

Mark D. Cole | Christina Etteldorf | Carsten Ullrich

# UPDATING THE RULES FOR ONLINE CONTENT DISSEMINATION

Legislative Options of the European Union  
and the Digital Services Act Proposal



LANDESANSTALT FÜR MEDIEN NRW  
Der Meinungsfreiheit verpflichtet.



Nomos

Mark D. Cole | Christina Etteldorf | Carsten Ullrich

# UPDATING THE RULES FOR ONLINE CONTENT DISSEMINATION

Legislative Options of the European Union  
and the Digital Services Act Proposal



**Nomos**



**LANDESANSTALT FÜR MEDIEN NRW**  
Der Meinungsfreiheit verpflichtet.

## Landesanstalt für Medien NRW

Sabrina Nennstiel (Leiterin Kommunikation)  
Dr. Meike Isenberg (Leiterin Forschung)  
Zollhof 2, 40221 Düsseldorf  
[www.medienanstalt-nrw.de](http://www.medienanstalt-nrw.de)

The **Deutsche Nationalbibliothek** lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

ISBN 978-3-8487-8184-3 (Print)  
978-3-7489-2593-4 (ePDF)

## British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8184-3 (Print)  
978-3-7489-2593-4 (ePDF)

## Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Cole, Mark / Etteldorf, Christina / Ullrich, Carsten  
Updating the Rules for Online Content Dissemination  
Legislative Options of the European Union and the Digital Services Act Proposal  
Mark D. Cole / Christina Etteldorf / Carsten Ullrich  
241 pp.  
Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-8184-3 (Print)  
978-3-7489-2593-4 (ePDF)

Volumes 1–48 published by VS-Verlag,  
volumes 49–80 published by VISTAS Verlag.



Onlineversion  
Nomos eLibrary

Published by Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2021.  
Printed and bound in Germany.



This publication is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 3.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

1st Edition 2021

© 2021 The Authors.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

## Vorwort

The European Union is based on common values with peace and freedom at the heart. In the reverse, safeguarding this freedom requires rules based on these values. The enforcement of the standards of a free media order in Europe is up to us as independent regulators.

In its study “Cross-Border Dissemination of Online Content” conducted on behalf of the State Media Authority NRW last year (Schriftenreihe Medienforschung der Landesanstalt für Medien NRW, Band 81), the Institute of European Media Law (EMR) explored the legal framework and possible future regulation of the media environment in the EU. It mainly identified areas that need an update to be able to face modern developments in the media sector as well as media regulation.

Today, one year later, the European Commission published a concrete proposal for a possible future regulation of the digital world. The proposed Digital Services Act marks a first European attempt to overhaul the current legal framework. As such, it bears the potential to reduce uncertainty and to make cross-border enforcement more effective. But has the European Commission achieved this? What are the positive aspects, what are the weak points of the proposed regulation?

This study is based on its predecessor and analyses whether the European Commission’s proposal improves the identified shortcomings of the existing legal framework. From a media law perspective, the study assesses in particular if the heart of the media market – the Country of Origin principle – is sufficiently safeguarded, if liability and responsibilities of the service providers have been improved and if the envisaged supervisory structure makes cross-border law enforcement more effective.

Down the road, the motto remains the same: Inactivity is not an option.

I want to thank Prof. Dr. Mark D. Cole and his team for their excellent work and wish you, dear readers, an inspiring lecture.

*Dr. Tobias Schmid*

Director of the State Media Authority of North Rhine-Westphalia



# Overview

Abbreviations	13
Executive Summary – English	17
Executive Summary – Deutsch	43
A. Background of the Study	75
B. Summarising the Applicable Legal Framework	81
I. On Fundamental Rights, Fundamental Freedoms and EU Values	81
II. On the Allocation of Competences	84
III. The Network of Sectoral Regulation	90
C. Problems Identified regarding the Cross-Border Dissemination of Online Content	101
D. The Commission Proposals for a DSA and a DMA	107
I. On the Digital Services Act Proposal	107
II. On the Digital Markets Act Proposal	113
E. Legislative Options at EU Level	117
I. Regulatory Approaches	117
1. Starting Point	117
2. DSA Approach	134
3. Assessment	138
II. The Country-of-Origin Principle	143
1. Starting Point	143
2. DSA Approach	154
3. Assessment	155
III. Scope of Application	157
1. Starting point	157
2. DSA Approach	162
3. Assessment	165

