 1
C-9/81	<i>Court of Auditors v Williams</i>	[1983] ECR 2859	Stat 40, 6
C-283/81	<i>Cilfit</i>	[1982] ECR 3415	Stat 23, 45
C-35-36/82	<i>Morson and Jhanjan</i>	[1982] ECR 3723	Stat 23, 44
C-91/82; 200/82	<i>Chris International Foods v Commission</i>	[1983] ECR 417	Stat 40, 13
C-284/82	<i>Busseni v Commission</i>	[1984] ECR 557	Stat 45, 27
C-63/83	<i>Regina v Kent Kirk</i>	[1984] ECR 02689	Stat Intro 14
C-131/83	<i>Vaupel v Court of Auditors</i>	*	RP GC, 25, 1
C-209/83	<i>Ferriera Valsabbia v Commission</i>	[1984] ECR 3089	Stat 45, 18
C-224/83	<i>Ferriera Vittoria v Commission</i>	[1984] ECR 2349	Stat 45, 27
C-294/83	<i>Les Verts</i>	[1986] ECR 1339	Stat 23, 69
C-42/84	<i>Remia A. O. v Commission</i>	[1985] ECR 2545	RP GC 104, 55
C-50/84	<i>Bensider A. O. v Commission</i>	[1984] 3991	RP GC 44, 81
C-101/84	<i>Commission v Italy</i>	[1986] ECR 2629	Stat 45, 14
C-142/84	<i>BAT and Reynolds v Commission</i>	[1986] ECR 1899	
C-169/84	<i>CdF Chimie AZF v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR I-3083	RP ECJ 70, 5
C-222/84	<i>Marguerite Johnson v Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary</i>	[1986] ECR 01651	Stat Intro 13
C-15/85	<i>Consortio Cooperative d'Abruzzo v Commission</i>	[1987] ECR 1005	Stat 46, 1
C-42/85	<i>Cockerill v Commission</i>	[1987] ECR, 3749	Stat 45, 27
C-69/85	<i>Wünsche v Germany</i>	[1986] ECR 947	Stat 23, 15, 49, 50; 41, 1; 62a, 4
C-89/85 et seq.	<i>Ahlström and others v. Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-1307	RP ECJ 70, 3; 154, 6
C-146/85	<i>Maindiaux A. O. v ECOSOC</i>	[1988] ECR 2003	RP GC 129, 3
C-152/85	<i>Misset v Council</i>	[1987] ECR 223	RP GC 101, 2, 4

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-257/85	<i>Dufay v Parliament</i>	[1987] ECR-01561	Stat Intro 14
C-276/85	<i>Cladakis v Commission</i>	[1987] ECR 00495	Stat 45, 25
C-314/85	<i>Foto-Frost</i>	[1987] ECR 4199	Stat 23, 69
C-351/85; 360/85	<i>Fabrique de fer de Charleroi v. Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-3639	RP ECJ 127, 5
C-358/85	<i>France v Parliament</i>	[1986] ECR 2149	Stat 40, 4
C-403/85	<i>Ferrandi v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-1215	RP ECJ 159, 6
C-12/86	<i>Demirel v Stadt Schwäbisch Gmünd</i>	[1987] ECR 3719	Stat 23, 11
C-14/86	<i>Pretore di Salò</i>	[1987] ECR 2545	Stat 23, 49
C-80/86	<i>Kolpinghuis</i>	[1987] ECR 3969	Stat 23, 14
C-97/86 et seq.	<i>Asteris v Commission</i>	[1988] ECR 2181	Stat 43, 6
C-147/86	<i>Fédération professionnelle de deuxième degré-POIFXG v Greece and Commission</i>	[1989] ECR 4103	RP ECJ 157, 3
C-20/88	<i>Roquette frères v Commission</i>	[1989] ECR 1553	Stat 46, 3
C-143/88	<i>Süderdithmarschen v Hauptzollamt Izehoe</i>	[1991] ECR 415	Stat 23, 23
C-152/88	<i>Sofrimport v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-153	RP ECJ 87, 1
C-229/88	<i>Cargill and others v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR I-1303	RP ECJ 120, 4; 138, 2
C-286/88	<i>Falcolia v Comune di Pavia</i>	[1990] ECR I-191	Stat 45, 16
C-297/88	<i>Dzodzi v Etat belge</i>	[1990] ECR I-3763	RP ECJ 93, 12
C-330/88	<i>Grifoni v EAEC</i>	[1991] ECR I-1045	RP ECJ 120, 19
C-69/89	<i>Nakajima v Council</i>	[1991] ECR I-2069	RP ECJ 140, 2
C-100-101/89	<i>Procacci</i>	[1990] ECR I-4647	Stat 23, 30
C-104/89	<i>Mulder and others v Council and Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-203	RP ECJ 120, 26; 144, 9, 11, 17
C-146/89	<i>Commission v United Kingdom</i>	[1992] ECR I-3533	RP ECJ 138, 4
C-197/89	<i>Dzodzi</i>	[1990] ECR I-3763	RP ECJ 93, 12
C-206/89	<i>S v Commission</i>	[1989] ECR 2841	RP ECJ 160, 8
C-213/89	<i>The Queen v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte Factortame</i>	[1990] ECR I-2433	RP ECJ 92, 10
C-314/89	<i>Rauh</i>	[1991] ECR I-01647	Stat 23, 6, 10
C-348/89	<i>Mecanarte-Metalurgica v Alfandego do Porto</i>	[1991] ECR I-3277	Stat 23, 43; RP ECJ 92, 11, 93, 9
C-355/89	<i>Barr and Montrose Holdings</i>	[1991] ECR I-3497	Stat 23, 30
C-368/89	<i>Crispoltoni</i>	[1991] ECR I-3715	RP ECJ 93, 10
C-371/89	<i>Emrich v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR I-1555	RP ECJ 53, 10
C-6/90; 9/90	<i>Francovich and others</i>	[1991] ECR I-5357	Stat 23, 69
C-37/90	<i>Mulder and others v. Council and Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-203	RP ECJ 120, 26
C-51/90; 59/90	<i>Comos Tank A. O. v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR I-2167	RP ECJ 160, 23
C-66/90	<i>PTT v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-2723	Stat 54, 3

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-72/90	<i>Asia Motor France v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR I-2181	Stat 54, 4; RP ECJ 53, 10
C-115/90	<i>Turner v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-1423	RP ECJ 181, 3
C-126/90	<i>Bocos Viciano v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-781	RP ECJ 166, 6
C-132/90	<i>Schwedler v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-5745	Stat 58, 7
C-159/90	<i>Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Ireland</i>	[1991] ECR I-4685	RP ECJ 93, 8, 9
C-174/90	<i>Lopes v Court of Justice</i>	[1996] ECR I-6401	Stat 19, 15
C-185/90	<i>Gill v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-993	Stat 44, 3, 9; RP ECJ 53, 5; RP GC 127, 2
C-195/90	<i>Commission v Germany</i>	[1990] ECR I-3351	RP ECJ 162, 3
C-242/90	<i>Commission v Albani and others</i>	[1993], ECR I-3839	Stat 58, 31
C-255/90	<i>Burban v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR I-2253	RP ECJ 141, 3
C-283/90	<i>Vidrányi v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-4339	Stat 36, 1; RP ECJ 166, 14; 170, 2
C-285/90	<i>Tsitouras and others v Greece</i>	[1991] ECR I-787	RP ECJ 53, 7
C-294/90	<i>British Aerospace v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-5423	RP ECJ 144, 5, 8, 18
C-295/90	<i>Council v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR I-5299	RP ECJ 159, 14
C-313/90	<i>CIRFS and Others v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-1125	Stat 40, 40, 42; RP ECJ 132, 4; 150
C-320-322/90	<i>Telemarsicabruzzo</i>	[1993] ECR I-393	RP ECJ 94, 2, 3
C-346/90	<i>F. v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-2691	RP ECJ 181, 3
C-30/91	<i>Lestelle v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-3755	Stat 58, 7; 61, 2; RP ECJ 141, 3; 166, 9
C-59/91	<i>France v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-526	Stat 45, 21
C-68/91	<i>Moritz v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-6849	Stat 58, 7
C-130/91	<i>ISAE/VP and Interdata v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-65	Stat 44, 7; RP ECJ 159, 14; RP GC 127, 2
C-155/91	<i>Commission v Council</i>	[1993] ECR I-939	RP ECJ 132, 3
C-188/91	<i>Deutsche Shell AG</i>	[1993] ECR I-363	Stat 23, 13
C-189/91	<i>Jippes and others</i>	[2001] ECR I-5689	RP ECJ 105, 9
C-195/91	<i>Bayer v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-5619	Stat 45, 16, 27, 30
C-213/91	<i>Abertal A. O. v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-5109	RP GC 104, 25
C-232-233/91	<i>Petridi and others v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR I-5351	RP ECJ 53, 9
C-241-242/91	<i>RTE and ITP v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR I-743	RP ECJ 140, 2
C-244/91	<i>Pincherle v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-6965	Stat 40, 31
C-249/91	<i>Commission v France</i>	[1994] ECR I-787	RP ECJ 141, 2
C-267-268/91	<i>Keck and Mithouart</i>	[1993] ECR I-6097	RP ECJ 81; 83, 8, 11
C-272/91	<i>Commission v Italy</i>	[1992] ECR I-3929	RP ECJ 163, 3
C-277/91; 318/91	<i>Ligur Carn</i>	[1993] ECR I-6621	Stat 23, 25, 48
C-294/91	<i>Sebastiani v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR I-4998	Stat 58, 22; RP ECJ 181, 3
C-322/91	<i>TAO v AFI v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-6373	RP ECJ 120, 21

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-326/91	<i>De Compte v Parlement</i>	[1994] ECR I-2091	Stat 58, 20; RP ECJ 166, 10
C-6/92	<i>Federmineria A. O. v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-06357	Stat 40, 24
C-10/92	<i>Balocchi v Ministero delle finanze dello Stato</i>	[1993] ECR I-5105	Stat 23, 14, 20; RP ECJ 93, 7
C-24/92	<i>Corbiau</i>	[1993] ECR I-1300	Stat 23, 19, 36
C-29/92	<i>Asia Motor France v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-3935	RP ECJ 53, 9
C-36/92	<i>SEP v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-1911	RP ECJ 184, 3
C-37/92	<i>Vanacker and Lesage</i>	[1993] ECR I-4947	Stat 23, 14
C-40/92	<i>Commission v United Kingdom</i>	[1992] ECR I-3389	RP ECJ 160, 17
C-44/92	<i>TAO and others v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR I-6387	RP ECJ 53, 9
C-51/92	<i>Hercules Chemicals v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-4250	Stat 58, 31; RP GC 50, 14; 83, 1
C-53/92	<i>Hilti v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-669	Stat 58, 6, 8, 17
C-83/92	<i>Pierrel and others</i>	[1993] ECR I-6410	RP ECJ 94, 5
C-123/92	<i>Lezzi Pietro v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-809	RP ECJ 149, 1
C-136/92	<i>Commission v Brazzelli Lualdi A. O.</i>	[1994] ECR I-1981	RP ECJ 172, 3; 181, 3
C-157/92	<i>Banchero</i>	[1993] ECR I-1085	RP ECJ 53, 12; 94, 7
C-168/92	<i>Konstantinidis v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-1215	Stat 19, 19
C-199/92	<i>Huils v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-4287	Stat 58, 22, 31; RP ECJ 170, 4; RP GC 62, 7, 8; 64, 43; 66, 3; 68, 4
C-200/92	<i>ICI v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-4399	RP ECJ 168, 7
C-222/92	<i>SFEI A. O. v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-5431	RP ECJ 144, 9
C-244/92	<i>Kupka-Floridi v WSA</i>	[1993] ECR I-2041	RP ECJ 181, 2
C-278-280/92	<i>Spain v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-4103	Stat 40, 38
C-292/92	<i>Hünernund and Others</i>	[1993] ECR I-6787	Stat 23, 6
C-320/92	<i>Finsider v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-5697	Stat 58, 31
C-332-333/92; 335/92	<i>Eurico Italia and others v Ente Nazionale Risi</i>	[1994] ECR I-711	RP ECJ 93, 9; 94, 12
C-354/92	<i>Eppe v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-7054	RP ECJ 166, 12
C-364/92	<i>SAT Fluggesellschaft</i>	[1994] ECR I-43	Stat 23, 45; RP ECJ 93, 16
C-379/92	<i>Peralta</i>	[1994] ECR I-3453	Stat 23, 11; RP ECJ 92, 5
C-386/92	<i>Monin</i>	[1993] ECR I-2049	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-393/92	<i>Almelo</i>	[1994] ECR I-1477	Stat 23, 31
C-399/92; 409/92; 425/92	<i>Hemig and others</i>	[1994] ECR I-5727	RP ECJ 94, 2
C-412/92	<i>Parliament v Meskens</i>	[1994] ECR I-3757	Stat 58, 8; RP GC 129, 1
C-424/92	<i>Ladbroke Racing v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR I-2214	Stat 54, 5

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-5/93	<i>DSM v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-4695	Stat 56, 5; RP ECJ 166, 6; RP GC 127, 2, 6
C-30/93	<i>AC-ATEL Electronics</i>	[1994] ECR I-2305	RP ECJ 94, 5
C-34/93; 50/93; 79/93	<i>Hemig and others</i>	[1994] ECR I-5727	RP ECJ 94, 2
C-39/93	<i>SFEI A. O. v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-2701	Stat 58, 7
C-46/93; 48/93	<i>Brasserie du pêcheur et Factortame and others</i>	[1996] ECR I-1029	RP ECJ 36, 8
C-73/93	<i>Viho v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-5457	RP ECJ 166, 9
C-76/93	<i>Scaramuzza v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-5173	RP ECJ 170, 7
C-133/93	<i>Crispoltoni and others</i>	[1994] ECR I-4863	RP ECJ 94, 4
C-280/93	<i>Germany v Council</i>	[1993] ECR I-3667	RP ECJ 160, 20
C-298/93	<i>Klinke v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-3009	Stat 58, 7; RP ECJ 166, 7
C-300/93	<i>Crispoltoni and others</i>	[1994] ECR I-4863	RP ECJ 94, 4
C-310/93	<i>BPB Industries and others v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR I-865	Stat 58, 25, 31; RP ECJ 140, 2; 166, 11
C-316/93	<i>Vaneetveld</i>	[1994] ECR I-763	RP ECJ 94, 9
C-329/93	<i>Germany and others v. Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-5151	RP ECJ 54, 5
C-33893	<i>De Hoe v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR I-819	RP ECJ 166, 15; 181, 5; RP GC 44, 42
C-362/93	<i>Crispoltoni and others</i>	[1994] ECR I-4863	RP ECJ 94, 4
C-378/93	<i>La Pyramide</i>	[1994] ECR I-3999	RP ECJ 94, 8
C-396/93	<i>Henrichs v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR I-2611	Stat 58, 22
C-415/93	<i>Union royale belge des sociétés de football association and others v Bosman and others</i>	[1995] ECR I-4921	RP ECJ 93, 13
C-428/93	<i>Monin Automobiles</i>	[1994] ECR I-1707	RP ECJ 93, 13
C-7/94	<i>GAAL</i>	[1995] ECR I-1031	RP ECJ 28
C-58/94	<i>Netherlands v Council</i>	[1996] ECR I-2169	RP ECJ 132, 5
C-68/94	<i>France, SCPA and EMC v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-1375	RP ECJ 140, 2
C-87/94	<i>Commission v Belgium</i>	[1994] ECR I-1395	RP ECJ 160, 19
C-95/94	<i>Commission v Spain</i>	[1995] ECR I-1967	RP ECJ 141, 2
C-105/94	<i>Celestini v Saar-Sektellerei Faber</i>	[1997] ECR I-2971	RP ECJ 93, 16
C-106/94	<i>Colin and Dupré</i>	[1995] ECR I-4759	RP ECJ 54, 6
C-111/94; 139/94	<i>Job Centre</i>	[1993] ECR I-3361	Stat 23, 34
C-120/94	<i>Commission v Greece</i>	[1994] ECR I-3037; [1996] ECR I-1513	RP ECJ 141, 2; 160, 3
C-134/94	<i>Esso Espanola</i>	[1995] ECR I-4223	RP ECJ 101, 2
C-150/94	<i>United Kingdom v Council</i>	[1998] ECR I-7235	Stat 40, 38
C-167/94	<i>Grau Gomis and others</i>	[1995] ECR I-1023	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-199-200/94	<i>Inpesca v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-831	Stat 44, 1; RP ECJ 159, 9

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-253/94	<i>Roujansky v Council</i>	[1995] ECR I-7	Stat 58, 30; RP ECJ 166, 10
C-266/94	<i>Commission v Spain</i>	[1995] ECR I-1975	RP ECJ 53, 11
C-11/95	<i>Commission v Belgium</i>	[1996] ECR I-4115	RP ECJ 37, 6
C-12/95	<i>Tramasa and others v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR I-467	RP ECJ 175, 9
C-17/95	<i>Commission v France</i>	[1995] ECR I-4895	RP ECJ 141, 2
C-19/95	<i>San Marco v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-4435	RP ECJ 170, 4
C-27/95	<i>Woodspring district Council/Bakers of Nailsea</i>	[1997] ECR I-1847	Stat 23, 11; RP ECJ 94, 13
C-30/95	<i>France, SCPA and EMC v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-1375	RP ECJ 140, 2
C-51/95	<i>Unifruit Hellas v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-727	RP ECJ 166,15
C-58/95 et seq.	<i>Gallotti</i>	[1996] ECR I- 4345	RP ECJ 94, 9
C-62-063/95	<i>Germany and others v. Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-5151	RP ECJ 54, 5
C-74/95	<i>Criminal proceedings against X</i>	[1996] ECR I-6609	Stat 23, 40
C-91/95	<i>Tremblay A. O. v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-5547	RP ECJ 168, 2
C-97/95	<i>Pascoal & Filhos</i>	[1997] ECR I-420	Stat 45, 15
C-129/95	<i>Criminal proceedings against X</i>	[1996] ECR I-6609	Stat 23, 40
C-134/95	<i>USSL no. 47 di Biella v INAIL</i>	[1997] ECR I-195	RP ECJ 93, 13; 94, 12
C-143/95	<i>Commission v Securte</i>	[1997] ECR I-1	RP ECJ 181, 3; RP GC 102, 18
C-149/95	<i>Commission v Atlantic Container Line A. O.</i>	[1995] ECR I-2168	Stat 58, 22; RP ECJ 166, 6; RP GC 104, 5
C-168/95	<i>Arcaro</i>	[1996] ECR I-4705	RP ECJ 94, 16
C-173/95	<i>Hogan v Court</i>	[1995] ECR I-4905	RP ECJ 175, 8
C-178/95	<i>Wiljo/Belgische Staat</i>	[1997] ECR I-585	Stat 23, 58; RP ECJ 94, 15
C-181/95	<i>Biogen/Smithkline Beecham Biologicals</i>	[1996] ECR I-717	Stat 40, 7; RP ECJ 92, 2; Intro pre 129, 4
C-185/95	<i>Baustahlgewebe v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-8417	Stat 36, 4; 58, 25, 31; RP ECJ 168, 7; RP GC 55, 3; 64, 46; 83, 3, 6
C-219/95	<i>Ferriere Nord v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-4411	Stat 58, 25; RP ECJ 190, 2
C-245/95	<i>Commission v NTN Corporation and Koyo Seiko</i>	[1996] ECR I-559; [1999] ECR I-00001	Stat 40, 21, 31; RP ECJ 158, 7; 166, 3; 190, 5; RP GC 44, 72; 129, 3, 4
C-246/95	<i>Coen</i>	[1997] ECR I-403	RP ECJ 83, 10
C-248-249/95	<i>SAM Schifffahrt and others v Federal Republic of Germany</i>	[1997] ECR I-4475	RP ECJ 94, 13
C-257/95	<i>Bresle</i>	[1996] ECR I-233	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-293/95	<i>Odigitria v Council</i>	[1996] ECR I-6129	Stat 58, 8
C-299/95	<i>Kremzow v Austria</i>	[1997] ECR I-2629	RP ECJ 93, 13
C-307/95	<i>Max Mara</i>	[1995] ECR I-5083	RP ECJ 94, 1

