







MIPLC Studies

Edited by

Prof. Dr. Christoph Ann Technische Universität München

Prof. Robert Brauneis

The George Washington University Law School

Prof. Dr. Thomas M.J. Möllers

University of Augsburg

Prof. Dr. Dres. h.c. Joseph Straus

Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property,

Competition and Tax Law

Volume 3

Andrew Law

Patents and Public Health

Legalising the Policy Thoughts in the Doha TRIPS Declaration of 14 November 2001



MIPLC Munich Augsburg Intellectual München Property Law Center

Augsburg Washington DC Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://www.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://www.d-nb.de.

Zugl.: München, Univ., Diss., 2008

ISBN 978-3-8329-4078-2

1. Auflage 2009

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden 2008. Printed in Germany. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der fotomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten. Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Papier.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to »Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort«, Munich.

For Silke and Noah

Acknowledgements

It is almost impossible to complete a dissertation like this without meaningful contributions coming from countless selfless persons. In my case I have a number of persons without whom I would not have been able to complete this long journey. I would thus like to thank those academics and officials that have responded to my countless pleas for information and comments. In this respect I would like to thank Prof. Thomas Cottier, Prof. Frederick Abbott, Roger Kampf (WTO IP Counsellor) and Ingo Meitinger (Swiss Federal Institute of Intellectual Property). Furthermore, I would like to thank Anne-Laure Nguyen for sacrificing her precious free time to read and comment on the legal aspects of the dissertation. I would also like to express my fondest thanks to my mother, Jean Law, for the countless hours she spent grooming this dissertation for elusive language errors. Prof. Dr. Horst Bester, my father-in-law, was also grateful enough to give me the benefit of his academic wisdom, for which I am ever so thankful.

The behind-the-scenes support I received from Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Fritzemeyer proved priceless for enabling me to undertake this venture into the WTO wilderness. For this I am truly grateful. Also, I am most thankful for the unique opportunity Baker & McKenzie – in particular it's International Trade Practice Group – provided me with in enabling me to put theory into practice. Being able to file my academic premises on real-world matters is a chance few in position have the honour of experiencing; I am truly thankful for having had this good fortune.

I would also like to convey my sincerest thanks to my supervising professor, Prof. Dr. Dres.h.c. Joseph Straus, for his magnanimity and perseverance in reviewing my dissertation and for the comprehensive and constructive comments and suggestions he made in this respect. He has my utmost thanks for the sacrifice he has made for my benefit. I also would like to thank fellow Profs. Lehmann and Schricker for investing their precious time and experience in reviewing my efforts to attain the doctoral grade. My further thanks go to the Max Plank Institute for Intellectual Property, Competition and Tax Law in Munich for providing me with the opportunity to use their wonderful facilities – it is a veritable Garden of Eden to all with an inquisitive mind for intellectual property.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife for her endless patience and loving encouragement. I am truly blessed to have had her accompany me on this long path. She has my eternal thanks ...

Hanover, September 2008

Andrew Law

Table of Contents

Chaj	oter	1	Introduction	17
Chaj	oter	2	Patents and society	19
Chaj	oter	3	The legalising policy thoughts in the Public Health Declaration	26
Chaj A. B.	Intr	oduc ever The	The circumstances leading up to the Public Health Declaration etion nts preceding the Public Health Declaration GATT system and the Uruguay Round implementation of the TRIPS Agreement	29 29 30 30 34
Cha _j A. B.	pter Nat The I. II. IV. V.	objective And And And The the	An analysis of the TRIPS Agreement and scope of the TRIPS Agreement ect and purpose of the TRIPS Agreement analysis of the preamble analysis of Article 7 TRIPS analysis of Article 8.1 TRIPS analysis of Article 8.2 TRIPS Agreement influence of the international customary rule of interpretation on object and purpose provisions role of 'flexibility' in the object and purposes of the TRIPS	42 42 47 48 51 53 56
	VII VII	1. 2. 3. The	The flexibilities found in the object and purposes provisions. The role of the object and purpose provisions in flexibilities found in other TRIPS provision. The relevance given to the role of flexibility in the object and purpose provisions by the Member States role of health in the object and purpose of the TRIPS Agreement. Other influences on the object and purpose of the IPS Agreement	60 61 62 63 65
C.	The I.	mat The	rerial provisions of the TRIPS Agreement subject matter of patents Article 27.1 of the TRIPS Agreement Article 27.2 of the TRIPS Agreement a) Commercial exploitation b) Necessity c) Discrimination and differentiation d) Implementation restrictions relating to the Article 27.2 exclusion Conclusion	69 69 70 71 72 75 81 83 84

