Bobek | Bodnar | von Bogdandy | Sonnevend [Eds.]

Transition 2.0

Re-establishing Constitutional Democracy in EU Member States



Beiträge zum ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht

Edited by

the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science represented by Prof. Dr. Armin von Bogdandy and Prof. Dr. Anne Peters

Volume 320

Michal Bobek | Adam Bodnar Armin von Bogdandy | Pál Sonnevend [Eds.]

Transition 2.0

Re-establishing Constitutional Democracy in EU Member States



Open Access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

ISBN 978-3-7560-0079-1 (Print) 978-3-7489-1493-8 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-7560-0079-1 (Print) 978-3-7489-1493-8 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bobek, Michal | Bodnar, Adam | von Bogdandy, Armin | Sonnevend, Pál Transition 2.0

Michal Bobek | Adam Bodnar | Armin von Bogdandy | Pál Sonnevend (Eds.) 607 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-7560-0079-1 (Print) 978-3-7489-1493-8 (ePDF)

1st Edition 2023

© The Authors

Published by Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version: Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN 978-3-7560-0079-1 (Print) ISBN 978-3-7489-1493-8 (ePDF)

DOI https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748914938



Onlineversion Nomos eLibrary



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Preface

In the logic and the counting of this project, Transition 1.0 was the large-scale political, social, economic, and legal transformation that led to the (re)establishment of constitutional democracy in the countries of Central and Eastern Europe after the fall of the Communist rule, culminating in those countries joining the European Union. The would-be members of the European Union had a number of conditions and requirements to meet in order to join first the Council of Europe, and then the European Union. These included the so-called Copenhagen criteria, later transcribed into Article 2 TEU. Those requirements notwithstanding, the moment of constitutional and legal creation in the early 1990s had been unconstrained by international or EU law. Even if naturally seeking inspiration and assistance from abroad, each country exercised a high degree of local choice as to how to deal with its past, how to resolve internal democratic challenges, and how to shape its constitutional and legal future.

The rule of law decay or outright backsliding in some of those Member States meant not only that some of them ceased to comply with their own constitutional requirements. They equally fell short of their commitments under EU law. This has been observed in different statements and opinions by EU institutions and those of the Council of Europe. Even more importantly, it was equally confirmed in a number of judgments of the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights. Case law of both of these judicial bodies, together with documents emanating from different EU institutions, and those of the Council of Europe, OSCE and the UN, has put a number of legal constraints in place. It articulated what type of structural, legal, or judicial changes are not permissible in a State that is bound by EU law or by international human rights treaties.

Preface

Transition 2.0 is a project about the future. Its central question is as follows: assume that the current governments in those Member States are one day defeated in elections to the benefit of democratically and rule of law oriented political parties. What and how may a new government do in order to re-establish constitutional democracy, as well as repair its membership within the European Union, while respecting the previously imposed European and international constraints as to what changes are permissible within a rule of law governed constitutional democracy? In contrast to Transition 1.0, Transition 2.0 is supposed to happen with the States concerned still members of the European Union and the Council of Europe. In the past years, in the context of backsliding, EU law and other international commitments have mostly played the role of constraints to certain types of systemic changes. They can, however, equally offer tools and assistance for facilitating the way back to full constitutional democracy and repaired EU membership. The various contributions in this volume, reflecting the personal views of their respective authors, explore the constitutional, legal, and social framework of such a Transition 2.0.

Brno, Warsaw, Heidelberg, Budapest June 2023 **Editors**

Table of Contents

I. Setting the Frame	11
Matej Avbelj Approaching Transition 2.0 in a Realist, Structural, Principled and Inclusive Constitutional Manner	13
Jiří Přibáň	
The Liberation of Illiberal Democracy: On Limits of Democratization after the Authoritarian Backlash	33
Maryhen Jiménez and Dario Castiglione	
Reversing Authoritarianism in the EU: Transformative Politics and the Role of Opposition	59
Diego García-Sayán	
Authoritarianism, Judicial Independence and Democratic Transition	91
Armin von Bogdandy and Luke Dimitrios Spieker	
EU Values as Constraints and Facilitators in Democratic Transitions	113
II. Constitutional Issues	143
András Jakab	
How to Return from a Hybrid Regime into a Constitutional Democracy? Hypothetical Constitutional Scenarios for Hungary and a Few Potential Lessons for Poland*	145

Table of Contents

Mirosław Wyrzykowski	
The Constitutional Trap	227
Kim Lane Scheppele Asymmetric Rupture: Stabilizing Democratic Transitions 2.0 with Transnational Law	249
Zdeněk Kühn Centralized Judicial Review and the Problem of its 'Over- Centralization'	277
III. Reestablishing the Judiciary	297
Adam Bodnar Poland After Elections in 2023: Transition 2.0 in the Judiciary	299
David Kosař and Katarína Šipulová Court-Unpacking: A Preliminary Inquiry	323
Michal Bobek What Role for Courts in Transforming a Society? A Central European Cautionary Tale	361
<i>Maciej Taborowski</i> EU Law and Judicial Decisions of National Judges Appointed in Breach of European Standards	383
Pawel Filipek Defective Judicial Appointments and their Rectification under European Standards	425
Sara Iglesias Sánchez The Pole of the Court of Justice of the FIL in Transition 2.0	471

IV. Deepening the European Dimension	495
Christophe Hillion Reversing a Member State's Regression and Restoring (its) Union Membership – EU law as mandatory toolbox of 'Transition 2.0' –	497
Werner Schroeder Transition 2.0 and Rule of Law-Mainstreaming in the European Union	535
Pál Sonnevend How to Make Article 10 TEU Operational? The Right to Influence the Exercise of State Power and Cardinal Laws in Hungary	563
Angelika Nußberger The Venice Commission and Constitutional Dilemmas	585