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-317/95	<i>Canadane Chees Trading and Kouri</i>	[1997] ECR I-4681	RP ECJ 94, 21; 100, 3
C-326/95	<i>Banco de Fomento e Exterior</i>	[1996] ECR I-1386	RP ECJ 94, 6
C-337/95	<i>Parfums Christian Dior</i>	[1997] ECR I-6013	Stat 23, 30
C-352/95	<i>Phyteron International/Bourdon</i>	[1997] ECR I-1729	RP ECJ 94, 5, 15
C-359/95	<i>Commission A. O. v Ladbroke</i>	[1997] ECR I-6265	Stat 56, 19
C-379/95	<i>Commission A. O. v Ladbroke</i>	[1997] ECR I-6265	Stat 56, 19
C-390/95	<i>Antillean Rice Mills and others v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-769	RP ECJ 172, 1
C-2/96	<i>Sunino and Data</i>	[1996] ECR I-1543	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-23/96	<i>Commission v France</i>	[1997] ECR I-1221	RP ECJ 141, 2
C-30/96	<i>Abello and others v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-372	RP ECJ 181, 2
C-46/96	<i>Germany v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-1189	RP ECJ 149, 1
C-48/96	<i>Windpark Groothusen v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-2873	RP GC 102, 16, 17
C-50/96	<i>Deutsche Telecom</i>	[2000] ECR I-743	Stat 20, 21; RP ECJ 82, 4; 83, 4
C-54/96	<i>Dorsch Consult</i>	[1997] ECR I-4961	Stat 23, 18, 20, 26, 29, 35
C-69/96	<i>Garofalo and others</i>	[1997] ECR I-5603	Stat 23, 24
C-70-79/96	<i>Garofalo and others</i>	[1997] ECR I-5603	Stat 23, 24
C-101/96	<i>Italia Testa</i>	[1996] ECR I-3081	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-116/96	<i>Reisebüro Binder</i>	[1998] ECR I-1889	Stat 23, 15; 44, 1; RP ECJ 159, 3, 13
C-140/96	<i>Dimitriadis v Court of Auditors</i>	[1997] ECR I-5635	RP ECJ 181, 3
C-150/96	<i>Galtieri v Parliament</i>	[1997] ECR I-1229	RP ECJ 166, 7
C-156/96	<i>Williams v Rechnungshof</i>	[1997] ECR I-239	RP ECJ 166, 15
C-174/96	<i>Lopes v ECJ</i>	[1996] ECR I-6401	RP ECJ 57, 5
C-180/96	<i>United Kingdom v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-3903	RP ECJ 160, 3, 12, 20, 26
C-188/96	<i>Commission v P</i>	[1997] ECR I-6575	Stat 58, 7
C-191/96	<i>Modesti</i>	[1996] ECR I-3937	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-196/96	<i>Lahlou</i>	[1996] ECR I-3945	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-206/96	<i>Commission v Luxemburg</i>	[1998] ECR I-3401	RP ECJ 150
C-210/96	<i>Gut Springenheide</i>	[1998] ECR I-4657	Stat 36, 7
C-218-222/96	<i>Commission v. Belgium</i>	[1996] ECR I-6817	RP ECJ 54, 2
C-234-235/96	<i>Deutsche Telecom</i>	[2000] ECR I-799	Stat 20, 21
C-239-240/96	<i>United Kingdom v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-4475	RP ECJ 160, 7, 21
C-259/96	<i>Council v De Nil and Impens</i>	[1998] ECR I-2915	Stat 61, 3
C-266/96	<i>Corsica Ferries France v Gruppo Antichi Ormeggiatori del porto di Genova A. O.</i>	[1998] ECR I-3949	RP ECJ 93, 7
C-268/96	<i>SCK and FNK v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR I-4973	RP ECJ 166, 7
C-291/96	<i>Grado and Bashir</i>	[1997] ECR I-5531	RP ECJ 93, 12
C-309/96	<i>Annibaldi v Sindaco del Comune di Guidonia and Presidente Regione Lazio</i>	[1997] ECR I-7493	RP ECJ 93, 13

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-337/96	<i>Commission v Industrial Refuse and Coal energy</i>	[1998] ECR I-7943	RP ECJ 152, 3
C-354/96	<i>Commission v Italy</i>	[1997] ECR I-6007	RP ECJ 141, 2
C-386/96	<i>Dreyfus v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-2309	RP ECJ 166, 9
C-395-396/96	<i>Compagnie Maritime Belge Transports</i>	[2000] ECR I-1365	RP GC 81, 9
C-404/96	<i>Glencore v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-2435	Stat 61, 4
C-409/96	<i>Commission v Sveriges Betodlares Centralförening</i>	[1999] ECR I-4939	Stat 19, 5; RP ECJ 144, 12; RP GC 91, 20
C-412/96	<i>Kainuun Liikenne and Pohjolan Liikenne</i>	[1998] ECR I-5141	Stat 23, 60; RP ECJ 94, 15
C-416/96	<i>Eddline El-Yassine</i>	[1999] ECR I-1209	Stat 23, 27
C-9/97	<i>Jokela and Pitkäranta</i>	[1998] ECR I-6267	Stat 23, 27
C-66/97	<i>Banco de Fomento e Exterior</i>	[1997] ECR I-3757	RP ECJ 94, 6
C-73/97	<i>France v Comafrika A. O.</i>	[1999] ECR I-185	Stat 56, 21; RP ECJ 184, 4
C-95/97	<i>Région wallone v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-1787	RE ECJ 53, 8
C-103/97	<i>Köllensperger and Atzwanger</i>	[1999] ECR I-551	Stat 23, 29
C-104/97	<i>Atlanta v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-6983	RP ECJ 127, 6; 175, 8
C-110/97	<i>The Netherlands v Council</i>	[1997] ECR I-1795	RP ECJ 160, 4, 5
C-118/97	<i>Jokela and Pitkäranta</i>	[1998] ECR I-6267	Stat 23, 27
C-119/97	<i>Ufex v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-1341	Stat 58, 31; RP GC 64, 46
C-134/97	<i>Victoria Film</i>	[1998] ECR I-7023	Stat 23, 23, 28
C-151/97; 157/97	<i>National Power and PowerGen</i>	[1997] ECR I-3491	RP ECJ 130, 7
C-161/97	<i>Kernkraftwerke-Lippe-Ems v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-2057	Stat 20, 14; RP GC 52, 5; 58, 5
C-172/97	<i>SIVU</i>	[2001] ECR I-6699	RP ECJ 76, 2
C-179/97	<i>Nederlandse Antillen v Council and Commission</i>	*	RP GC 50, 4
C-180/97	<i>Regione Toscana v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-5245	RE ECJ 53, 8
C-221/97	<i>Schröder et. al. v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-08255	Stat Intro 14
C-256/97	<i>DM Transport</i>	[1999] ECR I-3913	RP ECJ 93, 15
C-265/97	<i>VBA v Florimex AO</i>	[2000] ECR I-2095	Stat 58, 8; RP ECJ 82, 5
C-266/97	<i>VBA v VGB and others and Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-2135	Stat 58, 8; RP ECJ 82, 5
C-270-271/97	<i>Deutsche Post AG v Sievers and Schrage</i>	[2000] ECR I-929	Stat 20, 21; RP ECJ 83, 4
C-274/97	<i>Commission v. Coal products</i>	[2000] ECR I-3175	RP ECJ 127, 3
C-316/97	<i>Parliament v Gaspari</i>	[1998] ECR I-7597	Stat 58, 35; 61, 4
C-327/97	<i>Apostolidis and others v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-6709	RP ECJ 184, 3
C-355/97	<i>Beck and Bergedorf</i>	[1999] ECR I-4977	RP ECJ 93, 11
C-361/97	<i>Nour/Burgenländische Gebietskrankenkasse</i>	[1998] ECR I-3101	Stat 23, 11

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-436/97	<i>Deutsche Bahn v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-2387	RP ECJ 181, 3
C-1/98	<i>British Steel v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-10353	RP ECJ 170, 4
C-2/98	<i>De Compte v Parliament</i>	[1999] ECR I-1787	Stat 44, 6; RP GC 127, 2, 3
C-9/98	<i>Agostini v Ligue francophone de judo et disciplines associées and Ligue belge de judo</i>	[1998] ECR I-4261	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-17/98	<i>Emesa Sugar</i>	[2000] ECR I-665	Stat 20, 21; RP ECJ 82, 5
C-28-29/98	<i>Charreire</i>	[1999] ECR I-01963	RP ECJ 93, 1
C-36/98	<i>Spain v Council</i>	[2001] ECR I-779	RP GC 37
C-40/98	<i>Commission v TVR</i>	[2001] ECR I-307	RP ECJ 138, 1
C-43/98	<i>Camar v Commission and Council</i>	[1998] ECR I-1815	RP GC 104, 23
C-64/98	<i>Petrides v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR I-65	Stat 44, 10
C-110-147/98	<i>Gabalfrisa and others</i>	[2000] ECR I-1577	Stat 23, 27
C-151/98	<i>Pharos v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I-5441	Stat 40, 27
C-155/98	<i>Alexopoulou v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-4069	RP GC 111, 14
C-159/98	<i>Government of the Dutch Antilles v Council</i>	[1998] ECR I-4147	RP GC 104, 49
C-164/98	<i>DIR International Film Srl v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-00447	Stat 58, 11
C-192/98	<i>ANAS</i>	[1999] ECR I-8583	Stat 23, 34
C-195/98	<i>Österreichischer Gewerkschaftsbund</i>	[2000] ECR I-10497	Stat 23, 28
C-200/98	<i>X AB and Y AB</i>	[1999] ECR I-8261	Stat 23, 28
C-248/98	<i>KNP BT v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-9641	Stat 58, 25
C-257/98	<i>Lucaccioni v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-5251	RP ECJ 166, 14, 15
C-291/98	<i>Sario v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-1213	RP ECJ 175, 8
C-295/98	<i>Italy v. Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-111	RP ECJ 53, 4, 9
C-299/98	<i>Imperial 2 and Unifrigo Gadus v. Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-8683	RP ECJ 127, 3; 166, 14
C-376/98	<i>Germany v Parliament and Council</i>	[2000] ECR I-2247; I-8419	Stat 36, 9; RP ECJ 131, 6; 166, 14; RP GC 42, 15
C-377/98	<i>The Netherlands v Parliament and Council</i>	[2000] ECR I-6229	RP ECJ 160, 15, 18
C-379/98	<i>PreussenElektra</i>	[2001] ECR I-2099	Stat 23, 51
C-393/98	<i>Gomes Valente</i>	[2001] ECR I-1327	Stat 23, 43
C-402/98	<i>ATB A. O.</i>	[2000] ECR I-5501	RP ECJ 92, 1; 93, 10
C-407/98	<i>Abrahamsson and Andreso</i>	[2000] ECR I-5539	Stat 23, 27
C-422/98	<i>Colonia Versicherung and others</i>	[1999] ECR I-1279	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-437/98	<i>Infrisa v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-7145	RP GC 14, 4; 111, 17
C-447/98	<i>Molkerei Großbraunschweig and Bene Nahrungsmittel v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-9097	Stat 40, 11
C-458/98	<i>Industrie des poudres sphériques v Council</i>	[2000] ECR I-8147	RP ECJ 169, 4

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-462/98	<i>Mediocurso v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-7183	Stat 58, 31; RP ECJ 168, 7
C-7/99	<i>Campoli v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-2679	RP ECJ 181, 2
C-13/99	<i>Team srl v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-4686	Stat 58, 7
C-41/99	<i>Sadam Zuccherifici and others v Council</i>	[2001] ECR I-4250	RP ECJ 166, 9
C-65/99	<i>Willeme v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-1857	RP GC 105, 9
C-67/99	<i>Commission v Ireland</i>	[2001] ECR I-5757	RP ECJ 120, 20
C-107/99	<i>Italy v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-1091	RP ECJ 132, 4
C-111/99	<i>Lech Stahlwerke v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-727	RP ECJ 166, 3
C-118/99	<i>France v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-747	Stat 40, 43
C-143/99	<i>Adria-Wien Pipeline und Wietersdorfer & Peggauer Zementwerke</i>	[2001] ECR I-8365	Stat 23, 14
C-178/99	<i>Salzmann</i>	[2001] ECR I-4421	Stat 23, 34
C-194/99	<i>Thyssen Stahl v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-10821	RP GC 83, 3
C-195/99	<i>Krupp Hoesch v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-10937	RP GC 83, 3
C-197/99	<i>Belgium v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-8461	Stat 58, 11; RP GC 81, 9
C-199/99	<i>Corus v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-11177	RP GC 83, 3
C-233/99	<i>Haugsted Hansen</i>	[2002] ECR I-3107	RP ECJ 94, 21; 100, 2
C-238/99 et seq.	<i>Limburgse Maatschappij and others v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-8375	RP ECJ 169, 4; RP GC 64, 36
C-248/99	<i>France v Monsanto and Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-46	Stat 58, 9
C-249/99	<i>Pescados Congelados Jogamar v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-8333	RP ECJ 181, 5
C-274/99	<i>Connolly v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-1611	Stat 36, 4; RP GC 81, 9
C-277/99	<i>Commission v Oder-Plan Architektur A. O.</i>	[2001] ECR I-7355	Stat 41, 1
C-280-282/99	<i>Moccia Irme A. O. v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-4717	RP GC 50, 10
C-299/99	<i>Philips</i>	[2002] ECR I-5475	RP ECJ 83, 4
C-302/99; 308/99	<i>Commission and France v TF1</i>	[2001] ECR I-5603	Stat 56, 5; RP ECJ 166, 9
C-321/99	<i>ARAP A. O. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-4287	RP ECJ 190, 2
C-335/99	<i>HFB A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-8705	RP GC 104, 35
C-349/99	<i>Commission v ADT Project</i>	[1999] ECR I-6467	Stat 56, 8; RP ECJ 181, 4
C-383/99	<i>Procter & Gamble v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR I-6251	RP ECJ 166, 5
C-472/99	<i>Clean Car Autoservice v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-9687	RP ECJ 102; 144, 7
C-480/99	<i>Gerry Plant and Others v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-265	Stat 58, 23, 31; RP GC 50, 18
C-514/99	<i>France v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-4707	RP ECJ 53, 9
C-516/99	<i>Schmid</i>	[2002] ECR I-4573	Stat 23, 36
C-17/00	<i>De Coste</i>	[2001] ECR I-9445	Stat 23, 32

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-23/00	<i>Council v Boehringer</i>	[2002] ECR I-1873	Stat 40, 43; RP ECJ 166, 5
C-39/00	<i>SGA v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-11201	RP GC 64, 39
C-41/00	<i>Interporc v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-2125	RP ECJ 166, 9
C-50/00	<i>Unión de Pequeños Agricultores v Council</i>	[2002] ECR I-6677	Stat 23, 69
C-57/00; 61/00	<i>Freistaat Sachsen v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-9975	RP GC 68, 5
C-76/00	<i>Petrotub SA et al v Council</i>	[2003] ECR I-00079	Stat 23, 7; RP ECJ 166, 13
C-86/00	<i>HSB-Wohnbau</i>	[2001] ECR I-5353	Stat 23, 34
C-92/00	<i>HI</i>	[2002] ECR I-5553	Stat 23, 29
C-99/00	<i>Lyckeskog</i>	[2002] ECR I-4839	Stat 23, 44
C-116/00	<i>Laguillaumie</i>	[2000] ECR I-04979	RP ECJ 93, 1; 94, 2
C-171/00	<i>Libéros v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-451	RP GC 14, 13
C-178/00	<i>Italy v. Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-303	RP ECJ 120, 14
C-182/00	<i>Lutz</i>	[2002] ECR I-547	Stat 23, 34
C-204/00 et seq.	<i>Aalborg Portland and others v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR I-123	Stat 58, 8; RP ECJ 166, 8
C-274/00	<i>Simon v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-5999	RP ECJ 181, 3
C-278/00	<i>Greece v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR I-8787	RP ECJ 160, 15
C-304/00	<i>Strawson and Gagg & Sons</i>	[2002] ECR I-10737	RP ECJ 94, 17
C-318/00	<i>Bacardi-Martini and Cellier des Dauphins</i>	[2003] ECR I-905	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-338/00	<i>Volkswagen v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-9189	Stat 58, 25
C-341/00	<i>Conseil national des professions de l'automobile a.o v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-5263	Stat 40, 18; RP GC 11, 1; 116, 5
C-421/00	<i>Sterbenz and Haug</i>	[2003] ECR I-1065	RP ECJ 94, 4
C-426/00	<i>Sterbenz and Haug</i>	[2003] ECR I-1065	RP ECJ 94, 4
C-445/00	<i>Austria v Council</i>	[2002] *	RP GC Prelims pre 42, 14
C-446/00	<i>Cubero Vermurie v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-10315	Stat 58, 7
C-447/00	<i>Holto</i>	[2002] ECR I-735	Stat 23, 34
C-459/00	<i>Commission v Trenker</i>	[2001] ECR I-2823	Stat 58, 12, 18
C-466/00	<i>Kaba</i>	[2003] ECR I-2219	Stat 23, 49; RP ECJ 93, 10
C-471/00	<i>Commission v Cambridge Healthcare Supplies</i>	[2001] ECR I-2865	Stat 58, 12
C-474/00	<i>Commission v Bruno Farmaceutici and others</i>	[2001] ECR I-2909	Stat 58, 12
C-475/00	<i>Commission v Hänseler</i>	[2001] ECR I-2953	Stat 58, 12
C-476/00	<i>Commission v Schuck</i>	[2001] ECR I-2995	Stat 58, 12
C-477/00	<i>Commission v Roussel und Roussel Diamant</i>	[2001] ECR I -3037	Stat 58, 12
C-478/00	<i>Commission v Roussel und Roussel Iberica</i>	[2001] ECR I-3079	Stat 58, 12
C-479/00	<i>Commission v Gerot</i>	[2001] ECR I-3121	Stat 58, 12