	II. Rights conferred to the patent holder	85
	III. The withdrawal and limitation of rights conferred	86
	1. Revocation	87
	2. Limited exceptions	90
	3. Compulsory licenses	101
	a) General	101
	r and J	101
	bb) Grounds for compulsory licenses	102
	cc) Discrimination	105
	www warming approximation	106
	ee) The relationship between Article 31 of the TRIPS	
	2	107
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	108
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	108
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	110
	.,	112
	, ,	113
	,	117
		121
)	121
	,	123
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	124
	8)	126
	,	127
	-)(8)	131
	3/	134
	/	141
		144
	,	144
		145
_		149
D.	Conclusion	154
Cha	ter 6 The Public Health Declaration	156
A.		156
	I. Clarification of the relationship between the TRIPS Agreement and	
		157
	II. Countries without domestic productions facilities	159
	_	160
B.		161
C.		163
	· ·	163
		164
	2. The Public Health Declaration and Articles 7 and 8 of the	
	TRIPS Agreement	165

		3. The Public Health Declaration and the right to health	167
		4. Conclusion	169
	II.	The material obligations	169
		1. Exhaustion	169
		2. Compulsory licenses	170
		a) The flexibilities in paragraph 5 of the Public Health	
		Declaration	171
		b) Paragraph 5(b) of the Public Health Declaration	172
		c) Paragraph 5(c) of the Public Health Declaration	174
		d) Subsequent developments	177
		e) Conclusion	178
	III.		178
		1. Paragraph 7 of the Public Health Declaration	178
		2. The TRIPS Council decision extending the transition period	182
			183
	IV.	Member States without domestic pharmaceutical production facilities	185
Cha	pter		186
A.	The	1 0 1	186
	I.	1 1 0 1	186
	II.	C 1 .	187
			188
			188
			189
		1 0 1	189
В.		C	190
	I.	E	191
			191
			192
			193
	II.	1	197
	III.		198
		•	198
		E	201
		, 1 5	201
		, 1 &	204
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	210
			210
		, e	211
		, .	214
			216
		1	217
\mathbf{C}	Arti	cle 31 his of the TRIPS Agreement	218

Cha	apter 8 The realisation opportunities afforded by the Public	
	Health Declaration	223
A.	Norway	223
B.	Canada	228
C.	The Netherlands	234
D.	India	236
C.	EC	238
F.	Related measures taken to reflect the Public Health Declaration	242
	I. International and multilateral policies and measures	242
	II. Bilateral policies and measures	242
	III. National policies and measures	245
G.	Conclusion	247
Cha	apter 9 Definitive consequences of the Public Health Declaration	248
Bib	liography	251
Cit	ed treaties, legislation and similar legal documents	262
Cit	ed cases	268
Anı	nex I: Public Health Declaration	272
Anı	nex II: 30 August 2003 Decision	275
An	nex III: The Article 31bis Amendment	282
An	nex IV: Examples of royalty rates in compulsory licensing &	
rela	ated cases	291

List of Abbreviations

ACP African, Caribbean and Pacific Group

AER American Economic Review

AGOA African Growth and Opportunity Act
AJIL American Journal of International Law
AJPH American Journal of Public Health