*Overview*

IV. Liability Privilege Regime	169
1. Starting Point	169
2. DSA Approach	172
3. Assessment	175
V. Obligations of Intermediary Service Providers	180
1. Starting Point	180
2. DSA Approach	188
3. Assessment	195
VI. Design and Structure of Supervision	202
1. Starting Point	202
2. DSA Approach	211
3. Assessment	223
F. Looking Ahead	229
Bibliography	233

# Table of Contents

Abbreviations	13
Executive Summary – English	17
Executive Summary – Deutsch	43
A. Background of the Study	75
B. Summarising the Applicable Legal Framework	81
I. On Fundamental Rights, Fundamental Freedoms and EU Values	81
II. On the Allocation of Competences	84
III. The Network of Sectoral Regulation	90
C. Problems Identified regarding the Cross-Border Dissemination of Online Content	101
D. The Commission Proposals for a DSA and a DMA	107
I. On the Digital Services Act Proposal	107
II. On the Digital Markets Act Proposal	113
E. Legislative Options at EU Level	117
I. Regulatory Approaches	117
1. Starting Point	117
a. Legal Instrument	118
b. Content Neutrality of Horizontal Approaches	124
c. Material Scope, Sectoral Exceptions and Discretion	128
d. Defining Objectives of a Regulatory Approach	133
2. DSA Approach	134
3. Assessment	138
II. The Country-of-Origin Principle	143
1. Starting Point	143
a. Key Issues for Assessment	144



*Table of Contents*

b. Options: COO Principle or Market Location Principle	148
c. Key Points for Design	150
2. DSA Approach	154
3. Assessment	155
III. Scope of Application	157
1. Starting point	157
2. DSA Approach	162
3. Assessment	165
IV. Liability Privilege Regime	169
1. Starting Point	169
2. DSA Approach	172
3. Assessment	175
V. Obligations of Intermediary Service Providers	180
1. Starting Point	180
a. Reactive Obligations: Notice and Takedown and Dispute Settlement	182
b. Reactive Obligations: Trusted Flaggers and Law Enforcement Cooperation	183
c. Proactive Obligations: User Empowerment	184
d. Proactive Obligations: Stay down, Know Your Customer and Sanctions	184
e. Proactive Obligations: Content Management	185
f. Transparency, Reporting and Information Obligations	187
2. DSA Approach	188
a. All Intermediary Service Providers (Art. 10–13)	189
b. Hosting Service Providers (Art. 14–15)	190
c. Online Platforms (Art. 16–24)	191
d. Very Large Online Platforms (Art. 25–33)	193
e. Additional Obligations (Art. 34–37)	194
3. Assessment	195
VI. Design and Structure of Supervision	202
1. Starting Point	202
a. Overall Structure of Supervision	202
b. Regulatory Powers and Sanctions	206
c. Supranational Coordination and Cooperation	208
2. DSA Approach	211
a. Overall Structure of Supervision	211
b. Regulatory Powers and Sanctions	216

c. Supranational Coordination and Cooperation	220
3. Assessment	223
F. Looking Ahead	229
Bibliography	233



## Abbreviations

<b>ADR</b>	alternative dispute resolution
<b>AfP</b>	Zeitschrift für Medien- und Kommunikationsrecht
<b>AG</b>	Advocate General
<b>Art.</b>	Article
<b>AVMS</b>	audiovisual media service(s)
<b>AVMSD</b>	Audiovisual Media Services Directive
<b>BEREC</b>	Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communication
<b>B2B</b>	business-to-business
<b>B2C</b>	business-to-consumer
<b>cf.</b>	confer/conferatur (Latin) / compare
<b>CFR</b>	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU
<b>CJEU</b>	Court of Justice of the European Union
<b>CLR</b>	California Law Review
<b>CMA</b>	Competition and Markets Authority
<b>COM</b>	Communication
<b>COO</b>	country of origin
<b>CPC</b>	Consumer Protection Cooperation
<b>CYELP</b>	Croatian Yearbook of European Law and Policy
<b>C-</b>	Case-
<b>DMA</b>	Digital Markets Act
<b>DNS</b>	Domain Name System
<b>DSA</b>	Digital Services Act
<b>DSC(s)</b>	Digital Services Coordinator(s)
<b>DSGVO</b>	Datenschutz-Grundverordnung
<b>DSMD</b>	Digital Single Market Directive
<b>EBDS</b>	European Board of Digital Services
<b>EC</b>	European Commission
<b>ECD</b>	e-Commerce Directive
<b>ECN</b>	European Competition Network
<b>ECHR</b>	European Convention on Human Rights
<b>ECtHR</b>	European Court of Human Rights
<b>ed(s).</b>	editor(s)
<b>EDPB</b>	European Data Protection Board