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-501/00	<i>Spain v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR I-6717	RP ECJ 154, 4
C-7/01	<i>FEG v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-2559	RP GC 104, 37
C-16/01	<i>Sterbenz and Haug</i>	[2003] ECR I-1065	RP ECJ 94, 4
C-57/01	<i>Makedoniko Metro and Michaniki</i>	[2003] ECR I-1091	RP ECJ 94, 16
C-103/01	<i>Commission v Germany</i>	[2003] ECR I-05369	Stat 23, 6
C-136/01	<i>Autosalone Ispra die Fratelli Rossi v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-6565	Stat 46, 10
C-172/01; 175-176/01; 180/01	<i>International Power and others v NA-LOO</i>	[2003] ECR I-11421	Stat 58, 11
C-184/01	<i>Hirschfeldt v European Environmental Agency</i>	[2002] ECR I-10189	Stat 61, 2
C-186/01	<i>Dory</i>	[2001] ECR I-7823	Stat 23, 4, 15; RP ECJ 92, 2
C-189/01	<i>Jippes</i>	[2001] ECR I-5689	Stat 23a, 10
C-191/01	<i>OHIM v Wrigley</i>	[2003] ECR I-12447	Stat 58, 27
C-217/01	<i>Hendrickx v Cedefop</i>	[2003] ECR I-3701	RP GC 44, 66
C-265/01	<i>Pansard and others</i>	[2002] ECR I-683	RP ECJ 94, 19
C-277/01	<i>Parliament v Samper</i>	[2003] ECR I-03019	Stat 58, 14
C-296/01	<i>Commission v France</i>	[2003] ECR I-13909	RP ECJ 120, 23
C-297/01	<i>Sicilcassa and others</i>	[2003] ECR I-7849	RP ECJ 93, 15
C-300/01	<i>Salzman</i>	[2003] ECR I-4899	Stat 23, 12
C-326/01	<i>Telefon and Buch v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR I-01371	Stat 58, 28
C-329/01	<i>Pfizer Animal Health v Council</i>	[1999] ECR I-8343	Stat 40, 30
C-359/01	<i>British Sugar v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR I-4933	Stat 58, 25
C-404/01	<i>Commission v Euroalliages</i>	[2001] ECR I-10367	RP GC 104, 32, 45
C-406/01	<i>Germany v Parliament and Council</i>	[2002] ECR I-4561	Stat 45, 7, 25
C-439/01	<i>Cipra and Kvasnicka</i>	[2003] ECR I-745	Stat 23, 11; RP ECJ 94, 17
C-440/01	<i>Commission v Artedogan</i>	[2002] ECR I-1489	RP GC 108, 1, 5, 7
C-440/01	<i>Artedogan v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-1489	Stat 39, 6
C-445/01	<i>Simoncello and Boerio</i>	[2003] ECR I-1807	RP ECJ 94, 10
C-456-457/01	<i>Henkel KGaA v OHMI</i>	[2004] ECR I-05089	Stat 58, 28
C-462/01	<i>Hammarsten</i>	[2003] ECR I-781	RP ECJ 94, 17
C-473-474/01	<i>Proctor and Gamble v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR I-05173	Stat 58, 28
C-481/01	<i>NDC Health and IMS Health v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-3401	RP GC 104, 23; 166, 6
C-502/01	<i>Gaumain-Cerri</i>	[2004] ECR I-6483	RP ECJ 103, 1; 154, 1; 154, 6
C-24/02	<i>Marseille Fret</i>	[2002] ECR I-3383	Stat 23, 35
C-31/02	<i>Gaumain-Cerri</i>	[2004] ECR I-6483	RP ECJ 103, 1; 154, 1; 154, 6
C-32/02	<i>Commission v Italy</i>	[2003] ECR I-12063	RP ECJ 57, 20; 152, 3
C-57/02	<i>Acerinox v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-6689	Stat 58, 18

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-69/02	<i>Reichling</i>	[2002] ECR I-3393	Stat 23, 35
C-71/02	<i>Karner</i>	[2004] ECR I-3025	RP ECJ 93, 16
C-136/02	<i>Commission v Brazzelli Lualdi</i>	[1994] ECR I-1981	Stat 56, 14, 17; 58, 8
C-140/02	<i>Anastasiou and others</i>	[2003] ECR I-10635	RP ECJ 83, 10
C-141/02	<i>Commission v Max.Mobil</i>	[2005] ECR I-1283	Stat 56, 7
C-186/02	<i>Ramondín and Ramondín Capsulas v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-2415	Stat 40, 24
C-189/02; 202/02; 205-208/02	<i>Dansk Rorindustri and others v. Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-5425	RP ECJ 120, 20; RP GC 48, 17; 68, 3
C-225/02	<i>García Blanco</i>	[2005] ECR I-523	RP ECJ 93, 6, 13
C-233/02	<i>France v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR I-2759	Stat 40, 43
C-234/02	<i>Mediator v Lamberts</i>	[2004] ECR I-2803	RP ECJ 166, 8
C-235/02	<i>Saetti and Frediani</i>	[2004] ECR I-1005	Stat 23, 28
C-244/02	<i>Kaupatalo Hansel</i>	[2003] ECR I-12139	RP ECJ 94, 19
C-245/02	<i>Anheuser Bush</i>	[2004] ECR I-10989	Stat 23, 11
C-263/02	<i>Jégo-Quéré v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR I-3425	Stat 23, 69; RP ECJ 166, 19; RP GC 111, 14
C-301/02	<i>Tralli v EZB</i>	[2005] ECR I-4071	Stat 58, 23, 35
C-304/02	<i>Commission v France</i>	[2005] ECR I-6263	RP ECJ 83, 4
C-323/02	<i>Commission v Hydrowatt</i>	[2003] ECR I-9071	RP ECJ 152, 4, 7
C-329/02	<i>SAT 1 v OHMI</i>	[2004] ECR I-08317	Stat 58, 7, 27
C-360/02	<i>Ripa di Meana v Parliament</i>	[2004] ECR I-10339	RP GC Prelims pre 63, 1; 114, 29
C-377/02	<i>Van Parys</i>	[2005] ECR I-1465	Stat 23, 12
C-385/02	<i>Commission v Italy</i>	[2004] ECR I-08121	Stat 45, 25
C-447/02	<i>KWS Saat v HABM</i>	[2004] ECR I-10107	Stat 58, 24
C-471/02	<i>Gómez-Reino v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-3207	RP GC 107, 4
C-17/03	<i>VEMV and others</i>	[2005] ECR I-4983	RP ECJ 93, 13
C-29-30/03	<i>Commission v ITEC</i>	[2003] ECR I-12205	RP ECJ 152, 4
C-39/03	<i>Commission v Artogodan and others</i>	[2003] ECR I-07885; I-4485	RP ECJ Intro pre 133 1, 7; 133, 4; 166, 13
C-53/03	<i>Syfait and others</i>	[2005] ECR I-4609	Stat 23, 37; 23, 38
C-71/03	<i>Comitato "Venezia vuole vivere" A. O. v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 58, 8
C-87/03; 100/03	<i>Spain v. Council</i>	[2006] ECR I-2915	RP ECJ 54, 2
C-105/03	<i>Pupino</i>	[2005] ECR I-5285	Stat 23, 28
C-123/03	<i>Commission v Greencore</i>	[2004] ECR I-11647	Stat 58, 7
C-134/03	<i>Viacom Outdoor</i>	[2005] ECR I-1167	RP ECJ 94, 10
C-145/03	<i>Keller</i>	[2005] ECR I-2529	RP ECJ 94, 2
C-165/03	<i>Längst</i>	[2005] ECR I-5637	Stat 23, 10, 28; RP ECJ 93, 13
C-176/03	<i>Commission v Council</i>	[2004] *	Stat 40, 4
C-187/03	<i>Drouvis v Commission</i>	[2004] *	RP ECJ 166, 9

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-199/03	<i>Ireland v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-8027	RP ECJ 120, 14
C-207/03; 252/03	<i>Novartis and others</i>	[2005] ECR I-3209	RP ECJ 54, 4
C-226/03	<i>José Martí Peix v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR I-11421	Stat 61, 2
C-240/03	<i>Comunità Montana della Valnerina v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR I-731	RP ECJ 166, 14
C-254/03	<i>Eduardo Vieira v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I -237	RP ECJ 166, 9
C-320/03	<i>Commission v Austria</i>	[2003] ECR I-11665	RP ECJ 160, 22
C-325/03	<i>Zuazaga Meabe/OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR I-403	Stat 45, 19
C-352/03	<i>Del Vaglio v Commission</i>	[2004] *	Stat 58, 24
C-365/03	<i>Industrias Químicas del Vallés v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR I-12389	RP GC 104, 60
C-376/03	<i>Zaans Veem</i>	[2005] ECR I-5821	RP ECJ 154, 10
C-396/03	<i>Killinger v Germany and others</i>	[2005] ECR I-4967	Stat 61, 2; RP GC 11, 2
C-438-439/03; 509/03	<i>Cannito</i>	[2004] ECR I-1605	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-442/03; 471/03	<i>P&O European Ferries (Vizcaya) v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR I-4845	Stat 43, 6; 56, 12; 58, 9, 11
C-451/03	<i>Servizi Ausiliari Dottori Commercialisti</i>	[2006] ECR I-2941	RP ECJ 93, 16
C-461/03	<i>Gaston Schul Douane Expediteur</i>	[2006] ECR I-10513	Stat 23, 45; RP ECJ 94, 13
C-475/03	<i>Banca popolare di Cremona</i>	[2005] ECR I-9373	RP ECJ 83, 7
C-499/03	<i>Biegi Nahrungsmittel u. Commonfood v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-1751	Stat 58, 8; RP ECJ 166, 8
C-508/03	<i>Commission v United Kingdom</i>	[2006] ECR I-3969	RP ECJ 53, 15
C-547/03	<i>AIT v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR I-845	RP GC 11, 1
C-551/03	<i>General Motors Nederland and others</i>	[2006] ECR I-3173	Stat 58, 8; RP ECJ 181, 3
C-2/04	<i>Cannito</i>	[2004] ECR I-1605	RP ECJ 94, 7
C-7/04	<i>Commission v Akzo and Akrcos</i>	[2004] ECR I-8739	RP ECJ 160, 12; RP GC Prelims pre 104, 2;
C-27/04	<i>Commission v Council</i>	[2004] ECR I-6649	RP ECJ Intro pre 131, 6; 133, 4
C-95/04	<i>British Airways v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-02331	Stat 58, 20
C-96/04	<i>Standesamt Stadt Niebüll,</i>	[2006] ECR I-03561	Stat 23, 34
C-125/04	<i>Denuit and Cordenier</i>	[2005] ECR I-923	Stat 23, 39
C-154/04	<i>Alliance of Natural Health and others</i>	[2005] ECR I- 6451	RP ECJ 105, 12
C-167/04	<i>JCB Service v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR I-8935	RP ECJ 166, 9
C-190/04	<i>French and others v Council and Commission</i>	[2005] *	Stat 58, 22
C-195/04	<i>Commission v Finland</i>	[2007] ECR I-03351	RP ECJ 120, 24
C-222/04	<i>Cassa di Risparmio di Firenze A. O.</i>	[2006] ECR I-289	RP ECJ 92, 12, 13
C-227/04	<i>Lindorfer v. Council</i>	[2007] ECR I-06767	RP ECJ 60, 5; 83, 8, 11
C-259/04	<i>Emanuel</i>	[2006] ECR I-3089	Stat 23, 18

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-273/04	<i>Poland v. Council</i>	[2006] ECR I-08925	RP ECJ 64, 1, 7
C-281/04	<i>Leighton and others v Council and Commission</i>	[2005] *	Stat 58, 25
C-286/04	<i>Eurocermex v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR I-5797	Stat 58, 8; RP ECJ 169, 3
C-293/04	<i>Beemsterboer Coldstore Services v Inspecteur der Belastingdienst</i>	[2006] ECR I-2263	Stat Intro 26
C-300/04	<i>Eman</i>	[2006] ECR I-8055	RP ECJ 105, 3
C-302/04	<i>Ynos</i>	[2006] ECR I-371	RP ECJ 93, 13
C-317/04	<i>Parliament v Council</i>	[2005] ECR I-2457	RP ECJ Intro pre 129 1; 133, 5
C-318/04	<i>Parliament v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-2467	Stat 40, 5
C-328/04	<i>Vajnai</i>	[2005] ECR I- 08577	RP ECJ 93, 1, 13
C-341/04	<i>Eurofood</i>	[2004] ECR I-3813	RP ECJ 133, 5
C-344/04	<i>International Air Transport Association A. O.</i>	[2006] ECR I-403	RP ECJ 105, 11, 13
C-403/04	<i>Sumitomo Metal Industries A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-729	RP GC 83, 7
C-411/04	<i>Salzgitter Mannesmann GmbH v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-959	Stat Intro 14
C-417/04	<i>Regione Siciliana v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR I-3881	RP GC 113, 5
C-466/04	<i>Acereda Herrera</i>	[2006] ECR I-05341	RP ECJ 93, 13
C-500/04	<i>Proxxon</i>	[2006] ECR I-1545	Stat 23, 14
C-521/04	<i>Tillack v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-3103	RP GC 104, 19
C-24/05	<i>Storck v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR I-5677	RP GC Prelims pre 130, 2
C-39/05; 52/05	<i>Turco v. Council</i>	[2009] ECR I-4723	RP GC 42, 12
C-110/05	<i>Commission v Italy</i>	[2007] *	RP ECJ 60, 5
C-196/05	<i>Sachsenmilch</i>	[2006] ECR I-05161	Stat 19, 23; 23, 14
C-229/05	<i>PKK and KVK v Council</i>	[2008] ECR I-00439	Stat 58, 8, 15
C-234/05	<i>BVBA De Backer</i>	[2005] *	RP ECJ 93, 1
C-246/05	<i>Häupl v Lidl</i>	[2007] ECR I-04673	Stat 19, 28
C-306/05	<i>SGAE</i>	[2006] ECR I-11519	RP ECJ 82, 5
C-331/05	<i>Internationaler Hilfsfond v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-5475	RP GC 91, 78
C-376-377/05	<i>Brünsteiner</i>	[2006] ECR I-11383	RP ECJ 94, 13
C-380/05	<i>Centro Europa 7</i>	[2008] ECR I-349	Stat 23, 6
C-432/05	<i>Unibet (London) and Unibet (International) Ltd v Justitiekanslern</i>	[2007] ECR I-2271	Stat Intro 14
C-115/06	<i>Radke</i>	[2006] ECR I-00000	RP ECJ 105, 11
C-168/06	<i>Ceramika Paradyz</i>	[2007] ECR I-00029	RP ECJ 94, 12
C-208-209/06	<i>Medion and Canon</i>	[2007] ECR I-07963	RP ECJ 54, 2
C-221/06	<i>Stadtgemeinde Frohnleiten v Gemeindebetriebe Frohnleiten</i>	[2007] ECR I-9643	RP GC 42, 13

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-269/06	<i>Commission v Translation Centre of the EU</i>	[2007] *	Stat 54, 3
C-323/06	<i>Commission v Kallianos</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 91, 29
C-341-342/06	<i>Chronopost</i>	[2008] ECR I-04777	Stat 18, 16, 18
C-12/07	<i>Autostrada dei Fiori and AISCAT</i>	[2007] ECR I-00162	RP ECJ 105, 11
C-107/07	<i>Weber v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-177	Stat 18, 19
C-109/07	<i>Pilato</i>	[2008] ECR I-3503	Stat 23, 21, 37
C-155/07	<i>Parliament v Council</i>	[2007] *	Stat 40, 4
C-158/07	<i>Förster</i>	[2008] ECR I-08507	Stat 45, 26
C-163/07	<i>Diy-Mar Insaat Sanayi v Ticaret and Akar v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-10125	RP ECJ Intro pre 49
C-171-172/07	<i>Apothekerkammer des Saarlandes A. O.</i>	[2009] ECR I-04171	Stat 18, 16
C-191/07	<i>Sellier v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR I-00113	Stat 45, 23
C-193/07	<i>Commission v Poland</i>	[2007] *	RP ECJ 133, 5
C-202/07	<i>France Télécom v Commission</i>	[2009] ECR I-2369	RP GC 81, 8
C-288/07	<i>The Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs</i>	[2008] ECR I-7203	Stat 19, 23
C-308/07	<i>Koldo Gorostiaga Atxalandabaso</i>	[2009] ECR I-01059	Stat 2, 1, 5; 18, 10, 11
C-385/07	<i>Der Grüne Punkt – Duales System Deutschland v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 83, 5
C-385/07	<i>Der Grüne Punkt</i>	[2009] ECR I-6155	Stat 47, 1
C-514/07; 528/07; 532/07	<i>Sweden v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR I-8533	RP GC 22, 1; Prelims pre 42, 15, 16
C-66/08	<i>Kozłowski</i>	[2008] ECR I-06041	Stat 23a, 10
C-83/08	<i>Glückauf Brauerei GmbH v Hauptzollamt Erfurt</i>	[2009] ECR I-2857	Stat 19, 23
C-118/08	<i>Transportes Urbanos y Servicios Generales</i>	[2010] ECR I-0000	Stat 23, 8
C-127/08	<i>Metock and others</i>	[2008] ECR I-06241	Stat 23a, 10
C-137/08	<i>VB Pénzügyi Lízing</i>	[2010] ECR I-10847	Stat 23, 22
C-175-176/08; 178-179/08	<i>Salahadin Abdulla and Others</i>	[2010] ECR I-1493	Stat 23, 8
C-195/08	<i>Rinau</i>	[2008] ECR I-05271	Stat 23a, 14
C-296/08	<i>Santesteban Goicoechea</i>	[2008] ECR I-06307	Stat 23a, 14
C-388/08	<i>Leymann and Pustovarov</i>	[2008] ECR I-08993	Stat 23a, 14
C-399/08	<i>Commission v Deutsche Post</i>	[2010] *	Stat Annex I, 11, 2
C-408/08	<i>Lancome v OHIM</i>	[2010] ECR I-01347	Stat 58, 28
C-425/08	<i>Enviro Tech</i>	[2009] ECR I-10035	Stat 2, 4
C-497/08	<i>Amiraïke Berlin</i>	[2010] *	Stat 23, 34
C-48/09	<i>Lego Juris v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	Stat 58, 27
C-51/09	<i>Barbara Becker v Harman International Industries</i>	[2010] ECR I-05805	Stat 58, 27
C-59/09	<i>Hasbro</i>	[2009] *	Stat 56, 9

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-67/09	<i>Nuova Agricast and Cofra v Commission</i>	[2010] *	Stat Intro 14
C-73/09	<i>Comitato "Venezia vuole vivere" et al v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 58, 8
C-76/09	<i>Comitato "Venezia vuole vivere" A. O. v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 58, 8
C-90/09	<i>General Química and Others v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 81, 9
C-112/09	<i>SGAE v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR I-351	Stat 45, 30, 31; RP GC 23, 7
C-118/09	<i>Koller</i>	[2010] *	Stat 23, 32
C-138/09	<i>Todaro Nunziatina</i>	[2010] ECR I-04561	Stat 23, 14
C-140/09	<i>Fallimento Traghetti del Mediterraneo</i>	[2010] *	Stat 23, 9
C-165-167/09	<i>Stichting Natuur en Milieu</i>	[2011] *	Stat 23, 14
C-196/09	<i>Miles and Others</i>	[2011] *	Stat 23, 41
C-197/09	<i>M v EMEA</i>	[2009] ECR I-12033	Stat 62, 2; 62a, 1; RP GC 121a
C-201/09; 216/09	<i>ArcelorMittel Luxembourg SA et al. v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat Intro 14
C-229/09	<i>Hogan Lovells International</i>	[2010] *	Stat 20, 21
C-242/09	<i>Albron Catering v FNV</i>	[2010] *	Stat 19, 23
C-344/09	<i>Bengtsson</i>	[2011] *	Stat 23, 34
C-357/09	<i>Kadzoev</i>	[2009] ECR I-11189	Stat 23a, 14
C-385/09	<i>Nidera Handelscompagnie</i>	[2010] *	Stat 23, 32
C-402/09	<i>Ioan Tatu</i>	[2011] *	Stat 19, 10
C-403/09	<i>Detiček</i>	[2009] ECR I-12193	Stat 23a, 14
C-408/09	<i>Municipio de Barcelos</i>	[2010] *	Stat 23, 17
C-457/09	<i>Claude Chartry v State of Belgium</i>	[2011] *	Stat Intro 7
C-491/09	<i>Evropaiki Dynamiki v ECB</i>	[2011] ECR I-0000	RP GC 114, 9
C-493/09	<i>Commission v Portugal</i>	[2010] *	Stat 40, 35
C-506/09	<i>Portugal v Transnautica</i>	[2010] *	Stat 54, 8
C-517/09	<i>RTL Belgium</i>	[2010] *	Stat 23, 36
C-546/09	<i>Aurubis Balgaria</i>	[2011] *	Stat Intro 14
C-550/09	<i>E and F</i>	[2010] ECR I-06209	Stat 23a, 10
C-661/09	<i>Molter v Germany</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
C-40/10	<i>Commission v Council</i>	[2010] *	Stat 30, 32, 33; 40, 4, 5
C-73/10	<i>Internationale Fruchimport Gesellschaft Weichert v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR I-11535	Stat Intro 13; 45, 33; RP GC Intro pre 100, 1
C-74-75/10	<i>European Renewable Energies Federation ASBL v Commission</i>	[2010] *	Stat 19, 15
C-124/10	<i>Commission v France</i>	[2010] *	Stat 40, 35
C-142/10	<i>Pérez Guerra v BNP Parisbas and Spain</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
C-143/10	<i>Tomasz Uznanski v Poland</i>	[2010] *	Stat Intro 5