Art Article

BGH German Federal Supreme Court
BPatG German Federal Patent Court
BSE Bovine spongiform encephalopathy
BVerfG German Federal Constitutional Court

CAFTA US/Central American and Dominican Republic Free Trade Agreement

CEO Chief Executive Officer

Chi. J. Intl. L. Chicago Journal of International Law CIPO Canadian Intellectual Property Office

CIPR UK Commission on Intellectual Property Rights

CPTech Consumer Project on Technology

CSGTSD Centre for Study of Global Trade System and Development

CUP Cambridge University Press

DG Director General

DSB Dispute Settlement Body

DSU Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes

EC European Communities
ECJ European Court of Justice

ed(s) Editor(s) edn Edition

EFTA European Free Trade Association

EGBGB Einführungsgesetz zum Bürgerlichen Gesetzbuch
EJAIB Eubios Journal of Asian and International Bioethics

EJIL European Journal of International Law
ELDB European Legal Developments Bulletin

EMEA European Medicines Agency
EPC European Patent Convention
EPO European Patent Office

EU European Union

FDA US Food and Drug Administration

FDI Foreign direct investment
Food Drug L.J. Food and Drug Law Journal

FTA Free trade agreement

FTAA Free Trade Agreement of the Americas

FTC Federal Trade Commission

GATS General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GSP Generalised System of Preferences

GRUR Gewerblicher Rechtschutz und Urheberrecht

GRURInt Gewerblicher Rechtschutz und Urheberrecht, Internationaler Teil

ICCPR International Convent on Civil and Political Rights

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

ICJ International Court of Justice

IFDA International Foundation for Development Alternatives

IGE Eidgenössisches Institut für Geistiges Eigentum

IIC International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law

 IIE
 International Institute for Economics

 IntCl
 International Patent Classification

 Int. J. Health Serv.
 International Journal of Health Services

 IntTLR
 International Trade Law and Regulation

IPC Intellectual Property Committee

IPGRI International Plant Genetic Resources Institute

IPR Intellectual property right

ITO International Trade Organisation
IYHR Israel Yearbook on Human Rights

JIEL Journal of International Economic Law J.Intell.Prop.L Journal of Intellectual Property Law

JIPLP Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice

JPHP Journal of Public Health Policy

JPO Japan Patent Office

JPTOS Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society

JWIP Journal of World Intellectual Property

LDC Least-developed country

LMU Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (Munich)

MFN Most-favoured nation

Mich.J.Int'l.L Michigan Journal of International Law

Mich.L.Rev. Michigan Law Review

MLU Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

MPI Max Planck Institute
MSF Médecins sans Frontières

NAFTA North American Free Trade Agreement

NIH US National Institutes of Health NGO Non-governmental organisation

NZZ Neue Züricher Zeitung

OAPI Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle
OHCHR Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
OJEPO Official Journal of the European Patent Office

OUP Oxford University Press

p Page(s) Para Paragraph

PCIJ Permanent Court of International Justice

PhRMA Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers Association

PMA Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association

QUNO Quaker United Nations Office

SACU Southern African Customs Union

SCID Studies in Comparative International Development

sec Section(s)

SPS Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures

TBT Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade
TIFA Trade and investment framework agreement

TPD Transvaal Provisional Division of the South African High Court

TPRM Trade Policy Review Mechanism
TRALAC Trade Law Centre for Southern Africa
TRIMS Trade-Related Investment Measures

TRIPS Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights

UNCHR United Nations Commission on Human Rights

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNGA United Nations General Assembly

UNHDI United Nations Human Development Index

UNICEF United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund

UNTS United Nations Treaty Series
US United States of America
USC Unites States Code
USTR US Trade Representative

WBER World Bank Economic Review

WHA World Health Assembly
WHO World Health Organization

WIPO World Intellectual Property Organization

WSJ Wall Street Journal

WTO World Trade Organisation

WVK Wiener Vertragsrechtkonvention

ZaöRV Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht

ZEuS Zeitschrift für Europarechtliche Studien