## Abbreviations

<b>e.g.</b>	exempli gratia (Latin) /for example, for instance
<b>EJLT</b>	European Journal of Law and Technology
<b>EMR</b>	Institute of European Media Law
<b>EP</b>	European Parliament
<b>EPRS</b>	European Parliamentary Research Service
<b>ERGA</b>	European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services
<b>et al.</b>	et alia (Latin) / and others
<b>et seq.</b>	et sequens/sequentes (Latin) / and the following
<b>EU</b>	European Union
<b>EuR</b>	Europarecht (Zeitschrift)
<b>Europol</b>	European Union Agency of Law Enforcement Cooperation
<b>EUV</b>	Vertrag über die Europäische Union
<b>EuZW</b>	Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht
<b>GDPR</b>	General Data Protection Regulation
<b>GmbH</b>	Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung
<b>HBI</b>	Hans Bredow Institut
<b>HLEG</b>	High Level Expert Group
<b>IAP</b>	Internet Access Provider
<b>i.e.</b>	that is
<b>IJLIT</b>	International Journal of Law and Information Technology
<b>IMCO</b>	Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection
<b>IMI</b>	Internal Market Information System
<b>INHOPE</b>	International Association of Internet Hotlines
<b>IPR</b>	Internet Policy Review
<b>ISS</b>	Information Society Services
<b>ISSP</b>	Information Society Services Provider
<b>IT</b>	Information Technology
<b>JIL</b>	Journal of Internet Law
<b>JIPITEC</b>	Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology and Electronic Commerce Law
<b>KYC</b>	know your customer
<b>lit.</b>	litera (Latin) / letter
<b>MJ</b>	Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law
<b>NetzDG</b>	Netzwerkdurchsetzungsgesetz
<b>no.</b>	number
<b>NRA(s)</b>	National Regulatory Authority(ies)
<b>NTD</b>	notice and take down
<b>OCSSP(s)</b>	online content-sharing service provider(s)

<b>OECD</b>	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
<b>OJ/OJEU</b>	Official Journal of the European Union
<b>OJ C</b>	Official Journal – Information and Notices
<b>OJ L</b>	Official Journal – Legislation
<b>p.</b>	page(s)
<b>para.</b>	paragraph
<b>P2B</b>	platform-to-business
<b>REC</b>	Recommendation
<b>SME</b>	small and medium-sized enterprises
<b>SPoC</b>	Single Point of Contact
<b>S.R.L.</b>	Société à Responsabilité Limitée / limited liability company
<b>supra</b>	ut supra / as above
<b>subpara.</b>	subparagraph
<b>SWD</b>	staff and joint staff working documents
<b>TEC</b>	Treaty establishing the European Community
<b>TERREG</b>	Regulation on preventing the dissemination of terrorist content online
<b>TEU</b>	Treaty on European Union
<b>TFEU</b>	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
<b>TRIS</b>	Technical Regulation Information System
<b>TV</b>	television
<b>UCPD</b>	Unfair Commercial Practices Directive
<b>UFITA</b>	Archiv für Medienrecht und Medienwissenschaft
<b>URL</b>	Uniform Resource Locator
<b>US</b>	United States
<b>v.</b>	versus
<b>VLOP(s)</b>	very large online platform(s)
<b>VoD</b>	Video on Demand
<b>Vol.</b>	Volume
<b>VSP(s)</b>	video-sharing platform(s)
<b>WTO</b>	World Trade Organization
<b>WP</b>	Working Paper