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
C-266/10	<i>Seacid v Parliament and Council</i>	[2010] ECR I-133	RP GC 111, 19, 20
C-404/10	<i>Commission v Odile Jacobs</i>	[2012] *	RP GC Prelims pre 42, 13
C-426/10	<i>Bell & Ross v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	Stat 45, 33, 35; RP GC 44, 89
C-525/10	<i>Noko Ngele v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 56, 12
C-588/10	<i>Minister Finansów v Kraft Foods Polska</i>	[2012] *	Stat 19, 10
C-239/11	<i>Siemens v Commission</i>	[2012] *	Stat 40, 34, 35
C-265/11	<i>Campailla v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 19, 13, 16
C-272/11	<i>Noko Ngele v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 18, 19
C-411/11	<i>Altner v Commission</i>	[2011] *	Stat 18, 13

General Court (GC)

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-1-6/89	<i>Rhone-Poulenc A. O. v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-637	RP GC 50, 21
T-4/89	<i>BASF v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-1591	RP GC 125, 5
T-8/89	<i>DSM v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2399	RP GC 127, 2, 4
T-9/89	<i>Hüls v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-499	RP GC 50, 13, 21; 53, 4; 56, 3
T-14/89	<i>Montecatini v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2409	RP GC 125, 2
T-16/89	<i>Herkenrath A. O. v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-275	RP GC 122, 4
T-17/89; 21/89; 25/89	<i>Brazelli A. O. v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-293	RP GC 51, 7
T-18/89; 24/89	<i>Tagaras v ECJ</i>	[1992] ECR II-153	RP GC 91, 34, 54
T-26/89	<i>de Compte v Parliament</i>	[1997] ECR I-305; II-8476	RP GC 125, 2, 5; 126, 3; 127, 2
T-30/89	<i>Hilti v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-163	RP GC 116, 11, 18
T-32/89; 39/89	<i>Manopoulous v ECJ</i>	[1990] ECR II-281	RP GC 70, 6
T-33/89; 74/89	<i>Blackman v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-249	RP GC 44, 31, 35; 65, 2; 68, 6; 70, 6; 74; 91,4; 92, 10, 12
T-36/89	<i>Nijman v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-699	RP GC 44, 71; 70, 1, 4, 7, 9
T-37/89	<i>Hanning v Parliament</i>	[1990] ECR II-463	RP GC 48, 19
T-42/89	<i>Yorck von Wartenburg v Parliament</i>	[1990] ECR II-31	RP GC 46, 33; 122, 9
T-43/89	<i>Gill v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-303	RP GC 120, 1; 121
T-50/89	<i>Sparr v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-539	RP GC 44, 59; 85, 4
T-51/89	<i>Tetra Pak v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-309	RP GC 14, 6
T-64/89	<i>Automec v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-367	RP GC 44, 33, 37, 68; 86, 5; 113, 4

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-68/89; 77-78/89	<i>SIV A. O. v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-1403	RP GC 56, 3; 86, 5
T-78/89	<i>PPG Industries Glass v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-573	RP GC 91, 44, 60, 73; 92, 19, 26
T-80/89 et seq.	<i>BASF A. O. v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-729	RP GC 48, 11, 25; 50, 13; 64, 14, 21, 31; 102, 10
T-106/89	<i>Norsk Hydro v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-419	RP GC 127, 4
T-120/89	<i>Stahlwerke Peine Salzgitter v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1547	RP GC 91, 35, 38, 47; 92, 22, 24
T-121/89	<i>X v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2195	RP GC 57, 2
T-125/89	<i>Filtrona Espagnola v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-393	RP GC 86, 1; 101, 1, 2
T-134/89	<i>Hettrich A. O. v Commission</i>	[1990] ECR II-565	RP GC 44, 59, 65
T-139/89	<i>Virgili-Schettini v Parliament</i>	[1990] ECR II-535	RP GC 44, 84
T-169/89	<i>Frederiksen v Parliament</i>	[1991] ECR II-1403	RP GC 70, 1, 3, 4, 9
T-1/90	<i>Pérez-Minguez Casariego v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-143	RP GC Intro VIII, 26; 35, 23, 25; 44, 57; Prelims pre 115, 5
T-11/90	<i>H.S. v Council</i>	[1992] ECR II-1869	RP GC 114, 10, 31
T-12/90	<i>Bayer v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-219	RP GC 54, 15; 114, 30
T-13/90	<i>X v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2195	RP GC 57, 2
T-24/00	<i>Automec v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2223	RP GC 14, 6; 51, 5
T-16/90	<i>Panagiopoulou v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR II-89	RP GC 48, 11, 32
T-19/90	<i>Von Hoessle v Court of Auditors</i>	[1991] ECR II-615	RP GC 44, 53; 113, 4
T-21/90	<i>Generlich v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-1323	RP GC 44, 29; 48, 11; 64, 23
T-23/90	<i>Peugeot v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-653; II-2059	RP GC Prelims pre 87, 1; 91, 35, 57
T-26/90	<i>Finsider v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-1789	RP GC 32, 7
T-46/90	<i>Devillez A. O. v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-699	RP GC 77, 10
T-51/90	<i>Moretti v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-487	RP GC 58, 33
T-11/91	<i>Schloh v Council</i>	[1992] ECR II-203	RP GC 58, 30
T-13/91	<i>Harrison v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-179	RP GC 94, 10
T-15/91	<i>Bollendorf v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR II-1679	RP GC 113, 4, 5
T-16/91	<i>Rendo A. O. v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2417	RP GC 44, 31; 48, 20, 21
T-19/91	<i>Société d'hygiène dermatologique de Vichy v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 95, 4
T-22/91	<i>Raiola-Denti A. O. v Council</i>	[1993] ECR II-817	RP GC 129, 5
T-24/91	<i>Gomez Gonzales A.O v Council</i>	[1992] ECR II-1907	RP GC 62, 3
T-25/91	<i>Arto Hijos v Council</i>	[1992] ECR II-1907	RP GC 62, 3
T-26/91	<i>Kupka-Floridi v ECOSOC</i>	[1992] ECR II-1615	RP GC 64, 24; 68, 1

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-32/91	<i>Solvay v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-1823	RP GC 48, 25, 26; 65, 6; 86, 4
T-33/91	<i>Williams v Court of Auditors</i>	[1992] ECR II-2499	RP GC 58, 32; 62, 3
T-35/91	<i>Zubizarreto A.O v Albani</i>	[1992] ECR II-1599	RP GC 24, 5
T-36/91	<i>ICI v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-1847	RP GC 47, 18
T-37/91	<i>ICI v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-1901	RP GC 44, 41; 47, 18
T-39/91	<i>Herrmann v CEDEFOP</i>	[1992] ECR II-233	RP GC 111, 13
T-43/91	<i>Hoyer v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-297	RP GC 58, 32
T-43-44/91; 51-52/91	<i>Hoyer-Smets v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-319 [1995] ECR I-151	RP GC 58, 32; 91, 35, 53; 92, 28
T-48/91	<i>Minic v Court of Auditors</i>	[1991] ECR II-479	RP GC 111, 13
T-53/91	<i>Mergen v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2041	RP GC 48, 12, 29; 68, 7
T-54/91	<i>Almeida Antunes v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR II-1739	RP GC 47, 10. 64, 24
T-63/91	<i>White v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 77, 4
T-69/91	<i>Peroulakis v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-185	RP GC 58, 32
T-71/91	<i>Cordoano v ECOSOC</i>	[1991] *	RP GC 64, 33
T-73/91	<i>Gavilan v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR II-1555	RP GC 86, 32
T-77/91	<i>Hochbaum v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-1285	RP GC 110
T-78/91	<i>Moat v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-1387	RP GC 112
T-81/91	<i>Feltz v Parliament</i>	[1992] ECR II-1827	RP GC 86, 43, 47
T-84/91	<i>Dépens Meskens v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-757	RP GC 91, 9, 51
T-89/91	<i>“X” v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1235	RP GC 50, 13; 86, 46
T-1/92	<i>Tallarico v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-107	RP GC 62, 3
T-6/92; 52/92	<i>Reinartz v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1047	RP GC 60; 64, 24; 102, 9
T-7/92	<i>Asia Motor A. O. v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-669	RP GC 86, 5
T-9/92	<i>Peugeot v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2059	RP GC 91, 35, 57
T-13/92	<i>Moat v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-287	RP GC 86, 5
T-19/92	<i>Leclerc v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1851	RP GC 114, 13; 116, 26
T-21/92; 89/92	<i>“X” v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1235	RP GC 50, 13; 86, 46
T-22/92	<i>Weissenfels v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-1095	RP GC 47, 18; 48, 11, 12
T-24/92	<i>Langnese-Iglo and Schöller-Lebensmittel v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-1839	RP GC 107, 7
T-28/92	<i>Langnese-Schöller und Schöller Lebensmittel v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 116, 14
T-29/92	<i>SPO A. O. v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-289	RP GC 58, 26, 64, 26
T-34/92	<i>Fiatagri and New Holland Ford v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-905	RP GC 42, 6; 64, 31; 68, 8

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-47/92	<i>Lenz v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2523	RP GC 14, 6; 18, 3; 43, 19; 44, 78; 46, 5; 47, 21; 48, 5, 6; 101, 6; 114, 19, 21
T-52/92	<i>Reinarz v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1047	RP GC 60; 64, 24; 102, 9
T-54/92	<i>Schneider v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-887	RP GC 64, 35
T-55/92	<i>Kniff v Court of Auditors</i>	[1993] ECR II-823	RP GC 122, 5
T-56/92	<i>Koelman v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1267	RP GC 44, 47, 64
T-57/92; 75/92	<i>Yorck von Wartenburg v Parliament</i>	*	RP GC 50, 3
T-60/92	<i>Noonan v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-911	RP GC 114, 30
T-65/92,	<i>Arauxo-Dumay v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-597	RP GC 58, 9
T-66/92	<i>Herlitz v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-531	RP GC 48, 6
T-69/92	<i>Seghers v Council</i>	[1993] ECR II-651	RP GC 58, 9
T-70-71/92	<i>Florimex and VGB v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-693	RP GC 102, 9
T-72/92	<i>Benzler v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-347	RP GC 44, 31, 63
T-74/92	<i>Ladbroke Racing v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-535	RP GC 35, 12, 18, 23, 31; 36, 1, 2, 3, 4; 44, 54; 47, 17; 116, 5
T-77/92	<i>Parker Pen v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-549	RP GC 48, 28; 91, 79
T-79/92	<i>Ditterich v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-907	RP GC 64, 20
T-84/92	<i>Nielsen and Moller v ECOSOC</i>	[1993] ECR II-949	RP GC 48, 6
T-85/92	<i>De Hoe v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-523	RP GC 43, 19; 44, 28, 45, 47
T-91/92	<i>Daemen v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1491	RP GC 54, 14
T-92/92	<i>Loic Lallemand-Zeller v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-31	RP GC 95, 6; 96, 1, 4, 5
T-101/92	<i>Stagakis v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-63	RP GC 43, 14, 23; 44, 75, 91; 95, 6
T-102/92	<i>VIHO v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-17	RP GC 44, 31
T-106/92	<i>Frederiksen v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-99	RP GC 58, 25; 68, 1, 6
T-107/92	<i>White v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-143.	RP GC 58, 32
T-2/93	<i>Air France v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-533; [1996] ECR II-235	RP GC 91, 34, 55, 57; 92, 18, 19
T-3/93	<i>Air France v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-121	RP GC 35, 23
T-8/93	<i>Huet v Court of Auditors</i>	[1994] ECR II-103	RP GC 62, 8
T-10/93	<i>A v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-179	RP GC 57, 2; 64, 35; 116, 22
T-12/93	<i>Comité central d'entreprise de la société Vittel A. O. v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-449	RP GC 107, 2
T-15/93	<i>Vienne v Parliament</i>	[1993] ECR II-1327	RP GC 64, 23
T-17/93	<i>Matra Hachette v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-595	RP GC 35, 24
T-39/93; 553/93	<i>Baltsavias v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-695	RP GC 48, 13
T-46/93	<i>Michael-Chiou v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-929	RP GC 64, 35
T-87/93	<i>Kruidvat v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1363	RP GC 116, 4, 5

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-124/93	<i>Werner v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-91	RP GC 86, 1, 31, 34, 35, 40; 91, 31
T-158/93 et seq.	<i>Brandt A. O. v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-1133	RP GC 86, 41
T-281/93 et seq.	<i>Walsh A. O. v Council and Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-657	RP GC 86, 37
T-343/93 et seq.	<i>McCullough A. O. v Council and Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-667	RP GC 99, 2
T-429/93	<i>Héritiers d'Edmond Ropars v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2439	RP GC 14, 16
T-432-434/93	<i>Socurte A. O. v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-503	RP GC 44, 54; 102, 18
T-447/93	<i>AITEC v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1631	RP GC 91, 47
T-448/93	<i>British Cement Association v Commission</i>	[1998] *	RP GC 91, 53
T-452-453/93	<i>Pevasa and Inpesca v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-229	RP GC 102, 8, 13
T-459/93	<i>Siemens v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-1675	RP GC 48, 20; 116, 27
T-460/93	<i>Tête A. O. v EIB</i>	[1995] ECR II-229	RP GC 91, 51; 92, 32
T-465/93	<i>Conorzio gruppo di azione locale "Murgia Messapica" v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-3007	RP GC 102, 16
T-468/93	<i>Frinil v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-33	RP GC 44, 1; 102, 18
T-471/93	<i>Tiercé Ladbroke v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2537	RP GC 86, 25
T-479/93; 559/93	<i>Bernardi v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-1115	RP GC 14, 6
T-485/93	<i>Société Louis Dreyfus v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3659	RP GC 77, 8, 102, 18
T-492/93	<i>Nutral v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1024	RP GC 50, 6; 114, 29; 106, 2
T-497/93	<i>Hogan v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-703	RP GC 14, 7; 18, 3; 44, 52
T-506/93	<i>Moat v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-147	RP GC 64, 6, 37
T-508/93	<i>Mancini v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-761	RP GC 48, 25
T-515/93	<i>B v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-379	RP GC 44, 31
T-524/93	<i>Hennessy v Council and Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-61	RP GC 86, 36
T-525/93	<i>Griffin and Nash v Council and Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-71	RP GC 86, 36
T-527/93	<i>O v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-29	RP GC 114
T-533/93	<i>Bouma v Council and Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-203, 211	RP GC 44, 15; 51, 7; 77, 6
T-535/93	<i>F v Council</i>	[1995] ECR II-147	RP GC 48, 11; 64, 37
T-538/93	<i>Houlihan v Council and Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-81	RP GC 86, 36
T-539/93	<i>Hogan v Parliament</i>	*	RP GC 111, 13
T-541/93	<i>Coughlin v Council and Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-1235	RP GC 86, 36
T-543/93	<i>Gestevisión Telecinco v Commission</i>	[1993] ECR II-1409	RP GC 104, 29
T-547/93	<i>Lopes v ECJ</i>	[1996] ECR II-185	RP GC 44, 93; 48, 10, 31; 50, 16
T-549/93	<i>D v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-43, 47	RP GC 57, 2
T-551/93	<i>Industrias Pesqueras Compas SA v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-247	RP GC 44, 81

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-553/93	<i>Baltsavias v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-695	RP GC 48, 13
T-554/93	<i>Saint and Murray v Council and Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-563	RP GC 44, 26
T-556/93	<i>Saby v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR I-117; [1995] ECR II-375	RP GC 48, 12
T-562/93	<i>Obst v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR I 247; [1997] ECR II-737	RP GC 48, 2, 11
T-573/93	<i>Montoya v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-271; [1997] ECR II-761	RP GC 129, 5
T-575/93	<i>Koelman v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1	RP GC 44, 29, 64
T-589/93	<i>Ryan-Sheridan v Stiftung zur Verbesserung der Lebens- und Arbeitsbedingungen</i>	[1994] ECR II-257; [1996] ECR II-77	RP GC 58, 29
T-7/94	<i>Adriaenssens A. O. v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-1; [1997] ECR II-1	RP GC 86, 25
T-20/94	<i>Hartmann v Council and Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-595	RP GC 44, 26, 29
T-40/94	<i>V v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-1753	RP GC 119
T-60/94	<i>Pierrat v ECJ</i>	[1995] ECR I-23; [1995] ECR II-77	RP GC 64, 4, 20, 25
T-66/94	<i>Auditel v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-239	RP GC 35, 23
T-70/94	<i>Comafica and Dole Fresh Fruit Europe v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1741	RP GC 51, 7; 64, 20; 114, 15
T-85/94	<i>Branco v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-17; [1998] ECR II-2667	RP GC 50, 6; 91, 70; 122, 2, 11
T-88/94	<i>Société commerciale des potasses et de l'azote v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-263; II-401	RP GC 104, 36; 107, 2
T-97/94	<i>Coussios v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-1879	RP GC 77, 10
T-99/94	<i>Asocarne v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-871	RP GC 111, 14
T-100/94	<i>Michailidis A. O. v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3115	RP GC 64, 20
T-115/94	<i>Opel Austria v Council</i>	[1998] ECR II-2739	RP GC 91, 53
T-134/94 et seq.	<i>NMH Stahlwerke and Others v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-2293	RP GC 50, 13; 51, 5; 64, 48; 65, 8
T-140/94	<i>Enrique Gutiérrez de Quijano y Llorens v Parliament</i>	[1996] ECR II-689	RP GC 104, 65
T-141/94	<i>Thyssen Stahl v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-347	RP GC 56, 3
T-146/94	<i>Williams v Court of Auditors</i>	[1994] ECR II-571	RP GC 105, 14
T-158/94	<i>Brunagel v Parliament</i>	[1996] ECR II-1131	RP GC 86, 25
T-159-160/94	<i>Ajinomoto and Nutrasweet v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-2461	RP GC 64, 19
T-161/94	<i>Sinochem Heilongjiang v Council</i>	[1996] ECR II-695	RP GC 44, 81, 83
T-163/94; 165/94	<i>NTN Corporation and Koyo Seiko v Council</i>	*	RP GC 92, 20
T-167/94	<i>Nölle v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2589	RP GC 44, 37; 91, 25, 61
T-169/94	<i>PIA HIFI v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-1735	RP GC 44, 59
T-170/94	<i>Shanghai Bicycle v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-1383	RP GC 44, 81, 83
T-171/94	<i>Descom Scales v Council</i>	[1995] ECR II-2413	RP GC 48, 2

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-177/94	<i>Altmann A. O. v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-1245; [1998] ECR I-299	RP GC 91, 8, 47, 49, 53; 92, 22; 111, 10
T-180/94	<i>M v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-663	RP GC 44, 1; 44, 37
T-185/94	<i>Geotronics v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-519	RP GC 104, 33
T-220/94	<i>Deutsche Bahn v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1689	RP GC 48, 22
T-231-232/94	<i>Transacciones Maritimas v Commission</i>	[1994] ECR II-885	RP GC 104, 35; 107, 6
T-233/94	<i>Industrias Pesqueras Compos SA v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-247	RP GC 44, 81
T-234/94	<i>British Steel v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-835	RP GC 113, 3
T-235/94	<i>Galtieri v Parliament</i>	[1996] ECR II-129	RP GC 54, 14, 15, 62, 10
T-239/94	<i>EISA v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1839	RP GC 116, 26
T-260/94	<i>Air Inter v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-997	RP GC 86, 18
T-266/94	<i>Skibsværftsforeningen A.O v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1399	RP GC 35, 24; 70, 5
T-271/94	<i>Branco v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3761	RP GC 91, 51, 54, 64, 65, 69, 70; 92, 10
T-276/94	<i>Buick v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-667	RP GC 64, 6
T-280/94	<i>Lopes v Court of Justice</i>	[1996] ECR II-239	RP GC 47, 20; 114, 19
T-290/94	<i>Kayserberg v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2247; [1997] ECR II-2137; [1998] ECR II-4105	RP GC 35, 19; 91, 38, 57, 58; 116, 26
T-303/94	<i>De Jong v Council and Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-305/94 et seq.	<i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-930, 931	RP GC 32, 2; 46, 12; 64, 5; 86, 9
T-308/94	<i>Cascades v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-265	RP GC 104, 41; 109, 3
T-330/94	<i>Salt Union v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2881	RP GC 35, 20
T-331/94	<i>IPK-München v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-51	RP GC 91, 45, 64; 92, 3; 119
T-336/94	<i>Efisol v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1343	RP GC 86, 24
T-337/94	<i>Enzo-Gutzeit v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-479	RP GC 91, 55; 92, 3
T-352/94	<i>Mo och Domsjö v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-19889	RP GC 44, 25
T-368/94	<i>Blanchard v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1	RP GC 86, 30
T-371/94; 394/94	<i>British Airways A. O. and British Midlands v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2405	RP GC 35, 24; 116, 26
T-373/94	<i>Werners v Council and Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-4631	RP GC 64, 34
T-374 et seq.	<i>European Night Services A. O. v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3141	RP GC 102, 8
T-387/94	<i>Asia Motor France A. O. v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-961	RP GC 113, 4
T-392/94	<i>Phelan v Council</i>	[1996] ECR II-295	RP GC 86, 47
T-395/94	<i>Atlantic Container A. O. v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2893	RP GC 104, 8, 9
T-398/94	<i>Kahn Scheepvaart v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-477	RP GC 14, 6; 48, 15

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-2/95	<i>Industrie des poudres sphériques v Council</i>	[1995] ECR II-533; [2000] ECR II-463	RP GC 91, 57, 71
T-5/95	<i>Amicale des résidents du square d'Auvergne v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-255	RP GC 92, 20; 104, 16
T-6/95	<i>Cantine dei colli Berici v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-647	RP GC 104, 7, 13, 19, 35
T-8-9/95	<i>Pelle A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-4117	RP GC 64, 8
T-10/95	<i>Akli Chehab v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-721	RP GC 48, 28
T-11/95	<i>BP Chemicals v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-599	RP GS 35, 5, 20, 24, 30
T-25/95 et seq.	<i>Cimenteries A. O. v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-491	RP GC 53, 4
T-38/95	<i>Groupe Origny v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-217	RP GC 91, 17, 44; 92, 3
T-73/95	<i>Oliveira v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-381	RP GC 86, 24
T-79-80/95	<i>SNCF and British Railways v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-1433	RP GC 104, 39
T-81/95	<i>Interhotel v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-81	RP GC 86, 24
T-90/95	<i>Gill v Commission</i>	[1991] ECR II-1231	RP GC 70, 1
T-97/95	<i>Sinochem v Council</i>	[1999] ECR II-743; [2000] ECR II-1715	RP GC 91, 56, 76, 77
T-104/95	<i>Tsimenta Chalkidos v Commission</i>	[1995] ECR II-2235	RP GC 104, 28, 57; 108, 4; 109, 3
T-110/95	<i>IECC v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3605	RP GC 62, 8
T-119/95	<i>Hauer v Council and Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2713	RP GC 113, 5
T-121/95	<i>EFMA v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-87	RP GC 35, 18, 24
T-129/95	<i>Neue Maxhütte Stahlwerke and Lech-Stahlwerke v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 50, 5
T-133/95; 294/95	<i>IECC v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3645	RP GC 62, 9; 116, 24
T-140/95	<i>Ryanair v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3327	RP GC 102, 15; 116, 216
T-145/95	<i>Proderex v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-823	RP GC 113, 7
T-146/95	<i>Bernardi v Parliament</i>	[1996] ECR II-769	RP GC 44, 64; 104, 65
T-147/95	<i>Pavan v Parliament</i>	[1996] ECR II-861	RP GC 64, 23
T-153/95	<i>Kaps v ECJ</i>	[1996] ECR II-663	RP GC 48, 27
T-155/95	<i>LPN and GEOTA v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2751	RP GC 102, 17
T-169/95	<i>Quijano v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-273	RP GC 33, 5; 57, 3
T-174/95	<i>Svenska Journalistförbundet v Council</i>	[1998] ECR II-2289	RP GC 86, 22; 116, 7
T-175/95	<i>BASF v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-158	RP GC 62, 12; 64, 44
T-187/95	<i>R v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-729	RP GC 64, 5, 25
T-188/95	<i>Waterleiding Maatschappij "Noord-West Brabant" v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3713	RP GC 102, 16
T-189/95	<i>SGA v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3587	RP GC 50, 6; 63, 6
T-190/95	<i>Européenne Automobile v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-367	RP GC 44, 31
T-194/95	<i>Area Cova A. O. v Council</i>	[1996] ECR II-343; II-591	RP GC 43, 9; 64, 44; 115, 17

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-195/95	<i>Guérin Automobiles v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-679	RP GC 32, 2; 44, 38
T-196/95	<i>FFSA A. O. v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-233	RP GC 48, 19, 29
T-207/95	<i>De los Angeles Ibarra Gil v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-31	RP GC 48, 11
T-208/95	<i>Miwon v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-635	RP GC 86, 48
T-210/95	<i>EFMA v Council</i>	[1999] ECR II-3291	RP GC 53, 7
T-216/95	<i>Moles Gracia Ortúzar v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-403; [1997] ECR II-1083	RP GC 48, 20
T-224/95	<i>Tremblay A. O. v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-2215	RP GC 44, 31
T-228/95	<i>Lehrfreund v Council and Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-111	RP GC 104, 11
T-232/95	<i>Cecom v Council</i>	[1998] ECR II-2679	RP GS 32, 2
T-234/95	<i>Dradenauer Stahlgesellschaft v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2603	RP GC 70, 5
T-2/96; 97/96	<i>Neue Maxhütte Stahlwerke and Lech-Stahlwerke v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 50, 5
T-7/96	<i>Perillo v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1061	RP GC 86, 24
T-13/96	<i>Team v Commission [1998]</i>	[1998] ECR II-4073	RP GC 44, 37
T-14/96	<i>BAI v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-139	RP GC 102, 15
T-18/96	<i>SCI and FNK v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-407	RP GC 104, 15
T-19/96	<i>Carvel and Guardian Newspaper v Council</i>	[1996] ECR II-1519	RP GC 86, 34, 36; 99, 5
T-20/96	<i>Pascall v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-977	RP GC 33, 5
T-22/96	<i>Langdon v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-10	RP GC 44, 66
T-23/96	<i>De Persio v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-1413	RP GC 44, 41
T-24/96	<i>“U” v Cedefop</i>	[1997] ECR II-749	RP GC 88, 4
T-25/96	<i>Arbeitsgemeinschaft Deutscher Luftfahrt-Unternehmen and Hapag-Lloyd Fluggesellschaft v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-363	RP GC 86, 46
T-30/96	<i>Gomes de Sá Pereira v Council</i>	[1996] ECR II-785	RP GC 94, 10
T-39/96; 123/96	<i>SGA v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3587	RP GC 50, 6; 63, 6
T-40/96; 55/96	<i>de Kerros and Kohn-Bergé v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-135	RP GC 50, 5, 16
T-41/96	<i>Antillean Rice Mills v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-447	RP GC 104, 57
T-45/96	<i>Européenne Automobile v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-367	RP GC 44, 31
T-49/96	<i>Colombo Lunghi v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I- 29; [1997] ECR II-83	RP GC 44, 37
T-50/96	<i>Sogecable v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-797	RP GC 104, 8, 10
T-53/96	<i>Syndicat des producteurs de viande bovine v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1579	RP GC 44, 37
T-64/96	<i>DeJorio v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-127	RP GC 44, 59; 111, 14
T-65/96	<i>Kish Glass v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3261	RP GC 91, 9, 33, 49, 56, 60, 69
T-66/96; 221/96	<i>Mellet v ECR</i>	[1998] ECR II-1305	RP GC 58, 32
T-69/96	<i>Hamburger Hafen- und Lagerhaus A.O v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1037	RP GC 48, 22
T-71/96	<i>Berlingieri Vinzek v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-921	RP GC 58, 25

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-75/96	<i>Söktas v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-859	RP GC 104, 20
T-76/96	<i>National Farmer's Union v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-815	RP GC 77, 7; 104, 14, 59
T-79/96	<i>Camar and Tico v Commission and Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-2193; [2006] *	RP GC 81, 1; 91, 75, 81; 92, 15
T-84/96	<i>Cipeke v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1313; [1997] ECR II-2081	RP GC 44, 47; 104, 36
T-85/96	<i>Clarke v Cedefop</i>	[1997] ECR II-755	RP GC 88, 4
T-86/96	<i>Arbeitsgemeinschaft Deutscher Luftfahrt-Unternehmen and Hapag Lloyd Fluggesellschaft v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-641	RP GC 104, 24
T-87/96	<i>Assicurazioni Generali A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-203	RP GC 13, 5
T-89/96	<i>British Steel v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-835; [1999] ECR II-2089	RP GC 102, 14; 116, 14, 20
T-90/96	<i>Peugeot v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-663	RP GC 48, 28
T-91/96	<i>Council v Hankart</i>	[1998] ECR I- 597; [1998] ECR II-1809	RP GC 127, 2
T-93/96	<i>Presle v Cedefop</i>	[1997] ECR II-1111	RP GC 88, 4
T-94/96	<i>Hagleitner v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-1467	RP GC 68, 1, 8
T-100/96	<i>Vicente-Nunez v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR I- 591; [1998] ECR II-1779	RP GC 44, 67
T-102/96	<i>Gencor v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-879	RC GC 116, 18
T-105/96	<i>Pharos v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-285	RP GC 113, 7
T-107/96	<i>Pantochim v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1361	RP GC 104, 10
T-112/96; 115/96	<i>Séché v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 50, 4
T-121/96; 151/96	<i>Mutual Aid Administration Services v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1357	RP GC 44, 29
T-125/96; 152/96	<i>Boehringer v Council and Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3427	RP GC 116, 27
T-132/96; 143/96	<i>Freistaat Sachsen A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3663	RP GC 86, 28
T-137/96	<i>Valio Oy v Commission</i>	[1996] ECR II-1327	RP GC 104, 20
T-148/96; 174/96	<i>Brogneri v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-329	RP GC 91, 64; 91, 65
T-149/96	<i>Coldiretti A. O. v Council and Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-3841	RP GC 44, 37
T-154/96	<i>Chvatal A. O. v Court of Justice</i>	[1998] ECR I- 527, [1998] ECR II-1579	RP GC 44, 91; 50, 14; 116, 27
T-157/96	<i>Affatato v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR I-33; [1998] ECR II-97; [1998] ECR I-41	RP GC 44, 35, 37; 94, 9; 95, 3; 113, 4
T-168/96	<i>Patronis v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-833	RP GC 62, 3
T-176/96	<i>Volger v Parliament</i>	[1998] ECR II-1	RP GC 99, 2
T-185/96; 189-190/96	<i>Riviera Auto Service v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-93	RP GC 44, 56
T-191/96	<i>CAS Succhi Di Frutta v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-573; [1999] ECR II-211	RP GC 50, 10; 116, 4
T-202/96; 204/96	<i>Von Löwis and Alvarez-Cotera v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2829	RP GC 44, 87
T-219/96	<i>Y v Parliament</i>	*	RP GC 95, 6

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-221/96	<i>Mellett v ECR</i>	[1998] ECR II-1305	RP GC 58, 32
T-6/97	<i>Comafrika and Dole Fresh Fruit Europe v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-291	RP GC 104, 7, 58
T-10-11/97	<i>Unifrigo Gadus and CPL Imperial 2 v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2231	RP GC 44, 51
T-13/97	<i>Chvatal A. O. v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-1633	RP GC 50, 14
T-26/97	<i>Antillean Rice v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1347	RP GC 86, 49
T-28/97	<i>Hubert v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1255	RP GC 44, 29
T-39/97	<i>T. Port v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-2125	RP GC 113, 8; 122, 2, 5
T-41/97	<i>Antillean Rice Mills v Council and Commission</i>	*	RP GC 80, 2
T-44/97	<i>Ghignone A. O. v Council</i>	[2000] ECR I-00223; [2000] ECR II-01023	RP GC 42, 14
T-46/97	<i>SIC v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2125	RP GC 86, 29
T-48/97	<i>Frederiksen v Parliament</i>	[1999] ECR II-867	RP GC 56, 4
T-49/97	<i>TAT European Airlines v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-51	RP GC 77, 10
T-72/97	<i>Proderec v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2847	RP GC 102, 18
T-80/97	<i>Starway v Council</i>	[2002] ECR II-1	RP GC 91, 8, 44
T-83/97	<i>Sateba v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1523	RP GC 11, 1, 111, 14
T-85/97	<i>Horeca-Wallonie v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-2113	RP GC 101, 6; 102, 19
T-99/97	<i>Stols v Council</i>	[2001] ECR II-1061	RP GC 77, 5
T-106/97	<i>CAS Succhi di Frutta v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-318	RP GC 50, 10
T-110/97	<i>Kneissl Dachstein v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2881	RP GC 86, 28; GC 102, 15
T-112/97	<i>Monsanto v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-1277	RP GC 81, 9
T-123/97	<i>Salomon v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2925	RP GC 102, 15
T-140/97	<i>Hautem v EIB</i>	[1999] ECR I-171; [1999] ECR II-897	RP GC 46, 20
T-141/97	<i>Yasse v EIB</i>	[1999] ECR I-177; [1999] ECR II-929	RP GC 46, 20; 48, 25
T-147/97	<i>Champion Stationery Mfg A. O. v Council</i>	[1998] ECR II-4137	RP GC 86, 15
T-156/97	<i>Berge v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-2097	RP GC 99, 4
T-163/97; 179/97	<i>Nederlandische Antillen v Council and Commission</i>	*	RP GC 50, 4
T-175/97	<i>Bareyt v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-1053	RP GC 44, 13; Prelims pre 64, 3; 64, 7; 65, 1
T-183/97	<i>Micheli A. O. v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1473	RP GC 104, 47
T-184/97	<i>BP Chemicals v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3145	RP GC 86, 29; 116, 26
T-186/97 et seq.	<i>Kaufring A. G. A. O. v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-105	RP GC 64, 25
T-204/97; 270/97	<i>EPAC v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2267	RP GC 50, 14; 86, 49
T-213/97	<i>Eurocoton A. O. v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-1609	RP GC 104, 13

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-219/97	<i>Brems v Council</i>	[1997] ECR II-1085	RP GC 44, 61
T-247/97	<i>Lonuzzo-Murgante v Parliament</i>	[1998] ECR II-317	RP GC 114, 29
T-256/97	<i>BEUC v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-101	RP GC 114, 19
T-257/97	<i>Herold v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-49; [1999] ECR II-251	RP GC 44, 31
T-260/97	<i>Camar and Tico v Commission and Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-2193; [2006] *	RP GC 81, 1; 91, 75, 81; 92, 15
T-262/97	<i>Goldstein v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2175	RP GC 44, 35, 37
T-275/97	<i>Guérin Automobiles v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-253	RP GC 48, 19; Pre- lims pre 100, 7
T-277/97	<i>Ismeri Europa v Court of Auditors</i>	[1999] ECR II-1825	RP GC 44, 35
T-288/97	<i>Regione Autonoma Friuli-Venezia Giulia v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1169	RP GC 114, 30
T-296/97	<i>Alitalia v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3871	RP GC 102, 15
T-298/97 et seq.	<i>Alzetta A. O. v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2319	RP GC 50, 12; 104, 65
T-300/97	<i>Latino v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-1263; [2004] ECR II-125	RP GC 44, 26; 91, 55
T-301/97	<i>Feral v Committee of the Regions</i>	[1998] ECR I-145; [1998] ECR II-471	RP GC 111, 13
T-367/97	<i>British Coal v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-2103	RP GC 64, 42; 65, 8
T-598/97	<i>BSC Footwear Supplies v Council</i>	[2005] *	RP GC 92, 17
T-610/97	<i>Carlsen A. O. v Council</i>	[1998] ECR II-485	RP GC 42, 14
T-611/97; 619/97; 627/97	<i>Transfluvia v Council and Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2405	RP GC 77, 4
T-1/98; 3/98; 6/98; 23/98	<i>Alzetta A. O. v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2319	RP GC 50, 12; 104, 65
T-7/98; 208/98	<i>De Nicola v EIB</i>	[2004] ECR II-973	RP GC 91, 9, 64, 71, 74
T-32/98; 41/98	<i>Nederlandse Antillen v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-201	RP GC 116, 4
T-33-34/98	<i>Petrotub and Republica v Council</i>	[1999] ECR II-3837	RP GC 116, 22
T-37/98	<i>FTA v Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-373	RP GC 43, 3, 9
T-42/98	<i>Sabbatucci v Parliament</i>	[1998] ECR II-1353	RP GC 99, 4
T-44/98	<i>Emesa Sugar v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-1427; [2001] ECR II-3577	RP GC 77, 4; 104, 35
T-45/98; 47/98	<i>Krupp Thyssen Stainless v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3757	RP GC 64, 46
T-46/98; 151/98	<i>CCRE v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-167	RP GC 48, 28
T-61/98	<i>Dreyfuss A. O. v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3659	RP GC 102, 18
T-65/98	<i>Van den Bergh Foods v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-2641	RP GC 104, 38
T-77/98	<i>Eppe v Commission</i>	[1998] ECR II-1347	RP GC 92, 12
T-81/98	<i>Boyes v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3501	RP GC 86, 44
T-95/98	<i>Gogos v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-571; [2001] ECR I-123	RP GC 91, 31, 64, 65
T-102/98	<i>Papadeas v CoR</i>	[1999] ECR II-1091	RP GC 64, 35
T-103 et seq.	<i>Kristensen A. O. v Council</i>	[1999] ECR II-1111	RP GC 64, 23

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-110/98	<i>RBJ Mining v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2585; [2000] ECR II-2971	RP GC 55, 7; 86, 28
T-117/98	<i>Camar and Tico v Commission and Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-2193	RP GC 81, 1
T-129/98	<i>Sabbioni v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-223; [1999] ECR II-1139	RP GC 44, 30
T-132/98	<i>Groupe Perry and Isibiris v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 91, 53
T-138/98	<i>ACAV A. O. v Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-341	RP GC 65, 10
T-139/98	<i>AAMS v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3413	RP GC 116, 24
T-145/98	<i>ADT Projekt v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2627; [2000] ECR II-387; [2004] ECR II-387	RP GC 43, 21; 44, 28; 65, 6; Prelims pre 100, 7
T-148/98; 162/98	<i>Evans A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2837	RP GC 86, 6
T-178/98	<i>Fresh Marine v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3127	RP GC 91, 45, 47, 60; 92, 3
T-180/98	<i>Cotrim v CEDEFOP</i>	[1999] ECR II-1077	RP GC 14, 16
T-182/98	<i>UPS Europe v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2857	RP GC 114, 12
T-186/98	<i>Inpesca v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-557	RP GC 114, 28
T-191/98; 212-214/98	<i>Atlantic Container Line A. O. v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-3275	RP GC 44, 4, 30, 50; 86, 13; 105, 5
T-208/98	<i>De Nicola v EIB</i>	[2004] ECR II-973	RP GC 91, 9, 64, 71, 74
T-2/99	<i>T. Port v Council</i>	[2001] ECR II-2093	RP GC 44, 67; 48, 16, 29
T-3/99	<i>Banatrading v Council</i>	[2001] ECR II-2123	RP GC 48, 11
T-9/99	<i>HFB A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-2429; [2002] ECR II-1487	RP GC 64, 48; 65, 8; 68, 3; 104, 22
T-11/99	<i>Van Parys A. O. v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-1355	RP GC 116, 7
T-13/99	<i>Pfizer Animal Health v Council</i>	[1999] ECR II-1961	RP GC 104, 36, 59
T-19/99	<i>DKV v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-1	RP GC 130, 1
T-25/99	<i>Roberts v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 95, 6
T-26/99	<i>Trabisco v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-633	RP GC 13, 5
T-33/99	<i>Méndez-Pinedo v ECB</i>	[2000] ECR II-273	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 7
T-35/99	<i>Ascasibar Zubizarreta A. O. v Albani and Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-1599	RP GC 123, 1
T-36/99	<i>Lenzing v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3597	RP GC 44, 66; 48, 28
T-53/99	<i>Progoulis v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-1249	RP GC 14, 16
T-55/99	<i>CETM v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3207	RP GC 54, 13
T-57/99	<i>Nardone v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-58/99	<i>Mukand A. O. v Council</i>	[2001] ECR II-2521	RP GC 64, 36
T-62/99	<i>Sodima v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-655	RP GC 50, 2
T-64/99	<i>UK Coal v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2549	RP GC 55, 8; 91, 46, 59; 92, 30
T-68/99	<i>Toditec v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1443	RP GC 14, 16

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-71/99; 73/99	<i>Meyer v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-1727	RP GC 44, 31; 104, 65
T-78/99	<i>Elder v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3717	RP GC 91, 80; 92, 20
T- 79/99	<i>Euro-Lex v OHIM</i>	[1999] ECR II-3555	RP GC Intro VIII, 25; 111, 13
T-97/99; 99/99	<i>Chamier A. O. v Parliament</i>	[2001] ECR II-1	RP GC 64, 14
T-103/99	<i>Assoziatione delle Cantini Sociale Venete v Ombudsman and Parliament</i>	[2000] ECR II-4165	RP GC 44, 68
T-104/99	<i>AS Bolderaja v Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-451	RP GC 23, 7; Prelims pre 100, 3, 9
T-109/99	<i>De Nicola v EIB</i>	[2004] ECR II-973	RP GC 91, 9, 64, 71, 74
T-111/99	<i>Samper v Parliament</i>	[1999] ECR II-609	RP GC 105, 12
T-120/99	<i>Kik v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-2235	RP GC 51, 7; 131,
T-121/99	<i>Irving v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 95, 7
T-135/99	<i>Taurus-Film v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-379	RP GC 99, 2
T-138/99	<i>Verheyden v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-1001	RP GC 64, 20
T-139/99	<i>AICS v Parliament</i>	[2000] ECR II-2849	RP GC 48, 11, 25
T-141-142/99; 150-151/99	<i>Vela and Tecnagrind v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-4547	RP GC 53, 7
T-144/99	<i>Institut des mandataires agrees v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2041	RP GC 105, 7
T-167/99	<i>Guilietti v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR I-139; [1999] ECR II-751	RP GC 104, 23
T-170/99	<i>Alpharma v Council</i>	[1999] ECR II-2027	RP GC 104, 59
T-171/99	<i>Corus v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2967	RP GC 44, 28
T-178/99	<i>Elder v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3509	RP GC 86, 46
T-201/99	<i>Royal Olympic Cruises A.O v Council</i>	[2000] ECR II-4005	RP GC 44, 17
T-204/99	<i>Mattila v Council and Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2265	RP GC 48, 20
T-210/99	<i>Gankema v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-781	RP GC 113, 7; 114, 27
T-222/99; 327/99; 329/99	<i>Martinez A. O. v Parliament</i>	[1999] ECR II-3397; [2001] ECR II-2835	RP GC 51, 7; 64, 20; 104, 44; 114, 15;
T-228/99; 233/99	<i>Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale and Land Nordrhein-Westfalen v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-435; [2006] *	RP GC 64, 50; 91, 40; 92, 3
T-231/99	<i>Joynson v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2085	RP GC 44, 25, 46
T-237/99	<i>BP Nederland A. O. v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3849	RP GC 104, 28, 49
T-238/99	<i>Service Station Veger v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-27	RP GC 44, 31
T-246/99	<i>Tirrenia di Navigazione and Others v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 57
T-254/99	<i>Limburgse Vinyl Maatschappij A. O. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-8375	RP GC 64, 36
T-257/99	<i>Francisco Alvarez Sagrario v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 96, 2
T-326/99	<i>Fern Olivieri v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-1985	RP GC 104, 53; 105, 8

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-333/99	<i>X v ECB</i>	[2001] ECR II-921	RP GC 44, 48
T-342/99	<i>Airtours v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1785	RP GC 91, 36, 45, 48, 60, 67, 70, 71, 74; 92, 3, 28
T-357-358/99	<i>Telefon & Buch Verlag v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-1705	RP GC 130, 1
T-359/99	<i>DKV v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-1645	RP GC 86, 10
T-361/99	<i>Meyer v Commission and EIB</i>	[2000] ECR II-2031	RP GC 44, 31
T-5-6/00	<i>Nederlandse Federatieve Vereniging voor de Groothandel op Elektrotechnisch Gebied v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-4121; [2003] ECR II-5761	RP GC 116, 5, 24
T-11/00	<i>Hautem v EIB</i>	[2000] ECR I-71; [2000] ECR II-301	RP GC 104, 1, 12, 129, 7
T-17/00	<i>Rothley A. O. v Parliament</i>	[2000] ECR II-2085	RP GCV 17, 3; 64, 35; 104, 44; 105, 14
T-20/00	<i>Camacho-Fernandez v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-1149; [2003] ECR II-405	RP GC 122, 4, 11, 12, 18
T-24/00	<i>Sunrider v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-449	RP GC 48, 5; 130, 1
T-25/00	<i>Lagardère and Canal+ v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 92, 3
T-28/00	<i>Asia Motors France A. O. v Commission</i>	[1992] ECR II-2285	RP GC 14, 6; 44, 37; 47, 17; 48, 11, 28; 51, 5
T-35/00	<i>Goldstein v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2919	RP GC 86, 17, 22
T-36/00	<i>Elder v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-607	RP GC 65, 8; 67, 1
T-44/00	<i>Mannesmannröhren-Werke v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-2223	RP GC 44, 70; 48, 19
T-51/00	<i>Costacurta v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-425	RP GC 44, 61
T-52/00	<i>COE Clerici Logistics v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2555	RP GC 115, 13, 15; 116, 29; 151
T-54/00; T-73/00	<i>Federación de Cofradiás de Pescadores de Guipúcoa v Council</i>	[2001] ECR II-2691	RP GC 44, 13
T-60/00; T-69/00	<i>Fiamm and Fiamm Technologies v Council and Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-5393	RP GC 51, 6
T-61/00	<i>APOL v Commission</i>	[2006]*	RP GC 92, 3
T-73/00	<i>Federación de Cofradiás de Pescadores de Guipúcoa v Council</i>	[2001] ECR II-2691	RP GC 44, 13
T-74/00 et seq.	<i>Artedogan v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2367; [2002] ECR II-4945	RP GC 59; 92, 3; 105, 17
T-111/00	<i>BAT International (Investments) v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2997	RP GC 48, 28; 65, 8
T-112/00; 122/00	<i>Iberotam A. O. v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-97	RP GC 50, 15
T-126/00	<i>Confindustria v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-85	RP GC Prelims 101-103, 2; 101, 1, 2, 6, 7; 102, 5
T-141/00	<i>Trenker v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-3313	RP GC 104, 38
T-146/00	<i>Ruf v OHIM</i>	[2001] ECR II-1797	RP GC 68, 7
T-149/00	<i>Innova v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2941	RP GC 104, 18
T-161/00	<i>Tsarnavas v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-721	RP GC 48, 28

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-163/00	<i>Carotti v Court of Auditors</i>	[2000] ECR I-133; [2000] ECR II-607	RP GC 104, 29
T-169/00	<i>Esedra v Commission</i>	[2000] ECR II-2951	RP GC 104, 30, 38, 46; 105, 8, 14
T-171/00	<i>Spruyt v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I- 225; [2000] ECR II-1127	RP GC 91, 17
T-172/00	<i>Pierard v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-429	RP GC 64, 4
T-173/00	<i>KWS Saat v OHIM</i>	[2002] ECR II-3843	RP GC 135, 7
T-178/00; 341/00	<i>Pflugradt v ECB</i>	[2002] ECR II-1039	RP GC 44, 58, 67
T-179/00	<i>Menarini v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2879	RP GC 60
T-180/00	<i>Antipesca v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-3985	RP GC 44, 86
T-190/00	<i>Regione Siciliana v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-5015	RP GC 102, 15
T-197/00	<i>Onidi v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR I-69; [2002] ECR II-325	RP GC 64, 6
T-201/00; 384/00	<i>Ajour A. O. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-885	RP GC 86, 44
T-209/00	<i>Lamberts v European Ombudsman and Parliament</i>	[2001] ECR II-765	RP GC 86, 15
T-226-227/00	<i>Nan Ya Plastics v Council</i>	[2003] ECR II-685	RP GC 91, 49, 52; 92, 3, 22, 25
T-228 et seq.	<i>Gruppo ormeggiatori del porto di Venezia v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-787	RP GC 113, 4
T-236/00	<i>Stauner v Parliament and Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-15; [2001] ECR II-2943	RP GC 104, 70; 108, 5; 109, 6
T-241/00	<i>La Canne v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-37	RP GC 104, 29
T-251/00	<i>Lagardère v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-4217	RP GC 91, 16, 38, 40, 53
T-254/09; 270/00; 277/00	<i>Hotel Cipriani v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 84, 6
T-302/00	<i>Goldstein v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1127	RP GC 41, 13, 14, 22; 104, 7; 107, 8
T-310/00	<i>MCI v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3253	RP GC 64, 4
T-310/00	<i>Verizon v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 92, 3, 24
T-311/00	<i>BAT (Investments) v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2781	RP GC 62, 8; 64, 45; 68, 8; 86, 24; 91, 4
T-318/00	<i>Freistaat Thüringen v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 92, 3
T-320/00	<i>Cartondruck v Council and Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-27	RPGC 51, 6
T-324/00	<i>CDA Datenträger Albrechts v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 92, 3
T-329/00	<i>Bonn Fleisch Ex- und Import v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-291	RP GC 47, 19; 58, 33
T-339/00	<i>Bactria v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1721	RP GC 107, 1
T-340/00	<i>Arne Mathisen v Council</i>	[2002] ECR II-2905	RP GC 48, 23
T-341/00	<i>Pflugradt v ECB</i>	[2002] ECR II-1039	RP GC 44, 58, 67
T-342/00	<i>Petrolessence and SG2R v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-67	RP GC 104, 33
T-350/00	<i>Free Trade Foods v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-493	RP GC 104, 27, 54; 105, 11

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-353/00	<i>Le Pen v Parliament</i>	[2001] ECR II-125	RP GC 104, 44
T-354/00	<i>Métropole Télévision – M 6 v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3143	RP GC 113, 5
T-366/00	<i>Scott v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1763	RP GC 64, 36
T-369/00	<i>Département Loiret v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1789	RP GC 64, 31
T-373/00	<i>Tralli v ECB</i>	[2001] ECR II-83	RP GC 104, 33
T-374/00	<i>Verband der freien Recherche A. O. v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-2275	RP GC 44, 41, 44; 116, 25
T-383/00	<i>Beamglow v Parliament, Council and Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-5459	RPGC 51, 6
T-384/00	<i>Ajour A. O. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-885	RP GC 86, 44
T-387/00	<i>Comitato organizzatore del convegno internazionale v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-3031	RP GC 14, 6; 46, 35; 114, 28
T-2/01	<i>Verein für Konsumenteninformation v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1121	RP GC 76a, 30
T-18/01	<i>Goldstein v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1147	RP GC 104, 7
T-33/01	<i>Infront WEM AG v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 91, 45, 47, 50, 60; 92, 3, 7
T-40/01	<i>Scan Office Design v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-5043	RP GC 86, 24
T-45/01	<i>Sanders A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-2665	RP GC 115, 7; 116, 30
T-47/01	<i>Co-Frutta v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4441	RP GC 76a, 30
T-53/01	<i>Poste Italiane v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-1479	RP GC 104, 39; 116, 19
T-66/01	<i>Imperial Chemical Industries v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR II-0000	RP GC 83, 2, 3, 4
T-85/01	<i>IAMI Consulting v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4973	RP GC 46, 20
T-87/01	<i>Boessen v ECOSOC</i>	[1993] ECR II-235	RP GC 48, 2, 15, 29
T-95/01	<i>Coget A. O. v Court of Auditors</i>	[2001] ECR I-191; [2001] ECR II-879	RP GC 76a, 5
T-99/01	<i>Mystery Drinks v OHIM</i>	[2003] ECR II-43	RP GC 46, 20
T-110/01	<i>Vedial v OHIM</i>	[2002] ECR II-5275	RP GC 134, 5
T-111/01	<i>Saxonio Edelmetalle v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2335	RP GC 104, 21
T-120/01; 300/01,	<i>De Nicola v EIB</i>	[2004] ECR II-1671	RP GC 58, 7
T-132/01	<i>Euroalligies A. O. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-77; [2003] ECR II-2359; [2006] *	RP GC 64, 49; 76 a, 30; 92, 3; 105, 9
T-137/01	<i>Stadtsportverband Neuss v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-3103	RP GC 64, 37
T-138/01	<i>F v Court of Auditors</i>	[2001] ECR II-987; [2003] ECR II-25; [2003] ECR II-137	RP GC 43, 36; 84, 3, 5
T-139/01	<i>Comafrika and Dole Fresh Fruit Europe v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2415; [2002] ECR II-799; [2005] ECR II-409	RP GC 66, 1; 102, 1; 104, 38; 116, 29

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-141/01	<i>Entorn v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-95	RP GC 64, 43
T-146/01	<i>DLD Trading v Council</i>	[2003] ECR II-6005	RP GC 44, 37; 116, 26
T-151/01	<i>Duales System Deutschland v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3295	RP GC 104, 53, 71, 73
T-154/01	<i>Distilleria Palmi v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1493; [2004] ECR II-1512	RP GC 44, 1
T-157/01	<i>Danske Busvognmænd v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 91, 47, 69, 70
T-172/01	<i>M v ECJ</i>	[2004] ECR II-1075	RP GC 48, 7
T-177/01	<i>Jégó Quéré v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2365,	RP GC 114, 30
T-180/01	<i>Euroagri v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-369	RP GC 58, 32
T-184/01	<i>IMS Health v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-2349; [2001] ECR II-3193	RP GC 35, 25; 104, 31, 32, 40; 105, 17; 116, 3, 9
T-195/01; 207/01	<i>Government of Gibraltar v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3915, 3919	RP GC 76a, 12, 22; 104, 26; 109, 2
T-198/01	<i>Technische Gaswerke Illmenau v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2153; [2004] ECR II-2717	RP GC 76 a, 30; 104, 61; 105, 8, 12; 108, 1
T-207/01	<i>Government of Gibraltar v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR II-3915, 3919	RP GC 76a, 12, 22; 104, 26; 109, 2
T-209/01	<i>Honeywell v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-5527	RP GC 44, 41; 50, 1; 64, 34
T-225/01	<i>Gobierno Foral de Navarra v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 92, 3
T-226/01	<i>CAS Succhi di Frutta v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2763	RP GC 91, 16
T-239/01	<i>SGL Carbon v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 92, 2
T-243/01	<i>Sony Computer Entertainment Europe v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1121	RP GC 92, 28
T-259/01	<i>Nutrinvest v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-649	RP GC 47, 17
T-287/01	<i>Biolettrica v Commission</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 84, 1
T-293/01	<i>Ineichen v Commission</i>	[2001] ECR I-83; [2003] ECR II-441	RP GC 43, 24; 46, 25
T-300/01	<i>De Nicola v EIB</i>	[2004] ECR II-1671	RP GC 58, 7
T-306/01	<i>Aden A. O. v Council and Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-2387	RP GC 104, 65, 67, 74
T-306/01	<i>Yusuf and Al Barakaat International Foundation v Council and Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-3533	RP GC 44, 66; 76a, 26
T-310/01	<i>Schneider v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-4071	RP GC 76a, 26, 28, 32, 37
T-315/01	<i>Kadi v Council and Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-3649	RP GC 44, 66
T-318/01	<i>Othman v Council and Commission</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-320/01	<i>Roquette Frères v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-3137	RP GC 44, 25; 62, 9
T-333/01	<i>Meyer v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-117	RP GC 44, 29

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-5/02	<i>Tetra Laval v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-4381	RP GC 53, 4; 58, 13, 29; 64, 31; 76a, 10, 28; 91, 40, 61, 64, 77; 92, 3, 19, 24, 62
T-7/02	<i>Zapf Creation v OHIM</i>	[2003] ECR II-271	RP GC 86, 44
T-19/02	<i>Commission and EMEA</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 92, 3
T-20/02	<i>Interquelle v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1001	RP GC 44, 42
T-27/02	<i>Kronofrance v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 41, 11, 27; 91, 53, 60; 92, 3
T-28/02	<i>First Data A. O. v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-4119	RP GC 86, 44; 113, 7
T-29/02	<i>GEF v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-835	RP GC 113, 4
T-34/02	<i>Le Levant 001 v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-267	RP GC 44, 76, 86
T-34/02	<i>Le Levant 015 and Others v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR II-0000	RP GC 91, 7, 9, 53
T-43/02	<i>Jungbunzlauer v Council</i>	[2007] ECR II-3435	RP GC 84, 8
T-44/02	<i>Dresdner Bank v Commission</i>	[2004] *; [2006] ECR II-3567	RP GC 43, 30; 122, 10, 12, 16, 18
T-54/02	<i>Dresdner Bank v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-3567	RP GC 43, 30; 122, 16, 18
T-54/02	<i>Bayrische Hypo- und Vereinsbank v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 91, 8, 53; 92, 3, 8
T-56/02	<i>Bayrische Hypo- und Vereinsbank v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3495	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 10; 122, 10
T-63/02	<i>Cerafogli and Poloni v ECB</i>	[2003] ECR II-4929	RP GC 44, 53
T-76/02	<i>Mara Messina v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-3203; [2004] *	RP GC 76a, 30; 91, 1
T-77/02	<i>Schneider v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-4201	RP GC 51, 5; 76a, 10, 28
T-80/02	<i>Tetra Laval v Commission</i>	[2011] *	GC 91, 40, 61, 64, 77; RP GC 92, 19, 24, 62
T-94/02	<i>Hugo Boss v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-813	RP GC 134, 3
T-104/02	<i>Gondrand v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3211	RP GC 54, 14
T-109/02 et seq.	<i>Bolloré A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-947	RP GC 64, 46
T-114/02	<i>Babyliiss v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1279	RP GC 48, 10; 64, 4; 76a, 5, 28; 116, 26
T-115/02	<i>AVEX v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-2907	RP GC 135, 3
T-118/02	<i>Bolloré A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-947	RP GC 64, 46
T-119/02	<i>Royal Philips Electronics v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1433	RP GC 44, 79; 76a, 10
T-127/02	<i>Concept v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-1113	RP GC 44, 41
T-160/02; 162/02	<i>Naipes Heraclio Fournier v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-1643	RP GC 134, 1
T-163/02	<i>Montan Gesellschaft Voss A. O. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-3219	RP GC 104, 33
T-171/02	<i>Regione autonoma della Sardegna v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-2123	RP GC 116, 25
T-181/02	<i>Neue Erba Lautex v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-5081	RP GC 104, 35
T-184/02	<i>IMS Health v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-817	RP GC 86, 44

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-185/02	<i>Ruiz-Picasso A. O. v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-1739	RP GC 44, 80
T-198/02	<i>N. v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-763	RP GC 104, 47
T-210/02	<i>British Aggregates Association v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2789	RP GC 76a, 30
T-211/02	<i>Tideland v Commission</i>	[2002] ECR II-3781	RP GC 76a, 10, 28
T-228/02	<i>Organisation des Modjahedines du peuple d'Iran v Council</i>	[2006] ECR II-4665	RP GC 44, 66
T-229/02	<i>PPK and KNK v Council</i>	[2005] ECR II-539	RP GC 44, 66
T-236/02	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-365; [2005] ECR II-1621	RP GC 46, 27; 117
T-237/02	<i>Technische Glaswerke Ilmenau v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-5131	RP GC 76a, 30
T-242/02	<i>The Sunrider Corp. v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-2793	RP GC 135, 2
T-250/02	<i>Autosalone Ispra v EAEC</i>	[2005] ECR II-5227	RP GC 65, 10
T-259-264/02; 271/02	<i>Raiffeisen Zentralbank Österreich A. O. v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-5167	RP GC 84, 6
T-266/02	<i>Deutsche Post v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 116, 10
T-269/02	<i>PepsiCO v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-1341	RP GC 135, 10
T-270/02	<i>MLP Finanzdienstleistungen v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-2837	RP GC 132, 2
T-285/02; 395/02	<i>Vega Rodríguez v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1527	RP GC 64, 39
T-288/02	<i>AIT v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-2885	RP GC 104, 74
T-303/02	<i>Westfalen Fassen Nederland v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-4567	RP GC 48, 8
T-310/02	<i>Theodorakis v Council</i>	[2004] ECR II-427	RP GC 44, 31
T-313/02	<i>Meca-Medina A. O. v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3291	RP GC 76a, 30
T-315/02	<i>Klitgaard v Commission</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 92, 18
T-323/02	<i>La Baronía de Turis v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-2085	RP GC 84, 6
T-346-347/02	<i>Cableuropa A. O. v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4251	RP GC 76a, 28, 36
T-351/02	<i>Deutsche Bahn AG v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-1047	RP GC 84, 1, 8, 9
T-356/02	<i>Vitakraft-Werke Wührmann v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-3445	RP GC 131, 4
T-371/02	<i>Internationaler Hilfsfond v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4389	RP GC 46, 16; 47, 18; 91, 78
T-388/02	<i>Kronoply A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] *; [2008] ECR II-305	RP GC 116, 7, 10, 14, 17
T-392/02	<i>Solvay Pharmaceuticals v Council</i>	[2003] ECR II-1825	RP GC 104, 26, 59
T-395/02	<i>Vega Rodríguez v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1527	RP GC 64, 39
T-398/02	<i>Linea GIG v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1139	RP GC 104, 19, 35, 42
T-8/03	<i>El Corte Inglés v OHIM</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 91, 35
T-10/03	<i>Koubi v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-719	RP GC 134, 1
T-23/03	<i>CAS v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-289; [2009] *	RP GC 68, 5; 91, 36, 39, 41, 50, 52, 77; 92, 3
T-28/03	<i>Holcim v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1357	RP GC Intro VIII, 26

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR [*]	Reference
T-31/03; 378/03	<i>Musée Grévin v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1421	RP GC 44, 62
T-31/03	<i>Grupo Sada v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-1667	RP GC 70, 3
T-46/03	<i>Leali v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4473	RP GC 104, 42
T-47/03	<i>Sison v Council and Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-2047	RP GC Prelims pre 104, 2
T-57/03	<i>SPAG v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-287	RP GC 99, 1; 134, 4
T-60/03	<i>Regione Siciliana v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-4139	RP GC 86, 25
T-81/03; 103/03	<i>Mast-Jägermeister v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-5409	RP GC 44, 69; 63, 4
T-119/03	<i>Royal Philips v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3471	RP GC 76a, 28
T-125/03; 253/03	<i>Akzo Nobel Chemicals and Akcros Chemicals v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4771	RP GC 104, 53
T-131/03	<i>Gerolsteiner Brunnen v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-3019	RP GC Prelims pre 115, 4
T-141/03	<i>Sniace v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1197	RP GC 113, 9; 114, 1
T-146/03	<i>Asociación de Estaciones de Servicio de Madrid and Federación Catalana de Estaciones de Servicio v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 92, 3
T-151/03	<i>Nuova Agricast v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1967	RP GC 76a, 27; 113, 7
T-155/03; 157/03 331/03	<i>Cwik v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1865	RP GC 91, 8
T-158/03	<i>Industrias Químicas Del Vallés v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-3041	RP GC 44, 91; 104, 72
T-160/03	<i>AFCon Managements Consultants A. O. v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-981	RP GC Prelims pre 64, 3
T-164/03	<i>Ampafrance v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-1404	RP GC 135, 5
T-169/03	<i>Sergio Rossi v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-685	RP GC 132, 2
T-175/03	<i>Schmitt v European Agency</i>	[2003] ECR I-175; [2003] ECR II-883	RP GC 104, 66
T-195/03	<i>Thommes v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR I-273; [2005] ECR II-1255	RP GC 84, 8
T-198/03	<i>Bank Austria Creditanstalt v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-4879	RP GC 104, 23, 38
T-200/03	<i>G. v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1549	RP GC 104, 47
T-217/03	<i>FNCBV v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-239; [2006] ECR II-4987	RP GC 62, 6; 104, 53; 108, 6
T-241/03	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-517	RP GC 48, 15; 86, 18
T-245/03	<i>FNSEA A. O. v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-271; [2006] ECR II-4987	RP GC 62, 6
T-251-252/03	<i>FNIGGV v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-315	RP GC 104, 43, 52
T-264/03	<i>Schmoldt A. O. v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1515	RP GC 44, 31; 102, 4, 14
T-265/03	<i>Helm Düngemittel v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-2009	RP GC 113, 4
T-271/03	<i>Deutsche Telekom v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-1747	RP GC 116, 15, 17
T-272/03	<i>Fernández Gómez v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-979	RP GC 104, 33
T-273/03	<i>Merck Sharp & Dohme A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 91, 53, 60; 92, 3

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-279/03	<i>Galileo International Technology A. O. v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-1291	RP GC 44, 34
T-283/03	<i>Müllhens v OHIM</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 91, 52; RP GC 91, 52
T-285/03	<i>Agraz A. O. v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 91, 54; RP GC 91, 54
T-289/03	<i>BUPA A. O. v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-81; [2005] ECR II-741	RP GC 55, 5; 76a, 30; 116, 15, 16; RP GC 55, 5; 76a, 30; 116, 15, 16
T-291/03	<i>Conorzio per la tutela del formaggio Grana Padano v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-3081	RP GC 63, 4
T-292/03	<i>Messe Berlin v OHIM</i>	[2004] ECR II-1143	RP GC 113, 7
T-306/03	<i>Volkswagen v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-12	RP GC 113, 8
T-310/03	<i>Kreuzer Medien v Parliament and Council</i>	[2004] ECR II-3243	RP GC Intro VIII, 26; 104, 17, 21
T-317/03	<i>Volkswagen v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-12	RP GC 86, 44; 113, 7
T-322/03	<i>Telefon & Buch Verlagsgesellschaft mbH v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-835	RP GC 132, 3
T-331/03	<i>Cwik v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1865	RP GC 91, 8
T-339/03	<i>Clotuche v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-1423	RP GC Prelims pre 104, 2
T-344/03	<i>Saiwa v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-1097	RP GC 135, 5
T-346/03	<i>Krikorian v Commission</i>	[2003] ECR II-6037	RP GC 86, 8; 111, 7
T-350/03	<i>Wirtschaftskammer Kärnten A. O. v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-68	RP GC 113, 4
T-357/03	<i>Gollnisch A. O. v Parliament</i>	[2005] ECR II-1	RP GC 42, 13; 64, 50
T-367/03	<i>Yedaş Tarım ve Otomotiv Sanayi ve Ticaret AS v Council and Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 125, 2
T-369/03	<i>Arizona Chemical A. O. v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-205; II-5839	RP GC 44, 34; 104, 13, 23
T-373/03	<i>Solo Italia v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-1881	RP GC 134, 4; 136, 2
T-378/03	<i>Musée Grévin v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-1421	RP GC 44, 62
T-383/03	<i>Hynix Semiconductor v Council</i>	[2005] ECR II-621; [2009] *	RP GC 91, 19, 49, 54; 116, 11, 14, 16
T-391/03	<i>Franchet and Byk v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2023	RP GC 42, 10; 76a, 30
T-398/03	<i>Castets v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-507	RP GC 41, 27; 54, 14
T-413/03	<i>Shandong Reipu Biochemicals v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2243	RP GC 63, 3, 4
T-419/03	<i>Altstoff Recycling Austria v Commission</i>	[2011] ECR II-0000	RP GC 48, 20
T-422/03	<i>Enviro Tech Europe A. O. v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-2003	RP GC Intro VIII, 26; 104, 13, 64, 72
T-16/04	<i>Arcelor v Parliament and Council</i>	[2010] ECR II-211	RP GC 44, 37

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-22/04	<i>Reemark v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-1559	RP GC 136, 2
T-32/04	<i>Lichtwer Pharma v OHIM</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 84, 10
T-36/04	<i>API v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II- 3201	RP GC 42, 15, 16; 46, 30
T-37/04	<i>Regiao autónomo dos Açores v Council</i>	[2004] ECR II-2153	RP GC 104, 19, 54
T-49/04	<i>Hassan v Council and Commission</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-53-56/04; 59/04	<i>Budějovický Budvar, národní podnik v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-57	RP GC 134, 4
T-66/04	<i>Gogos v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 91, 41, 54
T-71/04	<i>Budejovický Budvar O.A. v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-1829	RP GC 70, 2
T-76/04	<i>Bactria v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-2025	RP GC 104, 72
T-78/04	<i>Sumitomo Chemical v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-2049	RP GC 104, 72
T-140/04	<i>Ehcon v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-3287	RP GC 91, 16
T-148/04	<i>TQ3 Travel Solutions Belgium v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3027; [2005] ECR II-2627	RP GC 76a, 30; 104, 33
T-155/04	<i>SELEX v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-4797	RP GC 68, 2
T-176/04	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 92, 26, 27
T-191/04	<i>MIP v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-2855	RP GC 135, 4
T-192/04	<i>Flex Equipos de Descanso v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-2411	RP GC 62, 4
T-201/04	<i>Microsoft v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-2977; [2004] ECR II-4463; [2005] ECR II-1491	RP GC 104, 33, 36, 63, 70, 25; 105, 8, 12; 107, 4; 109, 4; 115, 12, 17; 116, 29
T-209/04	<i>Spain v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-47	RP GC 76a, 28; 113, 2
T-214/04	<i>The Royal County of Berkshire Polo Club v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-239; [2007] *	RP GC 91, 53, 58; 92, 3, 23; 134, 5
T-219/04	<i>Spain v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-1323	RP GC 63, 3, 9
T-279/04	<i>Editions Jacob v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 116, 10, 12
T-288/04	<i>Van Neyghem v Committee of Regions</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 86, 24; 102, 12
T-294/04	<i>Internationaler Hilfsfond v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-2719	RP GC 91, 9
T-303/04	<i>Evropaiki Dynamiki v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-3889; [2004] ECR II-4621; [2008] *	RP GC 91, 25; 104, 5, 46; 108, 3; 109, 5
T-311/04	<i>Buendia Sierra v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-4137	RP GC 14, 7; 63, 4
T-316/04	<i>Wam v Commission</i>	[2004] ECR II-397; [2004] ECR II-3917	RP GC 104, 25, 33
T-346/04	<i>Sadas v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-4891	RP GC 132, 2
T-350/04; 352/04	<i>Bitburger Brauerei v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-4255	RP GC 135, 2
T-358/04	<i>Neumann v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-3329	RP GC 64, 47
T-359/04	<i>British Aggregates A. O. v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 25
T-363/04	<i>Koipe v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-3355	RP GC 44, 86
T-373/04	<i>Guggenheim v Cedefop</i>	*	RP GC 91, 7
T-390/04	<i>Schröder v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-501	RP GC 44, 80
T-396/04	<i>Soffass v OHIM</i>	[2005] ECR II-4789	RP GC 132, 2

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-437/04; 441/04	<i>Standertskjöld-Nordenstam A. O. v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-A-2, 127	RP GC 48, 27
T-444/04	<i>France Télécom v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 116, 29
T-447/04	<i>Capgemini Netherlands v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-257	RP GC 76a, 3
T-464/04	<i>Impala v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2289	RP GC 47, 21; 76a, 10; 150, 5
T-489/04	<i>US Steel Kočice v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 122, 3
T-500/04	<i>Commission v HC Informations-Industrie Consulting</i>	[2007] ECR II-1443	RP GC 64, 33
T-504/04	<i>Ruiz Bravo-Villasante v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-606; II-1606	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 10; 43, 9, 10
T-12/05	<i>TV Denmark and Kanal 5 Denmark v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 116, 10
T-23/05	<i>Giraudy v Commission</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 62, 6
T-85/05	<i>Dimos Ano Liosion A. O. v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1721	RP GC 104, 32, 69
T-87/05	<i>EDP v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-3745	RP GC 44, 2; 76a, 28, 37
T-117/05	<i>Rodenbröker A. O. v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-2593	RP GC 104, 25, 69
T-125/05	<i>Umwelt- und Ingenieurtechnik Dresden v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1901	RP GC 104, 33
T-146/05	<i>Gorostiaga Atxalandabaso v Parliament</i>	[2005] ECR II-5989	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 7
T-158/05	<i>Trek Bicycle v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-49	RP GC 48, 5; 135, 1, 5
T-171/05	<i>Nijs v Court of Auditors</i>	[2005] ECR II-761	RP GC 104, 70; 109, 5
T-172/05	<i>Armacell v OHIM</i>	[2006] ECR II-4061	RP GC 135, 5
T-174/05	<i>Elf Aquitaine v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 84, 6
T-177/05	<i>Strohm v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-1	RP GC 44, 67
T-178/05	<i>United Kingdom v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-4806	RP GC 76a, 10, 28
T-182/05	<i>Kowalski v Poland</i>	[2005] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-203/05	<i>Varga v Hungary and Greece</i>	[2005] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-221/05	<i>Huvis v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 91, 40; 92, 3
T-237/05	<i>Odile Jacobs v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR II-2245	RP GC 42, 13
T-290/05	<i>Weber v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-96	RP GC 44, 67
T-295/05	<i>Document Security System v ECB</i>	[2007] ECR II- 2835	RP GC 111, 10
T-321/05	<i>AstraZeneca v Commission</i>	[1997] ECR II-1085	RP GC 44, 60
T-322/05	<i>Brinkmann v OHIM</i>	[2007] ECR II-28	RP GC 63, 4
T-345/05	<i>V v Parliament</i>	[2007] ECR II-160	RP GC 76a, 3
T-357/05	<i>Comunidad Autónoma de Valencia v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2015	RP GC 111, 13
T-376/05; 382-383/05	<i>TEA-CEGOS A. O. v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-205	RP GC 76a, 10, 28
T-382/05	<i>Platte v Commission</i>	[2005] *	RP GC 94, 13
T-3855/05	<i>Portugal v Transnautica and Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 104, 6; 123, 8

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-390/05	<i>Kumar v Commission</i>	[2005] *	RP GC 94, 14
T-392/05	<i>MMT v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-97	RP GC 102, 15
T-417/05	<i>Endesa v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-2533; [2008] *	RP GC 76a, 10; 91, 56
T-455/05	<i>Componenta v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 91, 39, 42, 50 64, 82; 92, 3
T-456-357/05	<i>Gütermann and Zwicky v Commission</i>	[2010] ECR II-1443	RP GC 48, 20
T-2/06	<i>Yusef v Council</i>	*	RP GC 43, 36
T-7/06	<i>Babatunde v Germany</i>	*	RP GC 94, 14
T-11/06	<i>Romana Tabacchi v Commission</i>	[2006] ECR II-2491	RP GC 104, 66; 108, 7
T-13/06	<i>Sama v Germany</i>	*	RP GC 94, 14
T-19/06	<i>Mindo v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 113, 4
T-23/06	<i>Aidoo v Germany</i>	*	RP GC 94, 12
T-24/06	<i>MABB v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-198	RP GC 116, 10, 20
T-27/06	<i>Bapaack Ngue v Germany</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 13
T-39/06	<i>Transcatab v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 41
T-62/06	<i>Eurallumina v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 104, 6
T-83/06	<i>Roy v European Court of Human Rights and Germany</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-89/06	<i>Lebard v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 111, 16
T-93/06	<i>Mühlens v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 91, 39, 82
T-95/06	<i>Agrarias de la Comunidad Valenciana v OCVV</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 91, 49, 52
T-95/06	<i>Schuerings A. O. v ETF</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 62, 5
T-100/06	<i>Rajani v OHIM</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 9; 95, 6
T-108/06	<i>Wersal v Spain</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-110/06	<i>Bangert v Germany</i>	*	RP GC 94, 12
T-113/06	<i>Fjord Seafood Norway and Others v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 84, 6
T-135/06	<i>Al-Faqih v Council</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 94, 8, 9
T-137/06	<i>Abdrabbah v Council</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 95, 2
T-144/06	<i>O'Loughlin v Ombudsman and Ireland</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-158/96	<i>Acciaierie di Bolzano v Commission</i>	[1999] ECR II-3927	RP GC 116, 4
T-170/06	<i>Aloso v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-2601	RP GC 76a, 10, 28
T-173/06	<i>Aisne and Nature v Commission</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 44, 17
T-182/06	<i>Netherlands v Commission</i>	[2005] ECR II-4807; [2007] ECR II-1983	RP GC 76a, 10, 28
T-223/06	<i>Parliament v Eistorp</i>	[2007] ECR II-1581	RP GC 43, 9; 44, 86
T-234/06	<i>Klosterbrauerei Weissenhohe</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 59, 79; 92, 3, 21, 23, 28
T-238/06	<i>Pax v OHIM</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 44, 91
T-244/06	<i>Pietschmann v Germany</i>	[2006] *	RP GC 111, 7
T-250/06	<i>Ott A. O. v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 145, 3

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-251/06	<i>Meyer-Falk v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-253/06	<i>Chassagne v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 138, 3
T-270/06	<i>Lego Juris A/S v OHIM</i>	[2010] *; [2011] *	RP GC 91, 13; 92, 3, 13
T-274/06	<i>El Mareny v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 102, 6
T-282/06	<i>Sun Chemical v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-2149	RP GC 76a, 10, 27
T-284/06	<i>Gualtieri v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 92, 17
T-299/06	<i>Leclercq v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 113, 4
T-310/06	<i>Hungary v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-4619	RP GC 51, 4; 76a, 10, 28
T-314/06	<i>Whirlpool Europe v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 115, 10; 116, 29
T-350/06	<i>Tsorakis v Commission and European Court of Human Rights</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-413/06	<i>Gualtieri v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 145, 3
T-28/07	<i>Fels A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-98	RP GC 76a, 10
T-31/07	<i>DuPont de Nemours v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-2767	RP GC 104, 23
T-35/07	<i>Leche Celta v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 72; 92, 3
T-40/07; 62/07	<i>Carvalho v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 126, 3
T-46/07	<i>Alpha Omega Solicitors v Council of Europe</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 111, 10, 12
T-54/07	<i>Vtesse Networks v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 116, 29
T-59/07	<i>Polimeri Europa v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 47
T-63/07	<i>Mülhens v OHIM</i>	[2009] *	RP GC Prelims pre 115, 4
T-68/07	<i>Landtag Schleswig Holstein v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 111, 7
T-77/07	<i>Di Pasquale v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-77/07	<i>Carvalho v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 126, 3
T-90/07; 99/07	<i>Belgium v Ginette</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 139, 1
T-92/07	<i>Frankin A. O. v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 138, 3
T-98/07	<i>Hoffmann v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 13
T-98/07	<i>Belgium v Ginette</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 139, 1
T-107/07	<i>Rossi Ferreras v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 138, 3
T-134/07	<i>Widhalm</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 13
T-139/07	<i>Pioneer Hi-Bred International v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 42
T-141/07 et seq.	<i>General Technic-Otis v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 40
T-161/07	<i>Group Lottuss Corporation SL v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 48
T-164/07	<i>Sundholm v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 138, 4
T-175/07	<i>TEA v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 90, 5; 111, 13
T-176/07	<i>S.A.B.A.R v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-177/07	<i>Mediaset v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 46

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-206/07	<i>Foshan Shunde Yongjian Housewares v Council</i>	[2008] ECR II-1; [2009] *	RP GC 76a, 10; 92, 17
T-222/07	<i>Kerstens v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 139, 2
T-223/07	<i>Thierry v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 48, 11
T-236/07	<i>Germany v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 48, 14
T-264/07	<i>CSL Behring GmbH v Commission and EMA</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 91, 29
T-274/07	<i>Zhejiang Harmonic Hardware Products v Council</i>	*	RP GC 116, 13
T-292/07	<i>Berliner Institut für Vergleichende Sozialforschung v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 104, 6
T-299/07	<i>Ringhead v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 13
T-311/07	<i>Nijs v Court of Auditors</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 145, 3
T-320/07	<i>Jones and Jones v Commission</i>	[2009] *; [2011] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-333/07	<i>Entrance Services v Parliament</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-341/07	<i>Sison v Council</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-385/07	<i>FIFA v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 84, 6
T-461/07	<i>Visa Europe and Visa International Service v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 46, 47
T-466/07	<i>Osram v Council</i>	[2008] *; [2011] *	RP GC 76a, 29; 92, 6
T-12/08	<i>M v EMEA</i>	[2010] ECR II- 3735	RP GC 96, 7; 121c
T-17/08	<i>Andreasen v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 6
T-33/08	<i>Bastos Viegas v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 92, 3
T-45/08	<i>Transportes Evaristo Molina v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 102, 3
T-46/08	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 5
T-49/08	<i>Michail v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 141, 2
T-55/08	<i>UEFA v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 84, 6
T-50/08	<i>Michail v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 7
T-60/08	<i>Kerelov v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 96, 5
T-68/08	<i>FIFA v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 84, 6
T-87/08	<i>Schuerings A. O. v ETF</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 33, 4; 62, 5
T-100/08	<i>Kerelov v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 11, 3; 44, 21, 48; 138, 4
T-139/08	<i>Loufrani v OHIM</i>	[2009] *	RP GC Prelims pre 115, 4
T-148/08	<i>Beifa Group v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 39
T-169/08	<i>DEI v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 39; 84, 3
T-181/08	<i>Tay Za v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Intro VIII, 24
T-188/08	<i>infeurope v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 48, 15
T-190/08	<i>Kuzneckie ferrosplavy OAO v Council and Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 114, 5

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-213/08	<i>Marinova v Commission and Université Libre de Bruxelles</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 11, 1; 13, 6
T-227/08	<i>Brehm v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-230/08	<i>Asenbaum Fine Arts v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Prelims pre 115; 4
T-239/08	<i>Comtec Translation Ltd v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 29, 39, 41, 50
T-246/08; 332/08	<i>Bank Melli Iran v Council</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-248/08	<i>Doktor v Council</i>	[2010] ECR II-0000	RP GC 81, 9
T-253/08	<i>Addis Group v OHIM</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 43, 27, 29
T-255/08	<i>Padilla v OHIM</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-284/08	<i>People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran v Council</i>	[2008] ECR II-334; [2008] ECR II-3487; [2009] ECR II-161	RP GC 76a; 10; 129, 4, 5
T-303/08	<i>Tresplain Investments v OHIM</i>	[2010] ECR II-0000	RP GC 44, 47; 48, 25
T-304/08	<i>Bank Melli Iran v Council</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-304/08	<i>Smurfit Kappa Group v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 116, 15
T-340/08	<i>Timmer v Court of Auditors</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 138, 4
T-355/08	<i>De Fays v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 144
T-361/08	<i>Peek & Cloppenburg and van Graaf v OHIM</i>	*	RP GC 134, 2
T-369/08	<i>EWRIA A. O. v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 47
T-376/08	<i>Nijs v Court of Auditors</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 138, 4
T-383/08	<i>New Europe v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 44, 84, 85
T-397/08	<i>Ferrazzano v Italy</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 11, 1; 90, 5
T-421/08	<i>Performing Right Society v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 116, 13, 20
T-425/08	<i>KODA v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 35, 21, 23
T-429/08	<i>Grain Millers v OHMI – Grain Millers</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 91, 11, 12, 41, 53, 72; 92, 3, 22
T-441/08	<i>ICO Services v Parliament and Council</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 116, 12
T-487/08	<i>Kureha v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 84, 8
T-491/08	<i>Bui Van v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 7
T-526/08	<i>Commission v Strack</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 4
T-528/08	<i>Delice v Commission and Erlangen</i>	[2008] *; [2009] *	RP GC 90, 5; 111, 15
T-560/08	<i>Commission v Meierhofer</i>	[2010] ECR II-1739	RP GC 64, 41; 65, 1; 67, 2; Prelims pre 36, 4; 136a, 8
T-572/08	<i>Commission v Traore</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-590/08	<i>Landolfo v ECB</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 95, 9
T-2/09	<i>Internationale Fruchtimport Gesellschaft Weichert v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC Intro VIII, 25

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-9/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 81, 8
T-16/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Intro IV, 12; 102 10
T-27/09	<i>Stella Kunststofftechnik v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 91, 41, 51
T-43/09	<i>Cachuera v OHIM</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 44, 80
T-80/09	<i>Commission v Q</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 113, 4; Prelims pre 136, 6
T-82/09	<i>Geert-Jan eannekamp v Parliament</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 115, 2
T-85/09	<i>Kadi v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-101/09	<i>Maftah v Council and Commission</i>	[2010] *; [2011] *	RP GC 94, 9; 96, 5; 102, 3
T-102/09	<i>Elosta v Council and Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-127/09	<i>Abdulrahim v Council and Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 94, 2, 9
T-141/09	<i>Molter v Germany</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-157/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 27; 102, 10
T-200/09	<i>Albertis Infraestructuras v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 102, 16
T-206/09	<i>Maslyukov v OHMI</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 95, 6
T-219/09; 326/09	<i>Albertini and Others v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 84, 1
T-235/09	<i>Commission v Edificios Inteco</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 3
T-239/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 5
T-260/09	<i>OHIM v Simoes Dos Santos</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 36
T-264/09	<i>Technoprocess v Commission and Délégation de l'Union au Maroc</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 111, 15
T-270/09	<i>PVS – Privatärztliche Verrechnungsstelle Rhein-Ruhr GmbH v OHIM</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 91, 72
T-280/09	<i>Morte Navarro v Parliament</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-283/09	<i>Laleh Aayhan v Parliament</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 43, 27, 29; 145, 2
T-287/09	<i>Radke Solution+Technologie EURL v Germany</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-288/09	<i>Mangini v OCCAR</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-320/09	<i>Planet v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 61
T-320/09	<i>Albertini and Others v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 84, 1
T-352/09	<i>Novácke chimické závody v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 84, 1
T-354/09	<i>Goldmann v Bulgaria</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-384/09	<i>SKW Stahl-Metallurgie Holding and Others v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 116, 6, 8, 12
T-390/09	<i>Noko Ngele v Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 111, 13
T-413/09	<i>Brain Invest v Sweden</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-416/09	<i>Castellano v Switzerland, France and Italy</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-429/09	<i>Campailla v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 6, 7
T-441/09	<i>Lagier v France</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-442/09	<i>Torre v Spain</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-454/09	<i>van Arum v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 45; Prelims pre 136, 5; 145, 1
T-456/09	<i>Bakonyi v Hungary</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-459/09	<i>Hendel v Poland</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-493/09	<i>Y v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-494/09	<i>Mezidi v Mezidi</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-496/09	<i>Bell v Belgium</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-498/09	<i>Kerstens v Commission</i>	[2010] *; [2012] *	RP GC 91, 30, 75; 92, 8; Prelims pre 136, 6
T-507/09	<i>Liu v United States and Others</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-510/09	<i>V v Commission and European Data Protection Supervisor</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-515/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 81, 6
T-527/09	<i>Ayadi v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-3/10	<i>Peréz Guerra v BNP Parisbas and Spain</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-4/10	<i>Al Saadi v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 4, 9
T-8/10	<i>Michelová v Czech Republik</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-12/10	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 145, 4
T-15/10	<i>Noko Ngele v Commission and Others</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-18/10	<i>Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami A. O. v Council and Parliament</i>	[2010] ECR II-75	RP GC 64, 50
T-38/10	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 92, 12
T-48/10	<i>Meister v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 11, 2
T-54/10	<i>Wnuk v UNO and Others</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-64/10	<i>Dorval SAS v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-73/10	<i>Apostolov v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 93, 4; Prelims pre 100, 11
T-98/10	<i>Franssons Verkstäder v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Intro VIII, 25
T-103/10	<i>Parliament v U</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 104, 6
T-136/10	<i>M. v EMA</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 114, 32
T-160/10	<i>J v Parliament and Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-175/10	<i>Milux Holding v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 113, 4
T-190/10	<i>Hackett v Parliament</i>	*	RP GC 94, 3
T-208/10	<i>Cree Inc. v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 84, 8
T-212/10	<i>Strålfors v OHIM</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 43
T-237/10	<i>Vuitton Malletier v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 115, 8
T-256/10	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC Prelims pre 136, 6
T-261/10	<i>Brinkmann v Germany</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-267/10	<i>Land Wien v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 31

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-270/10	<i>Deutsche Telekom v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 35, 21
T-296/10	<i>Gheorghe V. Varga v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 91
T-306/10	<i>Yusef v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-309/10	<i>Klein v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 2
T-311/10	<i>Platis v Council and Greece</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-317/10	<i>L v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 9
T-323/10	<i>Chickmouza Chabou v OHIM</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 92, 9
T-330/10	<i>M v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 47; 94, 9; 96, 4
T-341/10	<i>Bonnetaud A.O v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 114, 5
T-344/10	<i>UPS v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 114, 5
T-366/10	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 92, 1
T-469/10	<i>Conneely v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 101, 3
T-472/10	<i>Cavankee Fishing Co. Ltd. v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 43, 33
T-476/10	<i>N v Court of First Instance</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-502/10	<i>Gers v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 114, 5
T-527/10	<i>Google v OHIM</i>	*	RP GC 134, 2
T-541/10	<i>Adedy and Others v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 115, 3
T-563/10	<i>De Luca v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 141, 2; 142
T-591/10	<i>Castiglioni v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 104, 29
T-47/11	<i>Mandu v Romania</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-55/11	<i>AD v Parliament and Others</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 95, 9
T-73/11	<i>ANCMRR v Romania</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 5; 111, 12
T-75/11	<i>AH v Parliament and Others</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-79/11	<i>Ing. Nando Groppo Srl. A. O. v Parliament and Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-81/11	<i>Kremser v Frankfurt am Main</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 5; 111, 6, 12
T-86/11	<i>Bamba v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 76a, 10
T-105/11	<i>Noko Ngele v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 111, 14
T-133/11	<i>Fundatia Pro Fundbis – 1946 Semper v European Court of Human Rights</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 111, 12
T-138/11	<i>Brouha Nathanael Ahouma v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 86, 44
T-143/11	<i>Consorzio vino Chianti Classico v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 135, 1
T-155/11	<i>Bana A. O. v Romania</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-157/11	<i>Adamut A. O. v Romania</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 5
T-198/11	<i>Strack v Commission,</i>	pending	RP GC 46, 35
T-210/11	<i>AP v Bundesverfassungsgericht</i>	*	RP GC 94, 12
T-213/11	<i>Collège des représentants du personnel de la BEI and Others v Bömcke</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 115, 9
T-218/11	<i>Dagher v Council and Italy</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 104, 6
T-221/11	<i>West Indies Pack v France</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 111, 15
T-271/11	<i>BC v HSBC Bank</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 94, 12
T-289/11	<i>Deutsche Bahn A. O. v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 35, 32

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
T-374/11	<i>Libyan Investment Authority and Others v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 102, 2
T-375/11	<i>Houej v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 102, 2
T-376/11	<i>CBL v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 102, 2
T-377/11	<i>FDES and Others v Council</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 102, 2
T-532/11	<i>Städter v ECB</i>	[2011] *	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 12
T-134/12	<i>Investigación y Desarrollo en Soluciones y Servicios v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 104, 36
T-163/12	<i>Ternavsky v Council</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 104, 36

European Union Civil Service Tribunal (CST)

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
F-1/05	<i>Landgren v ETF</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 129, 1, 5
F-5/05	<i>Violetti A. O. v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 62, 3
F-8/05	<i>Fouwels A. O. v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 127, 3
F-52/05	<i>Q v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 42, 9; RP GC 64, 28
F-78/05	<i>Rounis v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 64, 13
F-95/05	<i>N. v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 5, 9
F-100/05	<i>Chatziioannidou v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 91, 41, 55, 62; 92, 3
F-103/05	<i>Pickering v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 50, 14
F-124/05	<i>A. and G. v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 44, 41; 77, 9
F-126/05	<i>Borbély v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 55, 9; RP GC 64, 35
F-11/06	<i>Larsen v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-1-531	RP GC 64, 13
F-45/06	<i>De Buggenoms A. O. v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 127, 3
F-83/06	<i>Bianchi v ETF</i>	[2006] ECR II-1-93	RP GC 104, 44
F-88/06	<i>Pantalís v Commission</i>	[2007] ECR II-1-807	RP GC 64, 13
F-92/06	<i>Antas v Council</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 64, 13
F-98/06	<i>Lohiniva v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 64, 9
F-101/06	<i>Atanasov v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 95, 6
F-103/06	<i>Saintraint v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 127, 3
F-127/06	<i>H v Council</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 64, 13
F-128/06	<i>Noworyta v Parliament</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 6
F-129/06	<i>Salvador Roldán v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 111, 17
F-142/06	<i>Bligny v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 14
F-2/07	<i>Matos Martins v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 42, 8; 64, 28

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
F-6/07	<i>Suvikas v Commission</i>	[2007] *; [2008] *	RP GC 92, 3; 114, 28, 31
F-7/07	<i>Angioi v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 116, 26
F-12/07	<i>O'Connor v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 91, 14; 94, 5
F-20/07	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2007] *	RP GC 94, 3
F-74/07	<i>Meierhofer v Commission</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 42, 8
F-116/07	<i>Tomas v Parliament</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 7
F-121/07; 132/07	<i>Strack v Commission</i>	*	RP GC 46, 35; Intro pre 49; 58, 7; 114, 23
F-142/07	<i>Kaminska v CoR</i>	[2008] *	RP GC 47, 3
F-3/08	<i>Marcuccio v. Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 8
F-5/08	<i>Brune v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 91, 6, 63; 92, 31
F-7/08	<i>Schönberger v Parliament</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 91, 35, 61; 92, 3, 30
F-13/08; 31/08	<i>Tomas v Parliament</i>	[2010] *	RP GC Prelims pre 100, 7
F-14/08	<i>X v Parliament</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 91, 75; 92, 3, 31
F-55/08	<i>De Nicola v EIB</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 55, 64; 88, 6, 9; 91, 26; 92, 14, 31
F-86/08	<i>Voslamber v. Commission</i>	[2009] *	RP GC 90, 8
F-87/08	<i>Schuerings A. O. v ETF</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 33, 4; 62, 5
F-101/08	<i>Pappas v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 102, 9, 11
F-102/08	<i>Marcuccio v. Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 8
F-7/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 92, 12
F-23/09	<i>Cerafogli/ECB</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 64, 22, 28; 91, 9
F-52/09	<i>Da Silva Pinto Branco v Court of Justice</i>	[2011] *	
F-63/09	<i>Donati v ECB</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 64, 28
F-65/09	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 62, 5
F-68/09	<i>Barbin v Parliament</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 62, 3
F-71/09	<i>Caminiti v. Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 90, 8
F-76/09	<i>AH v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 22
F-92/09	<i>U v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 92, 30, 31
F-102/09	<i>Bennett v OHIM</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 24; 62, 6
F-2/10; 14/10; 21/10, 69/10	<i>Marcuccio v Commission</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 90, 8
F-8/10	<i>Gheysens v Council</i>	[2010] *	RP GC 44, 22, 44, 27
F-41/10	<i>Bermejo v ECOSOC</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 47, 9

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.

Case Number	Parties	ECR*	Reference
F-42/10	<i>Skareby v Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC Prelims pre 42, 9, 10
F-43/10	<i>Cerafogli v ECB</i>	[2012] *	RP GC Prelims pre 42, 9, 10
F-45/10	<i>AO v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC Intro VIII, 27
F-54/10	<i>Verheyden v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC Intro VIII, 27; 81, 9
F-64/10	<i>Montzouratos v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 44, 24
F-112/10	<i>Trentea v Fundamental Rights Agency</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 47, 7
F-155/10	<i>Noko Ngele v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 41, 25
F-3/11	<i>Marcuccio v. Commission</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 90, 8
F-8/11	<i>M v EMEA</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 11, 1
F-38/11	<i>Alari v Parliament</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 77, 10
F-51/11	<i>Pachtitis v Commission</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 104, 19
F-61/11	<i>Possanzini v Frontex</i>	[2011] *	RP GC 104, 18
F-38/12	<i>BP v FRA</i>	[2012] *	RP GC 47, 7; 105, 7

* Italics indicate cases not yet published in the ECR.